

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

E-FILED on 9/9/2011

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

EIT HOLDINGS, LLC,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
MONSTER WORLDWIDE, INC.,  
  
Defendant.

No. C-11-02472 RMW  
  
ORDER CLARIFYING PROCEDURAL  
SCHEDULE

On September 2, 2011, defendant Monster Worldwide, Inc. ("Monster") filed a letter with the court requesting clarification of the procedural schedule. Dkt. No. 28. Specifically, Monster asks whether the parties are required to comply with the patent disclosure requirements under the Patent Local Rules before the further case management conference set for October 21, 2011. *Id.*

This case is one of several brought by plaintiff against different defendants asserting infringement of the same patent claims. On August 12, 2011, the court held an initial case management conference and asked the parties to explore the possibility of consolidating all related actions for the purposes of claim construction. Dkt. No. 27. In the parties' Joint Case Management Statement, Monster had proposed that the case be set on a schedule that would allow a claim construction ruling to issue in the first-filed case before the present parties are required to serve

