1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MANUEL ARREOLA,) No. C 11-02843 EJD (PR)		
11) Plaintiff,) ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO		
12) PROVIDE COURT WITH MORE v.) INFORMATION FOR UNSERVED		
13) DEFENDANTS; REQUESTING CTF) TO PROVIDE FORWARDING		
14	DR. JOHN HENRY, et al., ADDRESS FOR FORMER EMPLOYEE; DIRECTING CLERK TO		
15	Defendants.) ISSUE NOTICE OF LAWSUIT TO) DEFENDANT BRIGHT		
16			
17			
18	Plaintiff, a California inmate at the Salinas Valley State Prison ("SVSP") in		
19	Soledad, filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, challenging the		
20	conditions of his confinement while he was previously housed at the Correctional		
21 22	Training Facility ("CTF") in Soledad. The Court ordered service of Plaintiff's complaint		
23	upon the named defendants. (See Docket No. 5.) The following defendants have not been served.		
24	been served.		
25	DISCUSSION		
26	A. Insufficient Location Information		
27	The summons for Defendant Dr, Darren Bright that was sent to SVSP, where		
28	Plaintiff indicated he was located, was returned unexecuted on November 18, 2011, with		
	Order Re Unserved Defs. G:\PRO-SE\SJ.EJD\CR.11\02843Arreola_unserved Ds.wpd 1		

Arreola v. Henry et al

Doc. 36

the following remark: "Doctor is on active military duty. He is not expected to return until April 2012." (Docket No. 8.) The summons for Defendant Dr. John Henry was returned because Defendant Henry is no longer employed at Twin Cities Community Hospital in Templeton, CA, having retired approximately 2 years ago. (Docket No. 32.) Accordingly, Bright and Henry have not been served.

Although a plaintiff who is incarcerated and proceeding <u>in forma pauperis</u> may rely on service by the Marshal, such plaintiff "may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service"; rather, "[a]t a minimum, a plaintiff should request service upon the appropriate defendant and attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." <u>Rochon v. Dawson</u>, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). Here, Plaintiff's complaint has been pending for over 120 days, and thus, absent a showing of "good cause," is subject to dismissal without prejudice. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to allow the Marshal to locate and serve Defendant Henry, and consequently Plaintiff must remedy the situation or face dismissal of his claims against this defendant without prejudice. See Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415, 1421-22 (9th Cir. 1994)(holding prisoner failed to show cause why prison official should not be dismissed under Rule 4(m) where prisoner failed to show he had provided Marshal with sufficient information to effectuate service). Accordingly, Plaintiff must provide the Court with this Defendant's accurate current location such that the Marshal is able to effect service.

The Court will reissue summons to Dr. Bright as it appears that he may have returned from active military duty by this time.

B. Location Information for Defendant Ada O.

In the Order of Service, the Court directed Plaintiff to provide a current location information for Defendant Nurse Ada O., where she may be served in order for this action to proceed against her. Plaintiff was also advised to provide the Court with Defendant's accurate full last name to avoid potential service issues which may result in further delay.

Plaintiff filed a response, stating that Defendant's full name is Adaku Onyemaoki,

but that he has been unable to obtain her current location information. (Docket No. 10.) He requests the Court provide a subpoena by which he can obtain the necessary information. (Id.) The request is GRANTED, and Plaintiff shall be provided with blank subpoena forms.

Although a plaintiff who is incarcerated and proceeding <u>in forma pauperis</u> may rely on service by the Marshal, such plaintiff "may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service"; rather, "[a]t a minimum, a plaintiff should request service upon the appropriate defendant and attempt to remedy any apparent defects of which [he] has knowledge." <u>Rochon v. Dawson</u>, 828 F.2d 1107, 1110 (5th Cir. 1987). Here, Plaintiff's complaint has been pending for over 120 days, and thus, absent a showing of "good cause," his claims against Defendant Onyemaoki are subject to dismissal without prejudice. <u>See</u> Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Plaintiff is directed to provide the Court with current address for Nurse Onyemaoki where she can be served by the Marshal, **no later than thirty (30) days** from the date this order is filed.

In the interest of justice, the Court will also request the Litigation Coordinator at CTF to provide any forwarding address information for Nurse Adaku Onyemaoki, or notice that such information is not available.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Court orders as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff must file notice and provide the Court with the accurate current location of Defendant John Henry such that the Marshal is able to effect service. If Plaintiff fails to provide the Court with an accurate current location for this Defendant within thirty (30) days of the date this order is filed, Plaintiff's claims against Henry will be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Plaintiff must file notice and provide the Court with the accurate current location of Defendant Nurse Adaku Onyemaoki such that the Marshal is able to effect

service. Plaintiff fails to provide the Court with an accurate current location for this Defendant within thirty (30) days of the date this order is filed, Plaintiff's claims against Onyemaoki will be dismissed without prejudice pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Clerk shall enclose two blank subpoena forms with a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

- 3. The Clerk shall forward a copy of this order to the Litigation Coordinator at the Correctional Training Facility in Soledad, (P.O. Box 686, Soledad, CA 93960-0686), who is requested to provide any forwarding address available for a former employee Nurse Adaku Onyemaoki, or notice that such information is not available, **within twenty** (20) days from the date this order is filed.
- 4. The Clerk of the Court shall mail a Notice of Lawsuit and Request for Waiver of Service of Summons, two copies of the Waiver of Service of Summons, a copy of the complaint, all attachments thereto, a copy of the Court's Order of Service (Docket No. 5), and a copy of this order upon **Dr. Darrin Bright** at **Salinas Valley State Prison** ((P.O. Box 1020, Soledad, CA 93960-1020).
- 5. Defendant Bright shall file a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion with respect to the claims in the complaint found to be cognizable, and briefing shall proceed thereafter, in accordance with the schedule and instructions set forth in the Court's Order of Service, filed October 31, 2011, (Docket No. 5).

DATED:	5/4/2012	EQU O Wash
		EDWARD J. DAVILA United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MANUEL ARREOLA,	Case Number: CV11-02843 EJD	
Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
v.		
DR. JOHN HENRY, et al.,		
Defendants.	/	
I, the undersigned, hereby certify Court, Northern District of Califo	that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District rnia.	
That on 5/4/2012 attached, by placing said copy(ies hereinafter listed, by depositing san inter-office delivery receptacle	, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) aid envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into a located in the Clerk's office.	
Manuel Arreola V-98236 Salinas Valley State Prison PO Box 1050 Soledad, CA 93960	Litigation Coordinator Correctional Training Facility in Soledad P.O. Box 686 Soledad, CA 93960-0686	
Dated:5/4/2012	 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
	/ _S /By: Elizabeth Garcia, Deputy Clerk	