1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SIDDHARTH HARIHARAN,	Case No. C 11-CV-2509-LHK
12	individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
13	Plaintiff,	<u>STIPULATED [<del>PROPOSED</del>] PRETRIAL</u> ORDER AS MODIFIED NO. 1
14	V.	
15	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17	BRANDON MARSHALL, individually and on behalf of all others similarly	Case No. C 11-CV-3538-LHK
18	situated,	
19	Plaintiff, v.	
20	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
21	Defendants.	
22	MICHAEL DEVINE, individually and on	Case No. C 11-CV-3539-LHK
23	behalf of all others similarly situated,	
24	Plaintiff,	
25	V.	
26	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
27	Defendants.	
28	caption continues on next page	
		- 1 - STIPULATED [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] PRETRIAL ORDER CASE NOS. CV 11-2509-LHK, ETC

1	MARK FICHTNER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 11-CV-3540-LHK
2	Plaintiff,	
3	V.	
4	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
5	Defendants.	
6	DANIEL STOVER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. C 11-CV-3541-LHK
7	Plaintiff,	
8	v.	
9	ADOBE SYSTEMS INC., et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	WHEREAS, individual and representative plaintiffs Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon	
13	Marshall, Michael Devine, Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover ("Plaintiffs") have filed complaints	
14	("Complaints") in the above-captioned actions for alleged violations of the antitrust laws by	
15	Adobe Systems Inc., Apple Inc., Google Inc., Intel Corp., Intuit Inc., Lucasfilm Ltd., Pixar, and	
16	DOES 1-200 ("Defendants"), pursuant to California's antitrust statute, Business and Professions	
17	Code sections 16720, et seq. (the "Cartwright Act"); Business and Professions Code	
18	section 16600 ("Section 16600"); and California's unfair competition law, Business and	
19	Professions Code sections 17200, et seq. (the "Unfair Competition Law");	
20	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs seek to proceed on behalf of a proposed class as defined in	
21	the Consolidated Amended Complaint (the "Proposed Class");	
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have agreed to file a master consolidated amended	
23	complaint including each of them and superseding the Complaints currently on file;	
24	WHEREAS, consolidation of the Complaints and other like actions will avoid	
25	duplication and unnecessary costs, and will promote the efficient conduct of proceedings herein;	
26	NOW, THEREFORE, TH	E COURT ORDERS:
27		
28		

- 2 -

1	I. <u>CONSOLIDATION</u>	
2	Each of the above-captioned actions (collectively, the "Consolidated Action") is hereby	
3	consolidated for all purposes pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a).	
4	II. MASTER DOCKET AND MASTER FILE	
5	A Master Docket and a Master File under the civil action number that has been assigned	
6	to the first-filed case, Siddharth Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al., Case No. 11-CV-2509-	
7	LHK, are hereby established for the Consolidated Action. All docket entries regarding the	
8	Consolidated Action shall be docketed under the Master File number 11-CV-2509-LHK. If a	
9	document pertains to only one or some of the consolidated cases, it will be docketed on the	
10	Master Docket with the notation in the docket text as to the case number(s) to which it pertains.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	- 3 - STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDER CASE NOS. CV 11-2509-LHK, ETC.	

1

III.

#### APPLICATION OF THIS ORDER TO SUBSEQUENTLY FILED OR TRANSFERRED CASES

2 When a case which relates to the subject matter of the Consolidated Action is hereafter 3 filed in this Court or transferred here from another court, and only after counsel call to the 4 attention of the Court and the Clerk the filing or transfer of any such case that might be 5 properly consolidated with this Consolidated Action, the Clerk of Court shall make an 6 appropriate entry in the Master Docket. Counsel for Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action shall 7 promptly mail a copy of this Order to counsel for plaintiff(s) in each subsequently filed or 8 transferred related action and to counsel for any defendant(s) in each such action not already a 9 party to the Consolidated Action. Promptly thereafter, upon notice to counsel for the parties in 10 each such action, counsel for Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action shall submit to the Court a 11 proposed order consolidating any such action with the Consolidated Action. **Unless a party in** 12 such newly-filed or transferred action objects to consolidation within ten (10) days after the 13 date upon which a copy of this Order is served on counsel for such party, by filing an 14 application for relief and this Court deems it appropriate to grant such application, each 15 new case that arises out of the subject matter of the Consolidated Action which is filed in this 16 Court or transferred to this Court, shall be consolidated with the Consolidated Action and this 17 Order shall apply thereto.

