1	KATHRYN LEE BOYD, ESQ. (SBN 189496 lboyd@srbr-law.com)
2	RAJIKA L. SHAH, ESQ. (SBN 232994)	
3	rshah@srbr-law.com SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER, LLP	
4	6310 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 360 Los Angeles, CA 90048	
5	Phone: (323) 302-9488 Fax: (323) 931-4990	
6	TERRI MARSH, ESQ. (pro hac vice)	
7	terri.marsh@hrlf.net BRIAN PIERCE, ESQ. (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
8	bjpierce@gmail.com	
9	HUMAN RIGHTS LAW FOUNDATION 1615 L Street, NW, Suite 1100	
10	Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: 202-369-4977	
11	Fax: 202-355-6701	
12	Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15		
16		Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-JF-PSG
17 18	DOE I, DOE II, Ivy HE, DOE III, DOE IV, DOE V, DOE VI, ROE VII, Charles LEE, ROE VIII, and LIU Guifu,	Assigned to the Honorable Jeremy Fogel, U.S.D.J.
		PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER RE:
19 20	Plaintiffs,	DEFENDANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION CONSENTING TO
20	VS.	PLAINTIFFS PROCEEDING
21	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., John	ANONYMOUSLY AND AS NEXT FRIEND STATUS AND CONTINUING
22	CHAMBERS, Thomas LAM, Owen CHAN, and DOES 1-100,	HEARING DATE
23		
24	Defendants.	Action filed: May 19, 2011 Hearing: July 29, 2011, 9:00am
25		Courtroom: 3, 5th Floor
26		
27		
28	PLAINTIEES' IPROPOSEDI ORDER DENVING DEFENI	ANTS' ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION REQUESTING A CHANGE OF HEARING DATE
SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER, LLP 6310 San Vicente Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90048		Doe et al. v. Cisco et al. (Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-JF-PSG)

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2		
3	Upon consideration of Defendants' administrative motion dated June 27, 2011,	
4	consenting to Plaintiffs' proceeding anonymously as Does and through Next Friend status	
5	as requested in Plaintiffs' Motion to Commence and Proceed as Pseudonymous Plaintiffs	
6	and Plaintiffs' Motion to Commence and Proceed Through Appointed Next Friend	
7	(together, "Plaintiffs' Motions") through the time of decision and order on Defendants'	
8	Motion to Dismiss, and requesting a change of hearing date for Plaintiffs' Motions, as	
9	well as Plaintiffs' Response and Opposition filed on July 1, 2011, IT IS HEREBY	
10	ORDERED THAT	
11	• Plaintiffs' Motions are Granted through the pendency of, and until this Court's	
12	Decision and Order on, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, at which time this Court	
13	will set a new date for hearing on Plaintiffs' Motions.	
14		
15	OR	
16		
17	• In conformity with the Court's San Jose Division Standing Order Regarding Case	
18	Management in Civil Cases, Plaintiffs' Motions will be heard in this Court on July	
19	29, 2011, as provided in Plaintiffs' Amended Notices filed on June 21, 2011.	
20		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22		
23	Dated: Hon. Jeremy Fogel	
24	United States District Judge	
25		
26		
27		
28	- 1 -	
SCHWARCZ, RIMBERG, BOYD & RADER, LLP 6310 San Vicente Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90048	PLAINTIFFS' [PROPOSED] ORDER Doe et al. v. Cisco et al. (Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-JF-PSG)	

I