1 2 3 4	KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP Karl S. Kronenberger (CA Bar No. 226112) Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld (CA Bar No. 222187) 150 Post Street, Suite 520 San Francisco, CA 94108 Telephone: (415) 955-1155	S STATES DISTRICT COLLEGE
5	Facsimile: (415) 955-1158 karl@KRInternetLaw.com jeff@KRInternetLaw.com	Z Judge Edward J. Davila
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendar	
7 8	INCORP SERVICES, INC. and Counter-Defendant TENNIE SEDLACEK	nt <u>DISTRICT OF</u> C ^T 12/4/2012
9		
10		
11		
12		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	INCORP SERVICES, INC. , a Nevada corporation,	Case No. 11-CV-4660-EJD-PSG
14	Plaintiff,	STIPULATED NOTICE OF
15	VS.	WITHDRAWAL OF MOTION FOR
16	INCSMART.BIZ, INC., a Nevada	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WITHOUT PREJUDICE
17	corporation; DAVID OLIVER, an individual;	
18	MICHAEL LASALA, an individual; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	
19		
20	Defendants.	
21	INCSMART.BIZ, INC ., a Nevada corporation,	
22	Counter-Claimant,	
23	VS.	
24		
25	INCORP SERVICES, INC., a Nevada corporation; TENNIE SEDLACEK, an	
26	individual; JENNIFER L. REUTING, an individual; and DOUG ANSELL, an	
27	individual,	
28	Counter-Defendants.	
	Case No. 11-CV-4660-EJD-PSG	STIPULATED NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MTN FOR OSC

Dockets.Justia.com

1 TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR 2 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

3 Plaintiff Incorp Services, Inc. and Defendants Incsmart.biz, Inc., David Oliver, and Michael Lasala (individually, a "Party" and collectively, the "Parties"), by and through their 4 5 counsel of record, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

6 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed its Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show Cause Why 7 Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt for Failure to Submit Sanctions Payment 8 ("Motion for OSC") on September 6, 2012 [D.E. #55];

9 WHEREAS, the Parties appeared before the Court on November 9, 2012, for a 10 hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for OSC;

11 WHEREAS, at the November 9 hearing, the Court continued the hearing on the 12 Motion for OSC to December 7, 2012;

13 WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to allow Plaintiff to withdraw its Motion for 14 OSC without prejudice.

15 NOW, THEREFORE, it is herby stipulated and agreed, by and among the Parties, through their respective counsel, as follows: 16

17 Plaintiff Incorp Services, Inc.'s Motion for Issuance of an Order to Show 1. Cause Why Defendants Should Not Be Held in Contempt for Failure to Submit Sanctions 18 Payment is hereby WITHDRAWN without prejudice. The hearing set for December 7, 2012 19 is VACATED. 20

21 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

22 DATED: December 3, 2012

23 **KRONENBERGER ROSENFELD, LLP** DATED: December 3, 2012

THE LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL G. ACKERMAN

s/Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld Bv: Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld

Counter-Defendant Tennie Sedlacek

Plaintiff

and

Inc.

for

Defendant Incorp Services,

Case No. 11-CV-4660-EJD-PSG

s/ Michael G. Ackerman By: ____ Michael G. Ackerman

Counter-Attorney for Defendants Incsmart.biz, Inc., and David Oliver, and Michael Lasala, and Counter-Claimant Incsmart.biz, Inc.

> STIPULATED NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF 2 MTN FOR OSC

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys

1	ATTESTATION OF CONCURRENCE IN FILING	
2	Pursuant to the General Order No. 45, section 45 X(B), for The United States	
3	District Court for the Northern District of California, I, Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld, hereby attest	
4	that the concurrence to the filing of this Stipulated Notice of Withdrawal of Motion for	
5	Order to Show Cause Without Prejudice has been obtained from Michael G. Ackerman,	
6	who has provided the conformed signature above.	
7		
8	<u>s/ Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld</u> Jeffrey M. Rosenfeld	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Case No. 11-CV-4660-EJD-PSG 3 STIPULATED NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF MTN FOR OSC	