- 18
- 19 20

21

22

23

24

# IV. <u>CAPTION OF CASES</u>

All papers hereafter filed in the Consolidated Action shall bear the following Caption:

### IN RE HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

25 26

27

When a pleading or other court paper is intended to apply to all actions to which this

28 Order is applicable, the words "All Actions" shall appear immediately after the words "THIS

DOCUMENT RELATES TO" in the caption set out above. When a pleading or other court paper
 is intended to be applicable only to a subset of the Actions, the separate caption and docket
 number for each individual action to which the pleading is intended to be applicable shall appear
 immediately after or below the words "THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO" in the caption
 described above. The short form of the case caption ([named plaintiff] v. [first named defendant],
 *et al.*) for such actions may be used.

7

## V. <u>FILING AND DOCKETING</u>

8 All papers previously filed and served to date in any of the above-referenced actions are
9 hereby deemed part of the record in 11-CV-2509-LHK.

When a paper is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to "All Actions,"
such paper shall be filed in the Master File and the Clerk shall note such filing in the Master
Docket. Such papers need not be filed, and docket entries need not be made, in any other case
file.

When a paper is filed and the caption shows that it is to be applicable to fewer than all of
the Consolidated Actions, such paper shall be filed in the Master File, and the clerk shall note
such filing in both the Master Docket and the docket of each such action. Thus, the paper should
only be filed in the Master File in 11-CV-2509-LHK.

18

VI.

# ECF AND SERVICE OF DOCUMENTS

This case is subject to Electronic Case Filing ("ECF"), pursuant to General Order 45,
Section VI, which requires that all documents in such a case be filed electronically. If counsel
has not already done so, counsel shall register forthwith as an ECF user and be issued an ECF
user ID and password. Forms and instructions can be found on the Court's website at
ecf.cand.uscourts.gov.

All documents shall be e-filed in the Master File in 11-CV-2509-LHK. Papers that are
filed electronically through the Court's ECF system are deemed served on all parties as of the
date of filing. All other service of papers shall be governed by the Rules of Civil Procedure,
unless otherwise agreed by the parties.

- 5 -

28

1

VII.

## PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

Until the parties agree on a preservation plan or the Court orders otherwise, each party
shall take reasonable steps to preserve all documents, data, and tangible things containing
information potentially relevant to the subject matter of this litigation.

5

## VIII. CONSOLIDATED AMENDED COMPLAINT AND RESPONSE

On September 2, 2011, Plaintiffs provided Defendants with a courtesy copy of the 6 Consolidated Amended Complaint. Within two days after an order from the Court consolidating 7 the above-captioned actions, Plaintiffs shall file the Consolidated Amended Complaint. The 8 9 Consolidated Amended Complaint shall be deemed Plaintiffs' initial filing for purposes of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). The Consolidated Amended Complaint shall relate back 10 to the date of the first-filed action, Siddharth Hariharan v. Adobe Systems Inc., et al., Case No. 11 11-CV-2509-LHK, for all purposes. Defendants shall have no obligation to answer, move, or 12 otherwise plead in response to the previously-filed Complaints. 13 Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise plead in response to the Consolidated

Defendants shall answer, move, or otherwise plead in response to the Consolidated
 Amended Complaint by October 13, 2011. If Defendants respond with a motion to dismiss:

a. Defendants, while reserving their rights to file separate motions to dismiss
to the extent any deems it necessary to do so, will endeavor to file a single consolidated motion
accompanied by a single consolidated memorandum of points and authorities, not exceeding
thirty pages in length.

b. If Defendants file a single motion to dismiss as described in Paragraph a.
above, Plaintiffs shall file a single consolidated opposition memorandum of points and authorities
by November 4, 2011, not exceeding thirty pages in length.

c. If Defendants file a single motion to dismiss as described in Paragraph a.
above, Defendants may file a single consolidated reply memorandum by December 2, 2011, not
exceeding twenty pages in length.

d. If Defendants file more than one motion to dismiss, the schedule listed
above will not change. However, Defendants will so inform Plaintiffs by September 28, 2011,
and the Parties shall meet and confer regarding the appropriate length of the opposition and reply

