

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a  
Korean business entity; SAMSUNG  
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New  
York corporation; SAMSUNG  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,  
LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK-PSG

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS  
UNDER SEAL**

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") have filed Samsung's Supplemental Response to Apple's Corrected Reply in Support of Rule 37(b)(2) Motion re: Samsung's Alleged Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery Order .

Samsung has filed the declaration required under Civil L.R. 79-5 and General Order No. 62 to provide evidence of good cause for this Court to permit filing under seal. The declaration establishes that the below documents contain information that has been designated as HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY by Samsung.

1           Accordingly, for good cause shown, the Court ORDERS that the following documents  
2 shall be filed under seal:

- 3           1. The confidential, unredacted version of Samsung's Supplemental Response to  
4           Apple's Corrected Reply in Support of Rule 37(b)(2) Motion re: Samsung's Alleged  
5           Violation of January 27, 2012 Damages Discovery Order (“Samsung’s  
6           Supplemental Response to Apple’s Motion for Sanctions”);
- 7           2. Exhibits A, B, C, I, J, and K to the Declaration of Christopher E. Price in Support  
8           of Samsung’s Supplemental Response to Apple’s Motion for Sanctions;
- 9           3. The confidential, unredacted version of the Declaration of Beomjoon Kim in  
10          Support of Samsung’s Supplemental Response to Apple’s Motion for Sanctions.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATED: April \_\_\_\_, 2012

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Paul S. Grewal  
United States Magistrate Judge