

EXHIBIT 7

Joby Martin

From: Kolovos, Peter [Peter.Kolovos@wilmerhale.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2012 3:07 PM
To: Randa Osman; Rachel Herrick Kassabian
Cc: Walden, S. Calvin; Kolovos, Peter
Subject: RE: Apple v. Samsung; Motion to Compel

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Randa,

Calvin is out of the office today but forwarded your email to my attention.

Apple is willing to agree to the proposal outlined below with the modifications that we have highlighted in your text. If these changes are acceptable, we will put this language into an agreed upon stipulation and order to be entered by Judge Grewal.

We look forward to your response.

-- Peter

From: Randa Osman [<mailto:randaosman@quinnemanuel.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2012 12:43 PM
To: Walden, S. Calvin
Cc: Rachel Herrick Kassabian <rachelkassabian@quinnemanuel.com>
Subject: Apple v. Samsung; Motion to Compel

Dear Calvin,

In a further effort to resolve the matters raised in Apple's Motion to Compel concerning documents from other litigations, Samsung is willing to agree to the following:

Samsung agrees to produce **by April 5** non-privileged documents, if any, that it is able to locate after conducting a reasonable search, responsive to the following categories of documents for litigation in the US and foreign jurisdictions, excluding any responsive discovery motions, filings by third parties, and documents prepared by nontestifying experts:

Documents, including **briefing not publicly available on Pacer**, deposition or other testimony of Samsung's current or former employees or its testifying experts, and reports prepared by Samsung's testifying experts, relating to or containing any claims or statements by Samsung in any litigation or judicial proceeding, regarding the determination of a FRAND royalty rate for any IPR that is claimed Essential to any ETSI Wireless Standard, and the propriety of injunctive relief for the infringement of IPR claimed to be Essential to any ETSI Wireless Standard.

As to Apple's Request No. 134, as indicated in Rachel Herrick Kassabian's February 16, 2012 letter to you, Samsung previously offered to produce its non-privileged responsive "business plans, strategy documents, financial projections and licensing plans that are applicable to patents that have been

declared essential to the UMTS specifications identified in Apple's answers and counterclaims (25.212, 25.213, 25.214, and 25.322)."

Apple accepted this proposal but seeks clarification as to the scope of production. Specifically, Apple wants to know if Samsung intends to include in its production only business plans, strategy documents, financial projections and licensing plans that, by their terms, apply only to patents declared essential to UMTS specifications identified in Apple's answer and counterclaims. To be clear, Samsung will produce **by April 5** its non-privileged, responsive business plans, strategy documents, financial projections and licensing plans, located after a reasonable search, that are applicable to patents that have been declared essential to the UMTS specifications identified in Apple's answer and counterclaim, even if those business plans and other responsive documents also apply to other patents **to UMTS generally and/or to 3G generally**. Of course, Samsung reserves the right to make redactions as appropriate to protect its confidential, proprietary information and/or trade secrets.

I trust that the proposals set forth in this email adequately address the issues raised in Apple's Motion to Compel. Please let me know if Apple is willing to accept Samsung's proposals and take its Motion to Compel off calendar. In light of the filing deadline for Samsung's Opposition papers, I would appreciate it if you would respond by the close of business today.

Regards,

Randa Osman

Randa Osman

Partner,

Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP

865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90017

213-443-3138 Direct

213.443.3000 Main Office Number

213.443.3100 FAX

randaosman@quinnemanuel.com

www.quinnemanuel.com

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail message is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This message may be an attorney-client communication and/or work product and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error and that any review, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by e-mail, and delete the original message.