Harris v. Astrue

Doc. 18

1 MELINDA L. HAAG CSBN 132612 **United States Attorney** 2 DONNA L. CALVERT, SBN IL 6191786 Acting Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX ELIZABETH BARRY, CSBN 203314 3 Special Assistant United States Attorney 4 333 Market Street, Suite 1500 5 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8972 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134 6 Email: Elizabeth.Barry@ssa.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendant 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 ROBERT J. HARRIS, CASE NO.: 5:11-cv-03229-LHK 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER 13 FOR A FIRST EXTENSION FOR v. DEFENDANT TO FILE NOTICE, MOTION, 14 AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MICHAEL ASTRUE. CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY 15 Commissioner of JUDGMENT AND IN OPPOSITION TO Social Security, PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY 16 JUDGMENT Defendant. 17 18

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the undersigned attorneys, subject to the approval of the Court, that Defendant shall have a 30-day extension from today, or until April 9, 2012, in which to file his Notice, Motion, and Memorandum in Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment and in Opposition to Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment.

On December 14, 2011, Plaintiff filed his Notice, Motion, and Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. Defendant inadvertently failed to note the filing by Plaintiff and, therefore, failed to calendar the due date for his brief, which was January 11, 2012. Until today, Defendant was under the impression that Plaintiff had not yet filed his brief. The error is entirely Defendant's. Defendant respectfully requests that the Court grant Defendant a 30-day extension in which to file his brief.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1	This is Defendant's first request for an extension of time in this matter.	
2	2 Resp	ectfully submitted,
3	4 Harv Attor	arvey Sackett uthorized via e-mail) ey Sackett rney for Plaintiff
5	5	
6		
7		
8	Unite	INDA L. HAAG ed States Attorney
9		<u>lizabeth Barry</u> ABETH BARRY
10	Spec	ial Assistant U.S. Attorney
11		rneys for Defendant
12		
13 14	ORD	<u>ER</u>
15	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	DATED: March 14, 2012	v H. Koh
17	UNI	TED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	7	
28	8	