Atterbury v.	Stolp	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DANNY F. ATTERBURY,)	No. C 11-3878 LHK (PR)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DISMISSAL
11	v.)	
12	MIKE STOLP,	
13	Defendant.	
14)	
15	On July 29, 2011, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to	
16	42 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 19, 2011, the Clerk notified Plaintiff that he had not paid the filing	
17	fee, nor had he filed a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). Along with	
18	the deficiency notice, Plaintiff was provided with a new IFP application and instructions for	
19	completing it. Plaintiff was further cautioned that his failure to either file a completed IFP	
20	application or pay the filing fee within thirty days would result in the dismissal of this action. To	
21	date, Plaintiff has not communicated with the Court. Accordingly, the instant action is	
22	DISMISSED without prejudice to Plaintiff filing a new action in which he either pays the filing	
23	fee or files a completed IFP application. The Clerk shall enter judgment and close the file.	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Gian H Kah
25	Dated: 9/29/11	CYH KOL
26	Un	ited State District Judge
27		
28		

Doc. 3