

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
 Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)
 2 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 3 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
 4 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

5 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)
 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
 6 Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)
 victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com
 7 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5th Floor
 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 8 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

9 Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417)
 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
 10 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
 Los Angeles, California 90017
 11 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 12 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

13 Attorneys for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,
 Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and
 14 Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

17 APPLE INC., a California corporation,
 18 Plaintiff,
 19 vs.
 20 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
 21 Korean business entity; SAMSUNG
 22 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New
 York corporation; SAMSUNG
 23 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,
 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,
 24 Defendants.

CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING
 APPLE'S MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME**

Honorable Lucy H. Koh
 United States District Judge

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Apple Inc.’s (“Apple”) Motion to Shorten Time for Briefing and Hearing on Apple’s Motion for Expedited Trial on Its Claims and for Early Case Management Conference (the “Motion”) (D.N. 84), the declaration cited therein, and all pleadings and other papers in support thereof; and the Opposition filed by Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively “Samsung”), the declaration cited therein, and all pleadings and other papers in support thereof; the Court concludes that good cause does not exist for Apple’s Motion. The Court therefore DENIES Apple’s Motion.

Apple’s Motion for Expedited Trial on Its Claims and for Early Case Management Conference (D.N. 83) shall be briefed according to the normal schedule prescribed by the Civil Local Rules of this Court, as follows:

- Samsung’s Opposition to the Motion will be due on or before July 15, 2011; and
- Apple’s Reply in support of the Motion, if any, will be due on or before July 22, 2011;

In order to avoid undue burden on Samsung, representatives of whom must travel from South Korea, the hearing on Apple’s Motion, if any, shall be held on the same day as the currently-scheduled Case Management Conference in this action, or August 24, 2011, at 2 p.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: _____, 2011

LUCY H. KOH
United States District Judge