1	briefs. Defendants must seek leave of the Court, and provide good cause, in order to file		
2	more than one motion to dismiss.		
3	These deadlines do not alter any deadlines or conference dates previously set by the Court.		
4	IX. ORGANIZATION OF PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL		
5	1. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3), the Court designates		
6	Joseph R. Saveri of Lieff, Cabraser, Heimann & Bernstein, LLP, as interim Lead Counsel on		
7	behalf of all Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class in the Consolidated Action.		
8	2. Lead Counsel shall have authority over the following matters on behalf of all		
9	Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class in the Consolidated Action:		
10	a. convening meetings of Plaintiffs' counsel;		
11	b. the initiation, response, scheduling, briefing, and argument of all motions;		
12	c. the scope, order, and conduct of all discovery proceedings;		
13	d. making such work assignments as among themselves and other Plaintiffs'		
14	counsel as they may deem appropriate;		
15	e. collecting time and expense reports from all Plaintiffs' counsel on a		
16	periodic basis;		
17	f. the retention of experts;		
18	g. the designation of which Plaintiffs' attorneys shall appear at hearings and		
19	conferences with the Court;		
20	h. settlement negotiations and agreements with Defendants; and		
21	i. all other matters concerning the prosecution of the Consolidated Action.		
22	3. No motion shall be filed on behalf of all Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action		
23	except through Lead Counsel or his designee(s).		
24	4. Defendants' counsel may rely on all agreements made with Lead Counsel, and		
25	such agreements shall be binding on all other Plaintiffs.		
26	5. The Court designates the following to act, with Lead Counsel, as members of the		
27	Executive Committee on behalf of all Plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action: Eric L. Cramer of		
28	Berger & Montague, P.C. and Linda P. Nussbaum of Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. Members of the		
	- 7 - STIPULATED <del>[PROPOSED</del> ] PRETRIAL ORDER CASE NOS. CV 11-2509-LHK, ETC.		

1	Executive Committee shall, on a regular basis, confer regarding the administration and	
2	prosecution of the Consolidated Action.	
3	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.	
4	11 IS HEREDI SIIFULAI	ED.
5	Dated: September 6, 2011	LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
6		
7		By: <u>/s/ Joseph R. Saveri</u> JOSEPH R. SAVERI
8 9		Attorneys for individual and representative Plaintiffs Siddharth Hariharan, Brandon Marshall, Michael Devine, Mark Fichtner, and Daniel Stover
10		
11	Dated: September 6, 2011	O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
12		Du: /a/Michael E. Tubach
13		By: <u>/s/ Michael F. Tubach</u> MICHAEL F. TUBACH Attorneys for Defendant
14		APPLE INC.
15	Dated: September 6, 2011	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
16		
17		By: <u>/s/ Daniel Purcell</u> DANIEL PURCELL
18		Attorneys for Defendant LUCASFILM LTD.
19		
20	Dated: September 6, 2011	JONES DAY
21		By: <u>/s/ David C. Kiernan</u>
22		DAVID C. KIERNAN Attorneys for Defendant
23		ADOBĖ SYSTEMS INC.
24		
25		
26 27		
27 28		
20		
		- 8 - STIPULATED [PROPOSED] PRETRIAL ORDE CASE NOS. CV 11-2509-LHK, ET

1	Dated: September 6, 2011	MAYER BROWN LLP
2		By: <u>/s/ Lee H. Rubin</u>
3		LEE H. RUBIN
4		Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.
5		
6	Dated: September 6, 2011	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
7		By: <u>/s/ Holly A. House</u> HOLLY A. HOUSE
8		Attorneys for Defendant
9		INTEL CORPORATION
10	Dated: September 6, 2011	JONES DAY
11		
12		By: <u>/s/ Robert A. Mittelstaedt</u> ROBERT A. MITTELSTAEDT
13		Attorneys for Defendant INTUIT INC.
14		
15	Dated: September 6, 2011	COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
16		By: <u>/s/ Emily Johnson Henn</u>
17		EMILY JOHNSON HENN Attorneys for Defendant
18		PIXAR
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
-		- 9 - STIPULATED [ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] PRETRIAL ORDER CASE NOS. CV 11-2509-LHK, ETC.

1	Filer's Attestation	
2	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I	attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in
3	the filing of the document has been obtained	d from all the signatories.
4		
5	Dated: September 12, 2011	/s/ Dean M. Harvey
6		DEAN M. HARVEY LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN
7		& BERNSTEIN, LLP
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1	IT IS S	O ORDERED.	
2			
3	Dated:	September 12, 2011	HON. LOCY H. KOH UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
4			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			STIPIU ATED PROPOSEDI PRETRIAL ORDE