

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a  
Korean business entity; SAMSUNG  
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New  
York corporation; SAMSUNG  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK-PSG

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
REQUEST TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL  
RESPONSE TO APPLE'S CORRECTED  
REPLY IN SUPPORT OF RULE 37(b)(2)  
MOTION RE: SAMSUNG'S ALLEGED  
VIOLATION OF JANUARY 27, 2012  
DAMAGES DISCOVERY ORDER**

On March 20, 2012, Plaintiff Apple Inc. (“Apple”) filed a Reply Brief in Support of its Motion for Rule 37(b)(2) Sanctions Re Samsung's Alleged Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery Order (Dkt. Nos. 822, 828).

Samsung has filed an Administrative Request to file a Supplemental Response to Apple's Corrected Reply in Support of Rule 37(b)(2) Motion Re Samsung's Alleged Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery Order. Having considered the arguments of the parties and the papers submitted, and having found good cause therefor, the Court hereby GRANTs Samsung's Administrative Request to file a Supplemental Response to Apple's Corrected Reply in Support of

1 Rule 37(b)(2) Motion Re Samsung's Alleged Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery  
2 Order.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4  
5 DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

6  
7  
8 \_\_\_\_\_  
9 HONORABLE PAUL S. GREWAL  
10 United States Magistrate Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28