

1	Now before the Court is Western Digital	's Unopposed Administrative Motion for Leave
2	to Seal Portions of the Transcript on Western Digital's Motion to Enforce. Upon consideration	
3	of the motion and the supporting declaration of Michelle P. Woodhouse filed therewith, the	
4	Court finds there to be good cause for granting t	he request to file documents under seal.
5	GOOD CAUSE having been shown, the	Court finds that:
6	1. Western Digital possesses overrid	ding confidentiality interests that overcome the
7	right of public access to the recor	d in the following document(s):
8		3, 2014 Transcript on Western Digital's Motion
9	to Enforce Settlement Ag	reement.
10	Citation	Disposition
11	Page 3, lines 3-4	
	Page 4, lines 14-15	
12	Page 8, line 4	
13	Page 8, lines 13-18	
15	Page 10, line 5	
14	Page 10, lines 6-9	
15	Page 10, line 21 Page 11, lines 2-3	
15	Page 13, line 10	
16	Page 13, lines 16-19	
17	Page 14, line 2	
17	Page 14, lines 6-8	
18	Page 15, lines 5-6	
	Page 15, line 9	
19	Page 15, line 14	
20	Page 15, lines 18-19	
20	Page 15, lines 20-21	
21	Page 15, line 23	
	Page 16, lines 15-18	
22	Page 17, lines 14-15	
23	Page 18, line 13	
23	Page 18, lines 17-18	
24	Page 19, lines 10-12	
25	Page 19, line 17	
25	Page 19, line 19	
26	Page 20, line 6	
	Page 20, line 25	
27	Page 21, line 13	
20	Page 21, line 15	
28	Page 21, line 20	

LATHAM&WATKINS

1	Page 21, line 22	
2	Page 30, line 5	
2	Page 30, line 7	
3	Page 30, line 8	
5	Page 30, line 22	
4	Page 31, lines 4-5	
_	Page 32, line 19	
5	Page 32, line 21	
6	Page 32, line 24	
0	Page 33, line 22	
7	Page 34, lines 22-24	
	Page 35, lines 16-17	
8	Page 36, lines 24-25	
9	Page 38, line 14	
,	Page 39, lines 8-9	
10	Page 39, lines 14-18	
	Page 41, lines 6-8	
11	Page 41, line 9	
12	Page 41, line 23	
12	Page 42, lines 3-4	
13	Page 42, line 7	
	Page 43, line 18	
14	Page 43, line 19	
15	Page 47, line 9	
15	Page 47, line 10	
16	Page 48, line 1	
. –	Page 48, line 2	
17	Page 49, line 25	
18	Page 50, line 7	
10	Page 54, line 18	
19	Page 57, line 24-Page 58, line 2	
	Page 62, line 4	
20		
21	2. A substantial probability exists that Western Digital's overriding confidentiality	
22	interests will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;	
23	3. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and	
24	4. No less restrictive means exist to achieve this overriding interest.	
25	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Western Digital's Unopposed Administrative	
26	Motion for Leave to Seal Portions of the Transcript on Western Digital's Motion to Enforce is	
27	GRANTED as indicated above.	
28		
-		
LATHAM & WATKINS	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER GRANTING ADMIN. MOTION FOR L TO SEAL PORTIONS OF TRANS	

1	DATED: February 10, 2014 Pore S. Aure
2	HON. PAUL SINGH GREWAL United States Magistrate Judge
3	Submitted by:
4	/s/ Michelle P. Woodhouse
5	Michelle P. Woodhouse
6	LATHAM AND WATKINS LLP 140 Scott Drive
7	Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 328-4600
8	Facsimile: (650) 463-2600
9	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaim Plaintiffs
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SILICON VALLEY	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING ADMIN. MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SEAL PORTIONS OF TRANSCRIPT