

1 PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS (CA SBN 87607)
 PPreovolos@mofo.com
 2 ANDREW D. MUHLBACH (CA SBN 175694)
 AMuhlbach@mofo.com
 3 STUART C. PLUNKETT (CA SBN 187971)
 SPlunkett@mofo.com
 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
 425 Market Street
 5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482
 Telephone: 415.268.7000
 6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

7 Attorneys for Defendant
 APPLE INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 AARON FRIEDMAN, on behalf of himself and
 all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 APPLE INC. a California Corporation; AT&T
 16 MOBILITY, LLC, a Delaware Corporation, and
 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

17 Defendants.

Case No. 3:10-cv-02403-JLS-POR

**JOINT MOTION TO FURTHER
 EXTEND DEFENDANTS' TIME TO
 RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION
 COMPLAINT**

[LOCAL CIVIL RULE 12.1]

Judge: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

Complaint Filed: November 22, 2010

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 12.1, Plaintiff Aaron Freidman (“plaintiff”), Defendants Apple
2 Inc. (“Apple”) and AT&T Mobility, LLC (“ATTM”) respectfully request that the Court further
3 extend the deadline by which defendants must respond to plaintiffs’ Class Action Complaint
4 (“Complaint”) until April 1, 2011. Defendants’ response is currently due March 2, 2011.

5 The parties seek this extension not for delay, but rather to permit the parties to continue to
6 consider whether the issues plaintiff raises regarding the Apple iPad arise from the same
7 circumstances and allegations, and whether they involve common questions of law and fact, as
8 those raised in three cases that were consolidated and currently pending in the San Jose Division
9 of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California before the Honorable
10 Ronald M. Whyte concerning the Apple iPad. The consolidated case is styled as *Weisblatt et al v.*
11 *Apple Inc. et al*, Case No. 5:10-cv-02553 RMW (the case number for the first-filed action). The
12 parties have met and conferred about whether a joint stipulation, pursuant to 28.U.S.C. §§1404(a)
13 and (b), to transfer venue of the above-captioned *Friedman* action to the San Jose Division of the
14 United States District Court for the Northern District of California is appropriate. Accordingly, to
15 allow the parties to continue to consider whether to seek transfer, the parties respectfully request
16 that defendants’ time to respond to the Complaint be extended until April 1, 2011.

17 Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2, the parties have separately submitted a Proposed Order
18 granting the relief requested.

19 Dated: February 24, 2011

PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS
ANDREW DAVID MUHLBACH
STUART C. PLUNKETT
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

23 By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos
24 PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS

25 *Attorneys for Defendant*
26 APPLE INC.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: February 24, 2011

M. KAY MARTIN
KATHLEEN TAYLOR SOOY
CROWELL & MORING, LLP

By: /s/ M. Kay Martin
M. KAY MARTIN

Attorneys for Defendant
AT&T MOBILITY LLC

Dated: February 24, 2011

GAYLE M. BLATT
CASEY, GERRY, SCHENK,
FRANCAVILLA, BLATT & PENFIELD LLP

By: /s/ Gayle M. Blatt
GAYLE M. BLATT

Attorneys for Plaintiff
AARON FRIEDMAN

I, Penelope A. Preovolos, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with Section 2(f)(4) of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual,, I hereby attest that I have on file the concurrences for any signatures indicated by a “conformed” signature (/s/) within this efiled document.

By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos
Penelope A. Preovolos