BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP

ATTORNEYS LLP

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	RICHARD C. COFFIN (State Bar No. 70562); J. THOMAS BOER (State Bar No. 199563); jtb LAURA S. BERNARD (State Bar No. 197556) BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP 350 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94104-1435 Telephone: (415) 228-5400 Fax: (415) 228-5450 Attorneys for Plaintiff SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPOR	o@bcltlaw.com ; lsb@bcltlaw.com	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, Inc., a Texas corporation	Case No. C 11-02587 LHK	
12	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2	
13	V.		
14	EAST CHARLESTON, INC., a California		
15	corporation; PACIFIC AMERICAN MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a California		
16	Limited Liability Corporation,		
17	Defendants.		
18	AND RELATED ACTIONS		
19			
20	Plaintiff Schlumberger Technology Corporation ("STC"), defendants East Charleston,		
21	Inc. ("ECI") and Pacific American Management Company ("PAMCO"), and third party		
22	defendant Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation (collectively "Parties") submit the following		
23 24	proposed Case Management Order No. 2, consistent with the Parties' position in the Rule 26		
24 25	Report and pursuant to the Court's orders at the November 22, 2011 Case Management		
25 26	Conference and in the November 22, 2011 Minute Order and Case Management Order.		
26 27			
27			
28	[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2		
	Case No. C 11-02587 PSG	62.1222.2	
		924322.2 De cluste institu	

1 || I.

2

Discovery

A.

Production of Documents, Including ESI

The Parties have agreed that each party producing documents in this case will produce a 3 CD/DVD containing a digitized, searchable (i.e., optical character recognition) version of the 4 documents, imaged in single page TIFF format with document unitization and with industry-5 standard load files such as Opticon or IPRO LFP format with a delimited text file to indicate 6 where each document starts and stops. All documents produced by any party will be numbered 7 sequentially. Each party will choose a Bates prefix, consisting of uppercase letters, to be listed 8 before the Bates number for each document produced by that party. The image filename will 9 correspond with its Bates number. 10

The requirement to produce a digitized version of documents in TIFF format will not 11 apply to documents with a native format that prohibits printing on standard size paper (e.g., 12 audio, video, or oversized documents such as maps) or are requested in their native format (e.g., 13 Excel spreadsheets, AutoCAD, MODFLOW). Such documents will be produced in their native 14 format. The image filename of files produced in native format will correspond with the 15 respective assigned Bates numbers. Production of documents in native format does not preclude 16 a party from also producing those documents in TIFF format marked with Bates numbers if its 17 elects to do so. 18

19 Standard documents such as e-mails, word processing documents, or hard copy
20 documents that have otherwise been collected in electronic form (e.g., in ZIP files) will be
21 produced in the TIFF, Bates-numbered format, as described above, absent a particular need for
22 these documents in their original format.

23 The provisions of this section do not apply to documents received from third parties
24 (including public agencies) or expert witnesses, to the extent such documents are produced.

B. Privilege Issues

25

26

27

28

BARG

COFFIN LEWIS &

TRAPP

ATTORNEYS LLP 1. Privilege Logs

In the event that any party withholds documents on the basis of privilege, that party will produce a privilege log listing the author, all known recipients, the date, a brief description of the

2

document, and the privilege claimed. The log must be served no later than 30 days from the date
 of the document production to which the privilege log applies.

3 Any challenge to the privilege log must be filed and served within 45 days after the4 production of the privilege log.

The privilege log need not list: 1) confidential communications between a party and its 5 counsel regarding this action; 2) confidential work product created by or at the request of counsel 6 for any of the parties in connection with this action; 3) confidential communications between a 7 party and its non-designated consultants regarding this action; 4) confidential communications 8 between a party and its designated expert pursuant to Rule 26; or 5) communications or 9 documents related to any mediation process. These exceptions will not apply to any public or 10 non-confidential documents or to documents that were disclosed to a third party (other than those 11 noted above) in addition to counsel. 12

13

2. Inadvertent Production

14 Documents that contain privileged information will be immediately returned to the
15 producing party if the document appears on its face to have been inadvertently produced or if
16 there is a notice from the producing party of the inadvertent production of privileged or work
17 product information. The receiving party will not disclose or use in any manner the inadvertently
18 disclosed privilege information.

19 II. Confidentiality/Protective Order

20 The Parties shall follow Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) with the respect to the
21 issuance of any protective orders necessary for this matter.

22 III. Dispositive Motions

The section titled "Dispositive Motions" in the November 22, 2011 Minute Order and
Case Management Order shall be changed to reflect the Court's order at the November 22, 2011
Case Management Conference as follows:

- 26 Dispositive Motions shall be filed no later than January 31, 2013, and set for hearing no
 27 later than March 7, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. Each side is limited to one summary judgment motion if to
 28 be heard on March 7, 2013. Each side is limited to filing one additional summary judgment
- BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP

1	motion or partial summary judgment motion prior to the January 31, 2013 filing deadline.		
2 3	DATED: December 1, 2011	BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP	
4 5		By: <u>/s/ Laura S. Bernard</u> Attorneys for Plaintiff Schlumberger Technology Corporation, Inc.	
6 7	DATED: December 1, 2011	BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP, LLP	
8 9		By: <u>/s/ Laura S. Bernard</u> Attorneys for Third Party Defendant Fairchild Semiconductor Corporation	
10 11	DATED: December 1, 2011	GREBEN & ASSOCIATES	
12 13		By: <u>/s/ Jan A. Greben</u> JAN A. GREBEN JEFF COYNER	
14 15		DANIELLE DE SMETH Attorneys for Defendant East Charleston, Inc.	
16	DATED: December 1, 2011	GREBEN & ASSOCIATES	
17 18 19		By: <u>/s/ Jan A. Greben</u> JAN A. GREBEN JEFF COYNER DANIELLE DE SMETH Attorneys for Defendant Pacific American Management Company	
20 21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	r denne 7 unier teuri Frundgement Company	
22 23	Dated: December _	Jucy H. Koh	
24		•	
25 26			
27 28			
	[PROPOSED] CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 2 Case No. C 11-02587 PSG		4 924322.2

BARG COFFIN LEWIS & TRAPP