1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>KATHLEEN M. SULLIVAN (CA Bar No. 242261) kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com</li> <li>FAITH E. GAY (<i>pro hac vice</i>) faithgay@quinnemanuel.com</li> <li>ISAAC NESSER (<i>pro hac vice</i>) isaacnesser@quinnemanuel.com</li> <li>QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &amp; SULLIVAN, LLP</li> <li>51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010</li> <li>Telephone: (212) 849-7000</li> <li>Facsimile: (212) 849-7100</li> <li>Attorneys for DEFENDANTS</li> </ul>	
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
10	DOE I, DOE II, Ivy HE, DOE III, DOE IV, DOE V, DOE VI, ROE VII, Charles LEE, BOE VIII, and LIU Guifu, and those	Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-EJD-PSGx Assigned to the Hon. Edward J. Davila
11	ROE VIII, and LIU Guifu, and those individual similarly situated,	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY
12 13	Plaintiffs,	Action Filed: May 19, 2011
13	VS.	FAC Filed: Sept. 2, 2011
15	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., John CHAMBERS,	Conference: March 23, 2012 Time: 10:00 a.m. Courtroom: 1, 5th Floor
16	Thomas LAM, Owen CHAN, Fredy CHEUNG, and DOES 1-100,	
17	Defendants.	
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY
		Case No. 5:11-cv-02449-EJD-PSGx

## ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY

In the joint case management statement filed today, Defendants have requested an
adjournment of the case management conference currently scheduled for March 23, 2012.
Defendants believe that such an adjournment is appropriate in view of the substantive and
procedural facts discussed below and in the joint case management statement. However, if the
Court denies Defendants´ adjournment request, then in the alternative the Defendants' counsel
respectfully requests leave to appear at the conference by telephone.

1

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

8 There is good cause for counsel's request to appear by telephone. First, Defendants' 9 counsel resides in New York and would need to travel to San Jose for a personal appearance, 10 thereby incurring significant time, attorneys fees, and travel costs. Second, there have been no 11 material developments in this litigation for several months: (a) Defendants' response to the 12 Plaintiffs' Complaint has been stayed since November 2011 by order of this Court and will 13 remain stayed for several months more pending resolution of two cases currently before the 14 United States Supreme Court (see Docket Item 76); (b) no discovery has been served in this 15 action; (c) there is no pending motion; and (d) there is no other dispute between the Parties that 16 requires judicial resolution. As such, it is the respectful view of the Defendants that any case 17 management matters to be addressed during the case management conference can be addressed by 18 telephone.

Accordingly, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11 and Section V of Your Honor's
 Scheduling Note, Defendants' counsel respectfully requests leave to appear telephonically at the
 scheduled March 23, 2012 case management conference (if that conference is not adjourned).
 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
 DATED: March 16, 2012

By /s/

Kathleen M. Sullivan Faith E. Gay (pro hac vice) Isaac Nesser (pro hac vice) Attorneys for Defendants

1