

# EXHIBIT J

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
3 SAN JOSE DIVISION

4 - - - - -  
5 APPLE INC.,

6 Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant,

7 vs.

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

8 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,

9 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,

10 INC., SAMSUNG

11 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,

12 LLC,

13 Defendants/Conterclaim Plaintiffs,  
14 - - - - -

15  
16 VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF NICHOLAS P. GODICI

17 Washington, D.C.

18 Monday, May 7, 2012

19  
20 \*Contains Confidential Portion Bound Separate\*

21  
22 Job No.: 49275

23  
24 Reported by:

25 SUSAN ASHE, RMR/CRR

Monday, May 7, 2012

9:01 a.m.

Videotaped deposition of NICHOLAS P.

GODICI, taken on behalf of Plaintiffs, at MORRISON &  
FOERSTER LLP, 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest,  
Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:01 a.m., on Monday,  
May 7, 2012, before Susan Ashe, RMR/CRR.

1 APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

2 FOR PLAINTIFF APPLE INC. AND THE WITNESS:

3 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

4 BY: CHARLES S. BARQUIST, ESQ.

5 555 West Fifth Street

6 Los Angeles, California 90013

7  
8  
9 FOR DEFENDANT SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, INC.:

10 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

11 BY: VICTORIA F. MAROULIS, ESQ.

12 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560

13 Redwood Shores, California 94065

14  
15 ALSO PRESENT: Jordan Mummert, Videographer

1 office determines patentability to be helpful. 09:07

2 But as stated in my report, I'm opining on 09:07

3 how patent examiners view the scope of coverage when 09:07

4 determining the patentability of a design 09:07

5 application. 09:07

6 Q Are you familiar with the rules of claim 09:07

7 construction that a district court applies to 09:07

8 interpretation of a design patent? 09:07

9 A Well, in general, although that would not 09:07

10 be something that I opined on necessarily directly 09:07

11 in my report. 09:07

12 Again, I think my report centers on how 09:07

13 the patent office would look at a design application 09:07

14 and determine patentability. 09:08

15 Q So, you're not offering an opinion then on 09:08

16 what the proper scope of any of the design patents 09:08

17 is in the district court infringement action. 09:08

18 Is that correct? 09:08

19 MS. MAROULIS: Objection; misstates the 09:08

20 report, vague. 09:08

21 A Well, again, I'm attempting to -- and I 09:08

22 would like to, if possible, if allowed to testify, 09:08

23 explain to the court how the patent office and 09:08

24 patent examiners look at claims in a design 09:08

25 application when they evaluate the claims for 09:08

DECLARATION

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is my deposition under oath; that these are the questions asked of me and my answers thereto; and that I have read my deposition and have made the corrections, additions, or changes to my answers that I deem necessary.

In witness whereof, I hereby subscribe my name this                      day of                      , 2012.

---

NICHOLAS P. GODICI