

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Kathleen M. Sullivan (CA Bar No. 242261)

2 kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com

3 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor

Redwood City, California 94065

4 Telephone: (650) 801-5000

Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

5 Faith E. Gay (*pro hac vice*)

6 faithgay@quinnemanuel.com

7 Isaac Nesser (*pro hac vice*)

isaacnesser@quinnemanuel.com

8 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor

New York, New York 10010

9 Telephone: (212) 849-7000

Facsimile: (212) 849-7100

10 Attorneys for Defendants Cisco Systems, Inc.,

11 John Chambers, Thomas Lam, and Owen Chan

12  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16  
17 Doe I, Doe II, Ivy He, Doe III, Doe IV, Doe V,  
18 Doe VI, ROE VII, Charles Lee, Roe VIII, Liu  
19 Guifu, and those individuals similarly situated,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 Cisco Systems, Inc., John Chambers, Thomas  
23 Lam, Owen Chan, and Does 1-100,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-JF-PSG

**JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO  
EXCEED APPLICABLE PAGE LIMIT**

1 Plaintiffs and Defendants jointly move the Court pursuant to Local Rule 7-11 for an order  
2 extending the applicable page limits for briefs that will be filed in connection with Defendants'  
3 forthcoming Motion to Dismiss the Complaint.

4 Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the Complaint is scheduled to be filed on August 4, 2011.  
5 Plaintiffs' Class Action Complaint is 52 pages long and asserts fourteen causes of action. The  
6 Complaint asserts claims under international law, U.S. statutory law, and California law, including  
7 pursuant to the Alien Tort Statute and Torture Victim Protection Act; alleges acts taken in China;  
8 asserts claims on behalf of ten Plaintiffs; and asserts claims against four Defendants.

9 Defendants requested that Plaintiffs consent to a request to the Court for an extension of  
10 the applicable 25-page limit to 50 pages for their brief in support of the Motion to Dismiss. As a  
11 professional courtesy, Plaintiffs agreed not to oppose such a request, on the condition that, in the  
12 event the Court is inclined to grant such request, the Defendants reciprocally agree to not oppose  
13 Plaintiffs' request for a reciprocal extension of pages for the opposition brief to Defendants'  
14 Motion to Dismiss the Complaint. The parties further agreed that Plaintiffs would not oppose a  
15 Request for an extension of the applicable 15-page limit for Defendants' reply brief in support of  
16 their forthcoming Motion to Dismiss the Complaint.

17 Accordingly, the parties join in this Motion for an order granting the following:

18 1. Defendants' opening brief in support of their Motion to Dismiss the Complaint, and  
19 Plaintiffs' brief in opposition that Motion, may each be a maximum of 50 pages of text, excluding  
20 tables of contents, tables of authorities, and supporting documents.

21 2. Defendants' reply brief in further support of their Motion to Dismiss the Complaint  
22 may be a maximum of 20 pages of text, excluding tables of contents, tables of authorities, and  
23 supporting documents.

24 A stipulation and proposed order is attached to this Joint Motion.  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: July 22, 2011

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN, LLP

By /s/ Kathleen M. Sullivan  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen M. Sullivan  
kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com  
Faith E. Gay  
faithgay@quinnemanuel.com  
Isaac Nesser  
isaacnesser@quinnemanuel.com

Attorneys for Defendants

SCHWARCZ RIMBERG BOYD & RADER, LLP

By /s/ K. Kee Boyd  
\_\_\_\_\_  
K. Kee Boyd\*  
lboyd@srbr-law.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

HUMAN RIGHTS LAW FOUNDATION

By /s/ Terri M. Marsh  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Terri M. Marsh\*  
terri.marsh@hrhf.net

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

---

\* I have obtained signatory's consent to file this stipulation and proposed order.