ana 001	p of all v. Adobe Oysterns, mo.	D00
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Robert A. Mittelstaedt #60359 ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com David C. Kiernan #215335 dkiernan@jonesday.com Craig A. Huckelbridge #217737 chuckelbridge@jonesday.com JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 626-3939 Facsimile: (415) 875-5700 Attorneys for Defendant Adobe Systems Incorporated	
8	LINITED STATES	S DISTRICT COLIDT
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
11		,
12	FREE FREEHAND CORP., JABEZ	Case No. 5:11-CV-02174-LHK
13	PALMER, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
14	Plaintiffs,	ORDER REGARDING CLASS BRIEFING
15	V.	
16	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC.	
17	Defendant.	
18	///	
19 20	///	
21	///	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	SFI-728491v1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE CLASS BRIEFING
		Case No. 5:11-cv-02174-LHK

1	On March 22, 2012, the parties attended a mediation for over eight hours. They are	
2	continuing discussions with the court-appointed mediator with the hope of resolving the case. In	
3	addition, the parties are still exchanging documents and data and scheduling depositions related to	
4	class issues.	
5	Under the current schedule, plaintiffs' class motion is due on April 5, 2012 with a hearing	
6	on May 10, 2012. (Doc. 26.) To allow additional time for the parties and the court-appointed	
7	mediator to continue discussions and to allow the parties to complete discovery on class issues,	
8	the parties stipulate and request that the class schedule be moved four weeks; specifically,	
9	plaintiffs' class motion must be filed by May 3, 2012 to be heard on July 12, 2012 some later	
10	date that is available for the Court. This change will not affect any other dates in the schedule.	
11		
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
13	Dated: March 26, 2012 JONES DAY	
14	Dru/o/ David C. Viamon	
15	By:/s/ David C. Kiernan David C. Kiernan	
16	Attorneys for Adobe Systems Incorporated	
17	Dec. J. March 20, 2012	
18	Dated: March 26, 2012 BECK & LEE BUSINESS TRIAL LAWYERS	
19	Dru/o/ Jamed II. Dools	
20	By:/s/ Jared H. Beck Jared H. Beck	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
22		
23	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24		
25	Dated: March 29 , 2012	
26	Hon. Lucy H Koh	
27	United State, District Judge	
28	IT IS SO ORDERED AS MODIFIED AS MODIFIED STIPLII ATION AND IPPOPOSED OP DEP DE CLASS	
	SFI-728491v1 AS MODEL AS STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE CLASS BRIEFING - 2 - Case No. 5:11-cv-02174-LHK	