

1 PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS (CA SBN 87607)  
 PPreovolos@mofo.com  
 2 ANDREW D. MUHLBACH (CA SBN 175694)  
 AMuhlbach@mofo.com  
 3 STUART C. PLUNKETT (CA SBN 187971)  
 SPlunkett@mofo.com  
 4 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
 425 Market Street  
 5 San Francisco, California 94105-2482  
 Telephone: 415.268.7000  
 6 Facsimile: 415.268.7522

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
 APPLE INC.

8  
 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 10 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11  
 12 AARON FRIEDMAN, on behalf of himself and  
 all others similarly situated,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 APPLE INC. a California Corporation; AT&T  
 16 MOBILITY, LLC, a Delaware Corporation, and  
 DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

17 Defendants.  
 18  
 19  
 20

Case No. 3:10-cv-02403-JLS-POR

**JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND  
 DEFENDANTS' TIME TO  
 RESPOND TO CLASS ACTION  
 COMPLAINT**

**[LOCAL CIVIL RULE 12.1]**

Judge: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

Complaint Filed: November 22, 2010

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 12.1, Plaintiff Aaron Freidman (“plaintiff”), Defendants Apple  
2 Inc. (“Apple”) and AT&T Mobility, LLC (“ATTM”) respectfully request that the Court extend  
3 the deadline by which defendants must respond to plaintiffs’ Class Action Complaint  
4 (“Complaint”) until March 2, 2011. ATTM’s response is currently due January 31, 2011, and  
5 Apple’s response is currently due January 28, 2011.

6 The parties seek this extension not for delay, but rather to permit the parties to consider  
7 whether the issues plaintiff raises regarding the Apple iPad arise from the same circumstances  
8 and allegations, and whether they involve common questions of law and fact, as those raised in  
9 three cases that were consolidated and currently pending in the San Jose Division of the United  
10 States District Court for the Northern District of California before the Honorable Ronald M.  
11 Whyte concerning the Apple iPad. The consolidated case is styled as *Weisblatt et al v. Apple Inc.*  
12 *et al*, Case No. 5:10-cv-02553 RMW (the case number for the first-filed action). The parties have  
13 met and conferred about whether a joint stipulation, pursuant to 28.U.S.C. §§1404(a) and (b), to  
14 transfer venue of the above-captioned *Friedman* action to the San Jose Division of the United  
15 States District Court for the Northern District of California is appropriate. Accordingly, to allow  
16 the parties to consider whether to seek transfer, the parties respectfully request that defendants’  
17 time to respond to the Complaint be extended until March 2, 2011.

18 Pursuant to Local Rule 7.2, the parties have separately submitted a Proposed Order  
19 granting the relief requested.

20 Dated: January 24, 2011

PENELOPE A. PREVOLOS  
ANDREW DAVID MUHLBACH  
STUART C. PLUNKETT  
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

24 By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos  
PENELOPE A. PREVOLOS

26 *Attorneys for Defendant*  
APPLE INC.

1 Dated: January 24, 2011

M. KAY MARTIN  
KATHLEEN TAYLOR SOOY  
CROWELL & MORING, LLP

2

3

4

By: /s/ M. Kay Martin  
M. KAY MARTIN

5

*Attorneys for Defendant*  
AT&T MOBILITY LLC

6

7

8 Dated: January 24, 2011

GAYLE M. BLATT  
CASEY, GERRY, SCHENK,  
FRANCAVILLA, BLATT & PENFIELD LLP

9

10

11

By: /s/ Gayle M. Blatt  
GAYLE M. BLATT

12

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
AARON FRIEDMAN

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

I, Penelope A. Preovolos, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this Stipulation. In compliance with Section 2(f)(4) of the Electronic Case Filing Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual,, I hereby attest that I have on file the concurrences for any signatures indicated by a “conformed” signature (/s/) within this efiled document.

22

23

24

25

26

27

By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos  
Penelope A. Preovolos

28