


1 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
 2 Steele Hansmeier PLLC.
 3 38 Miller Avenue, #263
 4 Mill Valley, CA 94941
 5 415-325-5900
 6 blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com

Attorney for Plaintiff



7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 BOY RACER, INC.,)
 12)
 13 Plaintiff,)
 14 v.)
 15 DOES 2-72,)
 16 Defendants.)

No. C-11-02833 EJD
NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL
OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE
AS TO THREE ANONYMOUS DOE
DEFENDANTS; [PROPOSED] ORDER

17 **NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY DISMISSAL OF ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE AS TO**
 18 **THREE ANONYMOUS DOE DEFENDANTS**

19 **NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1),
 20 Plaintiff voluntarily dismisses all claims brought in this action against the three (3) anonymous Doe
 21 Defendants associated with the three motions pending in this case: Motion to Quash or Modify
 22 Subpoena (ECF No. 9), Motion to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF No. 10), and Motion to Quash
 23 or Modify Subpoena (ECF No. 11). To preserve privacy for these individuals, Plaintiff does not
 24 address these Doe Defendants by name, or by their Internet Protocol (“IP”) addresses, and merely
 25 identifies them by the motions they filed in this case. This is sufficient to notify the Internet Service
 26 Providers (“ISPs”) who have been properly notified by each subscriber of their pending motions.
 27
 28

1 At this point, therefore, for further clarity, considering the proceeding voluntary dismissals of
2 the three Doe Defendants associated with the above motions, this action is now essentially Boy
3 Racer, Inc. v. Does 5-72. The Motions to Quash, and the Responses thereto, should therefore no
4 longer be pending before the Court. The hearing on these Motions to Quash is scheduled for
5 October 11, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5 of the San Jose Federal Courthouse in front of
6 Magistrate Judge Grewal. (See ECF No. 12). In light of the above, the Motions to Quash are moot,
7 and the hearings are no longer necessary. Plaintiff requests that this hearing be taken off of the
8 Court's motion calendar.
9

10 In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1), the three (3) Doe Defendants
11 being dismissed here have neither filed answers to Plaintiff's Complaint, nor a motion for summary
12 judgment. Dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1) is therefore appropriate.
13 Inclusive of this dismissal, Doe Defendants have hereby been dismissed from this action without
14 prejudice, and their Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF Nos. 9, 10, 11) should hereby be
15 denied as moot as their associated IP addresses are no longer part of this suit.
16

17 //
18 //
19 //
20 //
21 //
22 //
23 //
24 //
25 //
26 //
27 //
28 //

1 Plaintiff still maintains claims against the other anonymous Doe Defendants remaining in this
2 action, and reserves the right to name such individuals and/or serve them when, and if, Plaintiff is in
3 possession of their identifying information in the future of this ongoing case.

4 Respectfully Submitted,
5

6
7 STEELE HANSMEIER PLLC,

8 **DATED: September 27, 2011**

9 By: /s/ Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.

10 Brett L. Gibbs, Esq. (SBN 251000)
11 Steele Hansmeier PLLC.
12 38 Miller Avenue, #263
13 Mill Valley, CA 94941
blgibbs@wefightpiracy.com
Attorney for Plaintiff

14 //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28




1
2
3 In light of the above, Doe Defendants associated with Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena
4 (ECF Nos. 9,10, and 11) are hereby DISMISSED from this case without prejudice, and, therefore are
5 no longer part of this suit. Additionally, Motions to Quash or Modify Subpoena (ECF Nos. 9, 10,
6 and 11) are DENIED as the issues raised therein are now MOOT. Likewise the hearing on these
7 Motions to Quash, currently, scheduled for October 11, 2011 at 10:00 a.m. in Courtroom 5 of the
8 San Jose Federal Courthouse in front of Magistrate Judge Grewal, is hereby removed from the
9 Court's calendar. The Motions to Quash are terminated from the docket.
10

11 Further, it is ORDERED that Plaintiff serve copies of this Order onto the relevant ISPs.

12 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13
14 Dated: September 28, 2011

15 
16 Edward J. Davila
17 United States District Court Judge
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on September 27, 2011, all individuals of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, and all attachments and related documents, using the Court's ECF system, in compliance with Local Rule 5-6 and General Order 45.

/s/ Brett L. Gibbs
Brett L. Gibbs, Esq.