

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ARTEMIO GONZALES,	)	No. C 11-3235 RMW (PR)
	)	
Petitioner,	)	ORDER GRANTING
	)	EXTENSION OF TIME TO
v.	)	FILE ANSWER
	)	
GEORGE LEOTTI, Warden,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	(Doc. Nos. 17 and 18.)

On June 27, 2011, petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a writ of habeas corpus challenging a criminal conviction and judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. (Doc. No. 1.) On October 24, 2011, the court dismissed this action for failure to timely file a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the filing fee, and failure to keep the court apprised of his current address. (Doc. No. 7.) On March 9, 2012, petitioner filed a letter with the court stating that he did not know why his mail was returned undeliverable, and that he was not aware that his fee was past due. (Doc. No. 13.) That same day, he filed a \$5.00 filing fee. (Doc. No. 14.) On March 30, 2012, the court sua sponte re-opened this action. (Doc. No. 15.)

Respondent has filed an application for withdrawal and reissuance of order to show cause, (Doc. No. 17.) Respondent has also filed a motion for extension of time to file an answer. (Doc. No. 18.) Good cause having been shown, respondent's requests are **GRANTED**. Respondent's answer, filed January 4, 2013, is deemed timely. **Petitioner's traverse is due no**