1				
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION			
5	APPLE, INC.,) CV-11-1846-LHK			
6	PLAINTIFF,) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA			
7	VS.)			
8) APRIL 9, 2012 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.)			
9	LTD., ET AL,) PAGES 1-189			
10	DEFENDANT.)			
11				
12	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL S. GREWAL			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
14				
15	APPEARANCES:			
16	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP BY: ALLISON TUCHER			
17	NATHAN SABRI JOBY MARTIN			
18	425 MARKET STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			
19				
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: QUINN EMANUEL BY: VICTORIA MAROULIS			
21	SARA JENKINS 555 TWIN DOLPHIN DRIVE, 5TH FL			
22	REDWOOD SHORES, CA 94065			
23	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE)			
24				
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: SUMMER FISHER, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185 1			

1		MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP BY: ERIK OLSON
2		755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304
3		TADO ADIO, CA 94304
4	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	QUINN EMANUEL BY: DIANE HUTNYAN
5		ANTHONY ALDEN CURRAN WALKER
6		865 S. FIGUEROA ST., 10TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
7		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
		2
		۷۲

Γ

1 NECESSARY? 2 MS. TUCHER: YOUR HONOR, THE BUSINESS 3 PLANS HELP US TO SEE WHAT 2012 IS SUPPOSED TO LOOK LIKE IN SAMSUNG'S EYES. 4 5 IT WAS PART OF WHAT YOU ORDERED PRODUCED 6 ON FEBRUARY 3RD AND THEY'VE GIVEN THEM TO US IN 7 REDACTED FORM, THEY JUST TOOK OUT A LOT OF THE 8 INFORMATION. 9 THE COURT: CAN YOU TELL WHAT'S BEEN 10 REDACTED EVEN IF YOU DON'T KNOW EXACTLY? 11 MS. TUCHER: WE CAN TELL MUCH OF THE 12 SUBSTANCE OF THE REPORTS. 13 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. MS. TUCHER: SO THOSE ARE THE DOCUMENTS 14 15 AND DATA THAT WE BELIEVE SHOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED 16 FEBRUARY 3RD AND THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE 17 PRODUCED AS THE FIRST ITEM IN OUR ASK HERE AS A 18 RESULT OF THE VIOLATION OF YOUR FEBRUARY 3RD ORDER. WE THINK WE WILL NEED A LITTLE BIT OF 19 TIME WITH A WITNESS TO MAKE SURE WE UNDERSTAND THE 20 21 DATA CORRECTLY. WE UNDERSTAND DISCOVERY IS CLOSED, 22 SO RATHER THAN ASKING FOR A NEW 30(B)(6) DEPONENT 23 YOU'VE ALREADY ORDERED THAT JOSEPH CHUNG BE MADE AVAILABLE. HE'S -- BECAUSE OF HIS POSITION AS CFO 24 25 AT STA, WE THINK IN A POSITION TO ANSWER QUESTIONS

IF WE COULD HAVE A COUPLE OF EXTRA HOURS WITH HIM. 1 WE'VE ASKED THAT WE BE ALLOWED TO USE THE 2 3 NEW DATA THAT WE GET FROM SAMSUNG WITHOUT FILING SUPPLEMENTAL EXPERT REPORT. THE REASON FOR THAT IS 4 5 THAT OUR ORIGINAL EXPERT REPORT IS IN. WE ARE 6 GOING TO SOON GET THEIR RESPONSE TO THAT. 7 OUR DAMAGES EXPERT IS DUE TO BE DEPOSED SOME TIME THIS MONTH, THE LAST DATE FOR EXPERT 8 DEPOSITIONS IS THE 27TH OF APRIL. 9 10 WE DON'T THINK IT'S FAIR TO ALLOW SAMSUNG 11 TWO BITES AT THE APPLE, TWO OPPORTUNITIES TO 12 CROSS-EXAMINE OUR DAMAGES EXPERT AS A BENEFIT OF 13 THEIR OWN VIOLATION OF YOU'RE ORDER. THE COURT: SO WOULDN'T A BETTER WAY TO 14 15 SOLVE THAT PROBLEM BE TO SIMPLY DELAY HIS 16 DEPOSITION AND HAVE HIM DEPOSED ONCE AFTER A SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT IS TENDERED? 17 MS. TUCHER: YOUR HONOR, I UNDERSTAND 18 19 THAT THAT IS AN ALTERNATIVE. 20 WE HAVE BEEN DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN TO 21 STICK WITH THE SCHEDULE THAT JUDGE KOH SET IN THIS 22 CASE BECAUSE IT'S NOT IN APPLE'S INTEREST TO SEE 23 ANYTHING DELAYED. BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE YOU KNEW THAT APRIL 27TH IS THE --24 25 THE COURT: I'M JUST THINKING OF

