LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, 24

Defendants.

Judge: Hon. Paul S. Grewal

25

26

27

28

02198.51887/4726684.1

## NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on June 5, 2012 at 10:00 a.m., or as soon as the matter may be heard by the Honorable Paul S. Grewal in Courtroom 5, United States District Court for the Northern District of California, Robert F. Peckham Federal Building, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, California 95113, Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") shall and hereby do move the Court for an order pursuant to General Order 59 sealing portions of the Transcript of Proceedings Before the Honorable Paul S. Grewal, United States Magistrate Judge, dated March 27, 2012 (Dkt. 855) ("Transcript").

This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and supporting memorandum of points and authorities.

13 | //

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14 | //

15 | //

16 | //

17 | //

18 //

19 | //

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

20 ||

21

22 ||

23

24

25

26

27

28

02198.51887/4726684.1

Case No. 11-cy-01846-L

## **RELIEF REQUESTED**

Pursuant to General Order No. 59, Samsung requests that the Court issue an order sealing the following portions of the Transcript:

4

1

2

3

5	Morning Session (Page:Lines)	Afternoon Session (Page:Lines)
6	13:20-25	63:8-12, 19-25
	14:10-15, 18-25	64:1-9, 12-16, 21-25
7	15:5, 15-22	68:5-6, 8-9, 16-19, 22-25
	16:3-5, 15-17	69:3-8
8	17:16-20	70:10-25
	18:1-9, 12-13, 17-19	71:1, 5-6, 21-24
9	22:16-17, 20	72:6-12, 19-21
10	23:1	73:8-9, 12-13, 17-18
10	24:3	76:13-16
11	27:1-7	77:17-22
11	30:1-4, 9-12, 20-21 31:5-8, 10-12, 18, 20-21	78:3-5 79:7-8
12	32:6-8	86:9-13
12	33:3-6, 9-11, 15-21, 24-25	88:19-21
13	34:1-5, 8-11, 21-25	96:23-24
	35: 1-2, 17-19, 22	97:13-14, 25
14	36:3-6, 23-24	98:1-2
	37:11-12, 14-17, 19-24	104:5-7
15	38:11-13, 22-23	121:24-25
	39:18-25	122:1
16	40:1	140:2-5
	41:17-20	142:3-5
17	42:2-4, 20-23	
10	43:8, 14, 16-21, 23-25	
18	44:1, 3-7, 10-12, 21-25	
19	45:2-4, 12-14	
19	46:2-4, 7-11, 18   47:8-10, 12-15, 22-23, 25	
20	48:1, 11-13, 15-19	
20	49:2-5, 8-12	
21	51:6-9	
	52:1-4, 23-25	
22	53:2-3, 4-10, 12-17, 23-25	
-	54:1-2	
23	55:6-10	

24

25

26

27

28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

While the public generally has a common-law right to access judicial records in a civil case, access is denied where there is a "likelihood of an improper use, including publication of

... trade secret materials." Valley Broadcasting Co. v. U.S. Dist. Court for Dist. of Nevada, 798

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

02198.51887/4726684.1

1	F.2d 1289, 1294 (9th Cir. 1986) (citing United States v. Criden (In re National Broadcasting Co.),			
2	648 F.2d 814, 830 (3d Cir. 1981) (Weis, J., concurring)); see also Nixon v. Warner			
3	Communications, Inc., 435 U.S. 589, 598 (1978) (noting that the "right to inspect and copy			
4	judicial records is not absolute" and that "courts have refused to permit their files to serve as			
5	reservoirs of sources of business information that might harm a litigant's competitive			
6	standing"). The right to access is further diminished where, as here, a party seeks to prevent the			
7	disclosure of information discussed during a hearing on a nondispositive discovery motion. <i>Cf.</i>			
8	Phillips ex rel. Estates of Byrd v. General Motors Corp., 307 F.3d 1206, 1213 (9th Cir. 2002)			
9	(noting that a "strong presumption of access" does not apply to sealed discovery documents			
10	attached to nondispositive motions and that a "party seeking disclosure must present sufficiently			
11	compelling reasons why the sealed discovery document should be released"). Nondispositive			
12	motions are "unrelated, or only tangentially related to the underlying cause of action." <i>Kamakana</i>			
13	v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006) (internal citations omitted).			
14	Thus, the "public has less of a need for access" to the information. <i>Id</i> .			
15	Samsung has established good cause to permit sealing portions of the Transcript. See			
16	Phillips, 307 F.3d at 1213 (noting that "[w]hen a court grants a protective order for information			
17	produced during discovery, it already has determined that 'good cause' exists to protect this			
18	information from being disclosed to the public"). The lines identified above discuss or refer to			
19	the contents of documents and deposition transcripts that contain confidential and commercially			
20	sensitive business information and were thus designated by Samsung as HIGHLY			
21	CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY under the Protective Order. See Declarations			
22	of Hankil Kang in Support of Samsung's Motion to File Documents Under Seal (Dkts. 754, 773,			
23	and 819); Declarations of Melissa Chan in Support of Apple's and Samsung's Administrative			
24	Motions to File Documents Under Seal (Dkts. 739, 758, and 791); see also Dkt. 687.			
25				
26				
27	The Court has already recognized that "many of the facts supporting each party's position" – the facts discussed during the hearing – "may be subject to sealing." (Dkt. 850 at 5.)			

1	A copy of the Transcript with the lines Samsung requests that the Court seal highlighted				
2	will be served on all parties in conjunction with this motion.				
3	Accordingly, the Court should grant Samsung's motion and issue an order sealing portions				
4	of the Transcript as identified <i>supra</i> .				
5					
6	DATED: April 27, 2012 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &				
7	SULLIVAN, LLP				
8					
9	By /s/ Victoria Maroulis				
10	Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson	_			
	Victoria F. Maroulis				
11	Michael T. Zeller				
12	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,				
13	LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,				
14	INC., and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
26684 1	1 Casa No. 11 ov 018/6 L	ЦV			