In re: High-Tech	Employee Antitrust Litigation

1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
11					
12	IN RE HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK			
13		CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER			
14	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:				
15	ALL ACTIONS				
16		I			
17	Clerk: Martha Parker Brown Reporter: Lee-Anne Shortridge Plaintiffs' Attorneys: Joseph Saveri, Kelly Dermody, Brendan Glackin, James Dallal				
18	Defendants' Attorneys: Bob Van Nest and Tina Sessions for Google, Inc.; Gregory Stone for Intel Corp.; David				
19	Kier	nan and Lin Kahn for Adobe Systems, Inc.; ge Riley and Michael Tubach for Apple, Inc.;			
20	David Kiernan for Intuit, Inc.; Chinue Richardson and Emily Henn for Pixar and Lucasfilm Ltd.				
21	A case management conference was held on December 18, 2013. A further case				
22	management conference is set for February 19, 2014, at 2 p.m.				
23	The parties shall file a joint revised notice by December 23, 2013, at noon. The parties in their filing shall propose a new deadline for the mailing of the notice.				
24	For the reasons stated on the record, the Court ruled that no opt-out form needs to be mailed with the notice.				
25	For the reasons stated on the record, the Court ordered that Dr. Lewin respond in writing to				
26	Plaintiffs' deposition questions regarding whether he had an equity interest in his consulting firm and whether his compensation is based in any way on income his consulting firm receives from the matters he works on by no later than December 30, 2013.				
27	The Court set the following briefing deadlines for any motion to stay:				
28	MOTION: 7 days after the Ninth Circuit's order on the Rule 23(f) petition				
	OPPOSITION: 7 days after the Motion is filed				
	Case No.: 11-CV-2509-LHK				

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

**United States District Court** For the Northern District of California

REDI V.	4 days after the Opposition is filed
	G: February 19, 2014, at 2 p.m.
	he Motion and the Opposition shall be no longer than 10 pages. The Reply shall be no
longer tha	an 5 pages. <sup>1</sup>
Tl Order:	he case schedule remains as set in this Court's October 21, 2013, Case Management
EXPERT	DISCOVERY CUTOFF: December 23, 2013
The partie The heari 2014, at 1 statement	TIVE MOTIONS and <i>DAUBERT</i> MOTIONS shall be filed no later than January 9, 2014. es' oppositions are due February 6, 2014. The parties' replies are due February 27, 2014. ngs on the parties' dispositive motions and <i>Daubert</i> motions shall be set for March 20, 1:30 p.m., and March 27, 2014, at 1:30 p.m. By March 6, 2014, the parties shall file a joint regarding the distribution of summary judgment and <i>Daubert</i> motions for the March 20
	h 27 hearing dates.
exchange	AL CONFERENCE DATE is May 8, 2014, at 1:30 p.m. By April 7, 2014, the parties shall their witness and exhibit lists. By April 10, 2014, the parties shall file their respective <i>in Limine</i> . By April 17, 2014, the parties shall file their respective oppositions to the
	in Limine. By April 24, 2014, the parties shall file their respective replies to the Motions
JURY TR	RIAL DATE is May 27, 2014, at 9:00 a.m. The trial length is estimated to be 17 days.
Tl	he page limits remain as set in this Court's October 21, 2013, Case Management Order:
• DISP	OSITIVE MOTIONS
0	Defendants shall file a joint motion for summary judgment addressing common issues not to exceed a total of 15 pages. Plaintiffs shall file an opposition to the joint motion not to exceed a total of 15 pages. Defendants shall file a joint reply not to exceed a total of 10 pages.
0	Defendants shall file a motion for summary judgment addressing issues specific to individual Defendants not to exceed a total of 36 pages (9 pages per Defendant). Plaintiffs shall file an opposition not to exceed a total of 36 pages. Defendants shall file a reply not to exceed a total of 25 pages.
0	Thus, Defendants have a total of 51 pages for their motions for summary judgment and a total of 35 pages for their replies. Defendants may allocate these pages to common issues and individual issues as they see fit as long as they comply with these overall limits.
0	Plaintiffs shall file one joint motion for summary judgment not to exceed 14 pages. Defendants shall file one joint opposition not to exceed 14 pages. Plaintiffs shall file one reply not to exceed 10 pages.
• DAUI	BERT MOTIONS
0	The parties' <i>Daubert</i> motions shall be limited to a total of 25 pages per side, with oppositions not to exceed a total of 25 pages per side, and replies not to exceed a total of 15 pages per side.
• MOT	IONS IN LIMINE
0	Defendants combined shall file motions <i>in limine</i> not to exceed a total of 40 pages. Plaintiffs shall file oppositions not to exceed a total of 40 pages. Defendants combined shall file replies not to exceed a total of 30 pages.
	urt did not set these page limits at the Case Management Conference.

CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER

1	• Plaintiffs shall file motions <i>in limine</i> not to exe	ceed a total of 25 pages. Defendants
2	<ul> <li>Plaintiffs shall file motions <i>in limine</i> not to exceed a not to exceed a total of 15 pages.</li> </ul>	a total of 25 pages. Plaintiffs shall replies
3	IT IS SO ORDERED	
4		<b>су Н. Коћ</b> у <b>П</b> . кон
5		ed States District Judge
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No.: 11-CV-2509-LHK CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California