

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York
corporation; SAMSUNG
TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC, a
Delaware limited liability company,

Defendants.

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
APPLE'S ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
OF APRIL 12 ORDER**

1 Apple seeks an order clarifying the Court's April 12 Order. Having considered the
2 arguments of the parties and the papers submitted, Apple's Motion for Clarification is
3 GRANTED.

4 The April 12 Order compelling production of unredacted court documents does not
5 require Apple to produce documents in violation of ITC protective orders or Local Rules. To the
6 extent ITC protective orders or Local Rules do not have an exception that allows for production
7 pursuant to a court order, Apple is relieved of any requirement to produce confidential documents
8 from court files in the applicable cases.

9 Moreover, the April 12 Order compelling additional depositions permits Samsung to take
10 only those depositions that are reasonably necessary to mitigate prejudice caused by Apple's late
11 production of transcripts pursuant to the Order.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 Dated: May _____, 2012.

14
15 _____
16 HONORABLE PAUL S. GREWAL
17 United States Magistrate Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28