1 2 3 4 5	LYNNE C. HERMLE (STATE BAR NO. 9977) MICHAEL A. APARICIO (STATE BAR NO. 2 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401 lchermle@orrick.com maparicio@orrick.com	206300)			
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant SPACE SYSTEMS/LORAL, INC.				
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NORTHERN DISTRI	DISTRICT COURT			
18	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	JEREMY SCHNEIDER on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiff, v. SPACE SYSTEMS/LORAL, INC., a Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendant.	Case No. CV-11-02489-JF STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER FURTHER AMENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE REGARDING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND MOTION TO STRIKE Date Action Filed: May 20, 2011 Date: September 9, 2011 Time: 9:00 a.m. Ctrm.: 3 Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel			
ا ۵۔					

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER FURTHER AMENDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE RE MOTION TO DISMISS/MOTION TO STRIKE (No. CV-11-02489 JF)

1	Additional Counsel For Plaintiff:
2	ROGER R. CARTER (STATE BAR NO. 140196) THE CARTER LAW FIRM
3	2030 Main Street, Suite 1300
4	Irvine, California 92614 Telephone: (949) 260-4737
5	Facsimile: (949) 260-4754 rcarter@carterlawfirm.net
6	SCOTT D. COORED (CHATE DAD NO 1717)
7	SCOTT B. COOPER (STATE BAR NO. 174520) THE COOPER LAW FIRM, P.C. 2030 Main Street, Suite 1300
8	Irvine, CA 92614
9	Telephone: (949) 724-9200 Facsimile: (949) 724-9255
10	scott@cooper-firm.com
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff Jeremy Schneider
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

	J	

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on May 27, 2011, Defendant Space Systems/Loral, Inc. filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and a motion to strike pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(f) (the "Motions");

WHEREAS, on June 8, 2011, this case was transferred from the Honorable Lloyd Howard to the docket of this Court, and all matters then scheduled for hearing were vacated;

WHEREAS, the parties then agreed that the hearing date for the Motions would be renoticed for September 9, 2011;

WHEREAS due to confusion about the impact of the transfer order and the application of newly-revised Local Rule 7-3(a), Plaintiff incorrectly calendared the due date for the oppositions to the Motions under the former Local Rule 7-3(a) (21 days before the noticed hearing date) instead of under the newly-revised rule (14 days after service of the motion);

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and Proposed Order to a revised briefing schedule for the Motions that (a) July 29, 2011, would be the last day for Plaintiff to file his Opposition to the Motions and (b) August 5, 2011, would be the last day for Defendant to file its Reply;

WHEREAS Plaintiff caused the Stipulation and Proposed Order to be filed with the Court through the ECF system on July 8, 2011; however to date the Court has not yet taken any action on said Stipulation and Proposed Order – either to approve or disapprove the parties' agreement regarding the briefing schedule;

WHEREAS Plaintiff filed his Opposition papers in accordance with the Stipulation and Proposed Order described above;

WHEREAS the parties subsequently agreed to further extend Defendants' briefing period by one week to August 12, 2011;

WHEREAS the modifications to the briefing schedule will not impact the hearing of Defendants' pending Motions, which are scheduled four weeks after the filing of the Reply briefing contemplated under this Stipulation;

1	NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereby agree and stipulate that the briefing schedule for				
2	Defendant's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike be modified, as follows:				
3	1. Plaintiff's Opposition is due no later than July 29, 2011 (and having been filed by				
4	that date, is timely); and				
5	2. Defendants' Reply is due no later than August 12, 2011.				
6	2				
7	Dated: August, 2011 LYNNE C. HERMLE MICHAEL A. APARICIO				
8	Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP				
9	Du Kakh				
10	By: MICHAEL A. APARICIO				
11	Attorneys for Defendant SPACE SYSTEMS/LORAL, INC.				
12					
13	Dated: August 3, 2011 MARC H. PHELPS				
14	The Phelps Law Group				
15	By: Mass				
16	MARC H. PHELPS				
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff JEREMY SCHNEIDER				
18	·				
19	<u>ORDER</u>				
20	Pursuant to the Parties' Stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED				
21					
22	Dated: 8/10/11 JEREMY FOGEL				
23	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
24					
25					
26					
27					
18					