1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	ινι τμε ι ινιιτερ	STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	FOR THE NORTHE	RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	JASON MCCORD PATTEN,) No. C 11-2057 LHK (PR)
12	Plaintiff,)) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO STAN DISCOVERY: CRANTING
13	v.	 STAY DISCOVERY; GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO ELLE OPPOSITION:
14) TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION;) DENYING MOTIONS FOR
15	DR. C. STONE, et al.,) APPOINTMENT COUNSEL
16	Defendants.) (Doc. Nos. 117, 127, 137, 142.)
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceedir	ng pro se, filed a second amended civil rights
18	complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Docket No. 102.) Defendants filed a motion to

//

//

Order Granting Motion to Stay Discovery; Granting Motion for Extension of Time; Denying Motions for Appointment of Counsel G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.11\Patten057misc2.wpd

to compel discovery. (Docket No. 117.)

dismiss for failure to state a claim and for qualified immunity. (Docket No. 134.) Defendants

also filed a motion to stay discovery. (Docket No. 137.) Plaintiff filed an opposition to the

motion to stay discovery. (Docket No. 144.) In addition to opposing a stay of discovery,

Plaintiff also requests an extension of time to file an opposition to Defendants' motion to

dismiss. (Id.) Plaintiff alleges that he is unable to file an opposition due to Defendants' failure

to comply with the Court's order to engage in discovery. (Id.) Plaintiff has also filed a motion

Dockets.Justia.com

1	As a general rule, a district court should stay discovery until the issue of qualified
2	immunity is resolved. See Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 598 (1998); Harlow v.
	Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982). Accordingly, Defendants' motion to stay discovery is
4	hereby GRANTED . (Docket No. 137.) Plaintiff's motion to compel discovery is DENIED .
5	(Docket No. 117.)

In the interest of justice, Plaintiff's request for an extension of time in which to file an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**. Plaintiff shall file an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss within twenty-eight (28) days of the filing date of this order. Defendants' shall file a reply within fourteen (14) days of the filing date of Plaintiff's opposition.

To the extent that Plaintiff requests the Court to appoint counsel in his motion for Court appointed expert witness and his motion to inform the Court of Plaintiff's circumstances, the request is **DENIED** for want of changed circumstances. (Docket Nos. 127 and 142.)

This order terminates docket numbers 117, 127, 137 and 142.

IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: 4/9/13

United State District Judge

Order Granting Motion to Stay Discovery; Granting Motion for Extension of Time; Denying Motions for Appointment of Counsel G:\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\CR.11\Patten057misc2.wpd