1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	MARVIN R. SEXTON, JR.,) No. C 11-3460 RMW (PR)
12) Plaintiff,) ORDER PROVIDING PLAINTIFF
13	v. () NOTICE AND WARNING;) SCHEDULING SUPPLEMENTAL
14) BRIEFING SAN FRANCISCO SHERIFF)
15	DEPARTMENT, et al.,
16	Defendants.
17	Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
18	Defendants have moved to dismiss this action for failure to exhaust. Although given the
19	opportunity, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition. Pursuant to Woods v. Carey, No. 09-15548,
20	slip op. 7871, 7884-85 (9th Cir. July 6, 2012), plaintiff is given the following notice and warning
21	for a second time:
22	If defendants file an unenumerated motion to dismiss for failure to
23	exhaust, they are seeking to have your case dismissed. If the motion is
24	granted it will end your case.
25	You have the right to present any evidence you may have which tends to
26	show that you did exhaust your administrative remedies. Such evidence may be in
27	the form of declarations (statements signed under penalty of perjury) or
28	
	Order Providing Plaintiff Notice and Warning; Scheduling Supplemental Briefing

 $Order\ Providing\ Plaintiff\ Notice\ and\ Warning;\ Scheduling\ Supplemental\ Briefing\ G:\PRO-SE\SJ.Rmw\CR.11\Sexton460woods.wpd$