JUDGE KOH'S INTEREST IN MANAGING A TRIAL WITH TESTIMONY THAT WASN'T DISCLOSED IN A REPORT, THAT GETS AWFULLY DIFFICULT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

25

MS. TUCHER: I UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT, BUT I THINK THAT SAMSUNG IS THE PARTY THAT HAS PUT US IN THAT POSITION AND AT SOME LEVEL IT'S SAMSUNG'S RESPONSIBILITY TO COPE WITH THE CONSEQUENCES.

8 WE'VE ALSO ASKED THAT SAMSUNG BE REQUIRED 9 TO LIVE WITH THE RESULTS OF ITS FEBRUARY 3RD 10 PRODUCTION. AND THE REASON THAT THAT MATTERS IS 11 BECAUSE OF THE BIG NUMBER THAT I SHOWED YOU THAT 12 THEY HAVE MOVED FROM, THEY PULLED OUT PROFITS AND 13 MOVED INTO COSTS. AND THEY DID THAT AFTER FEBRUARY 3RD. AND WE THINK IT WAS ILLEGITIMATE BUT 14 15 WE THINK THEY SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO LIVE WITH WHAT THEY GAVE US AS THE DATE YOU HAD ORDERED IT. 16

17 THE COURT: I APOLOGIZE FOR JUMPING 18 AROUND A BIT ON THIS, BUT IS IT FAIR FOR ME TO 19 UNDERSTAND THAT ALL OF THIS INFORMATION YOU BELIEVE 20 SHOULD HAVE BEEN PRODUCED AND EITHER WASN'T OR WAS 21 PRODUCED FAR TOO LATE, ALL RELATES TO YOUR CLAIM 22 FOR PROFITS ALONE, OR DOES THIS IMPLICATE ANY OF 23 YOUR OTHER BUCKET LIST OF DAMAGES, FOR LACK OF A 2.4 BETTER TERM?

MS. TUCHER: I THINK IT IS MOST DIRECTLY

54

1 PART OF THE ORIGINAL MOTION PRACTICE. 2 AND I APOLOGIZE YOUR HONOR AGAIN, BUT 3 SINCE YOUR HONOR DID ASK THE QUESTION, MY COLLEAGUES INFORM ME THAT SKYROCKET AND EPIC ARE 4 ACCUSED IN CASE TWO THAT YOUR HONOR WILL BE --5 6 THE COURT: SO WE ARE GOING DEALING WITH 7 THIS AT SOME POINT OR ANOTHER. 8 MS. MAROULIS: THAT APPEARS TO BE CORRECT, FOR THE RECORD. 9 SO GOING BACK TO WHAT WAS AND WAS NOT 10 11 WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE ORDER OF. 12 SO HOW DID SAMSUNG COMPLY WITH THE ORDER 13 IN THE SIX CATEGORIES OF DOCUMENTS IT PRODUCED? FIRST OF ALL, WHILE THE SPREADSHEET WAS 14 15 THE FOCUS OF COUNSEL'S ARGUMENT, THAT IS NOT THE 16 ONLY FINANCIAL DOCUMENT WE HAVE PRODUCED. AND I 17 RECALL MR. MCELHINNY TWO WEEKS AGO THEY SAY THEY 18 PRODUCED ONE PAGE. THAT'S NOT PROPER. IT'S NOT A 19 ONE PAGE, IT'S MULTIPLE PAGES DOCUMENT WITH ALL THE 20 ATTACHMENTS AND ALL THE WORKSHEETS. 21 BUT MORE IMPORTANTLY, THAT IS NOT THE 22 ONLY DOCUMENT WE HAVE PRODUCED. WE PRODUCED 23 ADDITIONAL SALES REPORTS, CLOSING REPORTS, VARIOUS 24 CARRIER DOCUMENTS THAT SHOW WHO IS SELLING WHAT. 25 WE HAVE ATTACHED OUR MOTION PAPERS THE

