

Exhibit 1

A P P E A R A N C E S: (CONT'D)

FOR THE DEFENDANTS: QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART &
SULLIVAN
BY: CHARLES K. VERHOEVEN
MICHAEL T. ZELLER
ERIK C. OLSON
KEVIN P.B. JOHNSON
VICTORIA F. MAROULIS
865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET
10TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017

2 P R O C E E D I N G S

3
4 (WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE
5 FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)

14:27:50 6 THE CLERK: CALLING CASE NUMBER
14:27:56 7 C-11-1846-LHK, APPLE VERSUS SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
14:27:59 8 COMPANY LIMITED, ET AL.

14:28:18 9 MR. MCELHINNY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
14:28:21 10 HONOR. HAROLD MCELHINNY, MICHAEL JACOBS, AND GRANT
14:28:22 11 KIM FOR THE PLAINTIFFS APPLE.

14:28:25 12 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON.

14:28:29 13 MR. VERHOEVEN: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
14:28:30 14 HONOR. CHARLES VERHOEVEN FOR QUINN EMANUEL ON
14:28:33 15 BEHALF OF THE SAMSUNG DEFENDANTS AND WITH ME IS MY
14:28:37 16 PARTNER KEVIN JOHNSON, MIKE ZELLER, VICKIE
14:28:48 17 MAROULIS.

14:28:48 18 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON. PLEASE SIT
14:28:49 19 OR STAND, WHICHEVER IS MOST COMFORTABLE.

14:28:52 20 I HAVE QUESTIONS FOR ALL SIDES TODAY.

14:28:58 21 I'LL START FIRST WITH MR. VERHOEVEN.
14:29:01 22 WHEN WE HAD THE HEARING ON APPLE'S MOTION YOU HAD
14:29:03 23 SPECIFIED SOME EXPEDITED DISCOVERY THAT SAMSUNG
14:29:06 24 WOULD NEED, WHICH I THOUGHT WAS VERY REASONABLE,
14:29:09 25 BUT IT DOESN'T APPEAR THAT YOU'RE REQUESTING THAT

14:35:02 1 CONSTRUCTION AND A FULL ANALYSIS ON A P.I. BASIS.

14:35:05 2 SO TELL ME WHAT YOU'RE CURRENT THINKING
14:35:07 3 IS.

14:35:07 4 MR. MCELHINNY: MY CURRENT THINKING IS
14:35:08 5 THAT I INTEND TO, IF NECESSARY, CHANGE YOUR MIND
14:35:12 6 ABOUT THE UTILITY PATENTS, BUT THE DIRECT ANSWER TO
14:35:16 7 YOUR QUESTION IS WE'RE GOING TO REVIEW THE RIGHTS
14:35:22 8 THAT WE HAVE ASSERTED.

14:35:23 9 AND WE'RE GOING TO MOVE -- IF WE MOVE, WE
14:35:25 10 ARE GOING TO MOVE ONTO SOME KIND OF COMBINATION OF
14:35:27 11 THE RIGHTS THAT WE HAVE ASSERTED, YOUR HONOR.

14:35:29 12 BUT I CAN'T TELL YOU RIGHT NOW THAT WE
14:35:31 13 HAVE DECIDED TO MOVE, MUCH LESS WHICH OF OUR MANY
14:35:34 14 CLAIMS WE'RE GOING TO MOVE ON.

14:35:35 15 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME ASK ACTUALLY OF
14:35:37 16 BOTH PARTIES AND IT SOUNDS LIKE BOTH OF YOU ARE
14:35:41 17 INTERESTED IN GETTING -- ESPECIALLY IF YOU WANT TO
14:35:43 18 LITIGATE UTILITY PATENTS, THEN LET'S JUST SET AN
14:35:47 19 EXPEDITED SCHEDULE FOR THE WHOLE CASE.

14:35:49 20 I WOULD RATHER US JUST START NOW AND I
14:35:51 21 WANT TO HEAR FROM BOTH SIDES WHETHER YOU WOULD
14:35:54 22 AGREE WITH IT RATHER THAN EVERY SIX WEEKS HAVING AN
14:35:57 23 EXPEDITED DISCOVERY MOTION.

14:35:59 24 IF YOU REALLY FEEL THIS ANXIOUS, SET THE
14:36:02 25 SCHEDULE NOW AND I'LL GIVE YOU A TRIAL IN

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
CERTIFY:

THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.

/S/

IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR
CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074

DATED: JUNE 20, 2011