

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

E-FILED - 6/24/11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CALVIN RAY BREEDLOVE,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 vs.)
)
 WARDEN RANDY GROUNDS,)
)
 Respondent.)
 _____)

No. C 11-2379 RMW (PR)
ORDER GRANTING LEAVE TO
PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS; ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE

Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, seeks a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner’s application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. The court orders respondent to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.

BACKGROUND

According to the petition, petitioner pleaded guilty and was convicted in Fresno Superior Court of second degree murder. The trial court sentenced petitioner to a term of fifteen years to life. In August 2009, the Board of Prison Hearings denied parole for the seventh time.

DISCUSSION

A. Standard of Review

This court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus “in behalf of a person in custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in custody in

1 violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States.” 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose
2 v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).

3 A district court shall “award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show
4 cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the
5 applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto.” 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

6 **B. Petitioner’s Claims**

7 As grounds for federal habeas relief, petitioner raises two claims alleging that his right to
8 due process was violated because the State breached the plea agreement. Liberally construed,
9 petitioner’s allegations are sufficient to require a response. The court orders respondent to show
10 cause why the petition should not be granted.

11 **CONCLUSION**

12 1. The clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition (docket no. 1)
13 and all attachments thereto upon the respondent and the respondent’s attorney, the Attorney
14 General of the State of California. The clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on the
15 petitioner.

16 2. Respondent shall file with the court and serve on petitioner, within **ninety days** of
17 the date this order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
18 Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.
19 Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on petitioner a copy of all portions of the
20 underlying state criminal record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a
21 determination of the issues presented by the petition.

22 If petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the
23 court and serving it on respondent within **thirty days** of the date the answer is filed.

24 3. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an
25 answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section
26 2254 Cases within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed. If respondent files such a motion,
27 petitioner shall file with the court and serve on respondent an opposition or statement of non-
28 opposition within **thirty days** of the date the motion is filed, and respondent **shall** file with the

1 court and serve on petitioner a reply within **fifteen days** of the date any opposition is filed.

2 4. It is petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that
3 all communications with the court must be served on respondent by mailing a true copy of the
4 document to respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court and all parties informed of any
5 change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must
6 comply with the court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal
7 of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 DATED: 6/24/11



RONALD M. WHYTE
United States District Judge