68

1 DECLARATION OF JOBY MARTIN, THE LIST OF SOME OF THE 2 FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS AND IN OUR BRIEFS WE EXPLAIN 3 WHAT OTHER DOCUMENTS WE HAVE. SO IT'S ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THE SPREADSHEET SENT IS THE ONLY DOCUMENT 4 5 APPLE RECEIVED. 6 THE COURT: IS IT ALSO CLEAR THAT NONE OF 7 THE INFORMATION IN ANY OF THOSE DOCUMENTS PROVIDES 8 APPLE WITH THE INFORMATION THEY ARE SEEKING BY THIS 9 MOTION AND WHICH EXTENDS OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE OF 10 THOSE SIX CATEGORIES? 11 MS. MAROULIS: YES AND NO. FOR SOME OF IT 12 YES, FOR SOME OF IT NO. 13 FOR EXAMPLE COST AND BILL OF MATERIALS ARE NOT PART OF IT, FLUX REPORTS ARE PROBABLY NOT, 14 15 BUT VARIOUS OTHER DATA THAT THEY ARE CLAIMING THEY 16 DON'T HAVE CAN BE CALCULATED BY TAKING EXISTING 17 DOCUMENTS WITH THE SUPPORT OF THE DEPOSITION 18 TESTIMONY, AND YOUR HONOR SHOULDN'T UNDERSTAND THAT 19 NOW MR. SIMMS WHO IS A VERY HIGH LEVEL EXECUTIVE 20 WHICH MR. OLSON CONCEDED WAS DEPOSED TWICE AND 21 MR. SHEPPARD WAS DEPOSED THREE TIMES IN THIS CASE 22 ALONE, NOT COUNTING ITC. 23 SO NOT ONLY HAVE WE PRODUCED ENORMOUS 24 AMOUNTS OF DOCUMENTS AND FINANCIAL TOPICS, APPLE 25 FOLKS HAVE NOW HAD BETWEEN 5 AND 7 OPPORTUNITIES TO

69

1	SPEAK WITH OUR VARIOUS FINANCE PEOPLE WHO ARE VERY		
2	HIGH LEVEL INDIVIDUALS.		
3	THEY WILL ALSO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY SPEAK		
4	WITH THE CFO OF STA, MR. CHUNG, PURSUANT TO THE		
5	APEX ORDER.		
6	SO THERE'S BEEN NO SHORTAGE OF		
7	OPPORTUNITY		
8	THE COURT: I'M GLAD SAMSUNG VIEWS IT AS		
9	AN OPPORTUNITY, I APPRECIATE THAT CHARACTERIZATION.		
10	I DIDN'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT YOU THOUGH, GO		
11	ON.		
12	MS. MAROULIS: YOUR HONOR, THE POINT		
13	BEING HERE IS THAT A LOT OF ARGUMENTS YOU HEARD		
14	TODAY WAS HOW THEY ARE GOING TO ARGUE THEIR DAMAGES		
15	CASE. AND I SUBMIT THAT'S NOT A PROPER FORM HERE		
16	NOW. A LOT OF IT IS SUBSTANTIVE.		
17	HOW DO YOU COUNT PROFITS? DO YOU GO WITH		
18	CONSOLIDATED OR OTHERS? THERE'S GOING TO BE		
19	DISPUTES BETWEEN THE PARTIES AS TO HOW TO CALCULATE		
20	DAMAGES, AND THEY ARE GOING TAKE FORMS OF VARIOUS		
21	MOTION PRACTICE OR CROSS-EXAMINATION OF EXPERTS AT		
22	TRIAL.		
23	IT DOESN'T PROBABLY SURPRISE YOUR HONOR		
24	THAT THE PARTIES DON'T SEE EYE TO EYE ABOUT HOW TO		
25	COUNT PROFITS, DAMAGES AND ALLOCATIONS.		

Γ

1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	
7	
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
10	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
11	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
12	CERTIFY:
13	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
14	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
15	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
16	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
17	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
18	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.
19	
20	
21	
22	SUMMER A. FISHER, CSR, CRR
23	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 13185
24	
25	
	188

1		
1 2 3		
3		
4		
4 5		
6		
7		
8 9		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	1 0	39
	31	2