

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CRAIG A. EDMONDS, JR., ) No. C 11-4320 LHK (PR)  
Plaintiff, ) ORDER OF DISMISSAL  
v. ) WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
ALAMEDA COUNTY DISTRICT )  
ATTORNEY, et al., )  
Defendants. )

On August 31, 2011, Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, filed a federal civil rights complaint, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On October 21, 2011, the Court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend, and ordered Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within thirty days, or face dismissal of this action. On November 3, 2011, the order was returned to the Court as undeliverable because Plaintiff is no longer in custody. On December 6, 2011, the Court directed Plaintiff to file a notice of intent to prosecute and provide a current address. That mail was returned as undeliverable on December 13, 2011.

Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding *pro se* whose address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of address specifying the new address. *See* Civil L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may, without prejudice, dismiss a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the *pro se* party by the Court has been returned to the Court as not

1 deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written  
2 communication from the *pro se* party indicating a current address. *See* L.R. 3-11(b).

3 More than sixty days have passed since the mail sent to Plaintiff by the Court was  
4 returned as undeliverable on November 3, 2011. The Court has not received a notice from  
5 Plaintiff of a new address. Accordingly, the instant complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice  
6 pursuant to Rule 3-11 of the Northern District Local Rules. The Clerk of the Court shall enter  
7 judgment and close the file.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: 1/5/12

  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge