| 1 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor | |
| 3 | 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 | |
| 4 | Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700 | |
| 5 | Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129 | |
| 6 | kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603) | |
| 7 | victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor | |
| 8 | Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 | |
| | Facsimile: (650) 801-5100 | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com | |
| 11 | 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 | |
| 12 | Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA | |
| 15 | INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC | , |
| 16 | TEELECONNICTATIONS ANVIEWER, ELEC | |
| 17 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 18 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | APPLE INC., a California corporation, | CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK |
| 21 | Plaintiff, | DECLARATION OF BILL TRAC IN |
| 22 | VS. | SUPPORT OF SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE |
| 23 | SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a | DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL |
| 24 | Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New | |
| 25 | York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, | |
| 26 | LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, | |
| 27 | Defendant. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

02198.51855/4759035.1

I, Bill Trac, declare:

- 1. I am an associate in the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung"). Unless otherwise indicated, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and, if called upon as a witness, I could and would testify as follows.
- 2. The requested relief is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in Samsung's Motion for Summary Judgment and associated documents, declarations and exhibits.
- 3. Exhibits 1-3, 14-18, 21, 27, 37-53, 55-61, 63-69, and 71-73, and 77-80 to the . the Declaration of Brett Arnold ("Arnold Declaration") are documents or deposition transcripts that Apple has designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. Samsung expects that Apple will file a declaration under Local Rule 79-5(d) establishing good cause to seal them.
- 4. Exhibits 74, 75 and 76 are expert reports that contain or references documents designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY from both Apple and Samsung. These documents contain confidential business information of the parties, the public disclosure of which could harm the companies. Samsung will file a proposed redacted version as soon as Samsung and Apple have identified all the confidential information in these reports.
- 5. The confidential, unredacted version of the Arnold Declaration discusses and references the information contained in the documents described in paragraphs 3 and 4 above, and should therefore be sealed for the same reasons.
- 6. Exhibits 2 to the Declaration of Andries van Dam in Support of the Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,467,381 ("van Dam Declaration") is the

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. Samsung expects that Apple will file a declaration under Local Rule 79-5(d) establishing good cause to seal them.

August 16, 2011 deposition transcript of Dr. Ravin Balakrishnan that Apple has designated

- 7. Exhibits 3 and 4 to the van Dam Declaration are claim charts that discuss source code for the Tablecloth/DTFlash application that is designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE. These discussions must be sealed to protect the confidential and proprietary source code belonging to third party Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories.
- 8. The confidential, unreducted version of the van Dam declaration discusses and references the information contained in the documents described in paragraphs 6-7 above, and should therefore be sealed for the same reasons.
- 9. The confidential, unredacted version of the Declaration of Clifton Forlines in Support of the Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity of U.S. Patent No. 7,467,381 discusses source code for the Tablecloth/DTFlash application that is designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL OUTSIDE ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE. These discussions must be sealed to protect the confidential and proprietary source code belonging to third party Mitsubishi Electric Research Laboratories. A proposed redacted version of this declaration has been filed concurrently herewith.
- 10. Exhibits 9, 18, and 20 to the Von Herzen Declaration are excerpts from the depositions of Apple employees Brian Huppi, Joshua Strickon, and Steven Hotelling that Apple has designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. Samsung expects that Apple will file a declaration under Local Rule 79-5(d) establishing good cause to seal them.
- 11. Exhibit 19 to the Von Herzen Declaration is an excerpt from the deposition of Synaptics employee Shawn Day, and has been designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL –

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. The excerpt discusses information confidential to third party Synaptics, and sealing is necessary to protect that third-party confidentiality.

- 12. Exhibit 10 to the Von Herzen Declaration is the Expert Report of Michel Maharbiz, Ph.D Regarding Validity of Claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,663,607 and 7,920,129. The report contains information from both Apple, Samsung, and third parties that has been designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. The report should be sealed at least because it contains discusses information confidential to third party Atmel and references excerpts from depositions of Apple employees that Apple has designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY.
- 13. Exhibit 16 to the von Herzen Declaration is the Expert Report of Dr. Brian von Herzen on the Invalidity of U.S. Patents 7,663,607 and 7,920,129. The report contains information from both Apple, Samsung, and third parties that has been designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. The report should be sealed at least because it contains discusses information confidential to third parties Synaptics and Atmel and references excerpts from depositions of Apple employees that Apple has designated HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY.
- 14. The confidential, unredacted version of the von Herzen Declaration discusses and references the information contained in paragraphs 10-13 above, and should therefore be sealed for the same reasons.
- 15. Exhibits 6 and 7 to the Gray Declaration are Vols. I and II of the deposition transcript of Apple's expert, Karan Singh. These transcripts contains Apple and Samsung's confidential information, such as discussions of Samsung's source code, and has been marked HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. These transcripts should be sealed

02198.51855/4759035.1

to protect the confidentiality of Samsung's source code. Samsung also expects Apple to file a declaration under Local Rule 79-5(d) further establishing good cause for sealing these transcripts.

- 16. Exhibits 8-11 to the Gray Declaration are excerpts from the depositions of Apple employees Scott Herz, Andrew Platzer, Scott Forstall, Richard Williamson, and Greg Christie, and have been marked by Apple HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY.

 Samsung expects Apple to file a declaration under Local Rule 79-5(d) establishing good cause for sealing these transcripts.
- 17. Exhibits 13-15 to the Gray Declaration are copies of the Singh Infringement Report, Exhibit 17 to the Singh Infringement Report, and the Singh Rebuttal Report. These reports contain discussion and references to Samsung's confidential information, including source code, and have been marked HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY. They should be sealed to protect the confidentiality of Samsung's source code.
- 18. Exhibit 17 to the Gray Declaration is a copy of source code produced by Samsung in this action, and has been marked HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY SOURCE CODE. It should be sealed to protect the confidentiality of Samsung's source code.
- 19. The confidential, unredacted version of the Gray Declaration discusses and references the information contained in paragraphs 15-18, and should also therefore be sealed for the same reasons. A proposed redacted version of the Gray Declaration has been filed concurrently herewith.
- 20. The confidential, unredacted version of Samsung's Motion for Summary Judgment discusses and references the information contained in paragraphs 3-19 above, and should also therefore be sealed for the same reasons.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Redwood Shores, California on May 17, 2012. /s/ Bill Trac Bill Trac

GENERAL ORDER ATTESTATION

I, Victoria Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file the foregoing document. I hereby attest pursuant to General Order 45.X.B. that concurrence in the electronic filing of this document has been obtained from Bill Trac.

/s/ Victoria Maroulis

02198.51855/4759035.1