4

10

11

16 17

18

23

### COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- These causes of action arise under the provisions of the Copyright Act (17 1. 5|| U.S.C. §§ 101, 501), the law of the State of California and the common law. This Court has 6 subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331 and 1338(a) and 15 U.S.C. §1125. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the state law causes of action under 28 8||U.S.C. §1367(a) because these are claims that are so related to claims within the original jurisdiction of this Court under the copyright and unfair competition laws of the United States that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- Venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) and (c) 2. 12|| because the events giving rise to this action occurred in this district, namely Defendants 13 have, among other acts, marketed and sold in this district products that infringe Plaintiff's 14 copyrights, and because the Defendants' actions have resulted in Lanham Act violations and 15 unfair competition throughout the State of California, including in this venue.

### THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff SocialApps, LLC d/b/a playSocial and take(5)social ("Plaintiff") is 3. 19 and was at all times relevant herein a California company and a citizen of California in Los 20 Angeles County. Plaintiff's primary business is developing, posting and maintaining online 21 social network games for sale. Plaintiff owns the rights to the myFarm source code and has 22 all of Michael Yager and DesignerMichael, LLC's rights therein.
- Defendants Zynga, Inc. and Zynga Game Network, Inc. (jointly, "Zynga") are 24 corporations with their headquarters located in San Francisco, California. Zynga also has 25|| locations in Los Angeles, California and Los Gatos, California. Zynga is and was at all 26 times herein a direct competitor of Plaintiff because Zynga's primary business is and was at 27 all times herein developing, posting and maintaining online social network games for sale. 28 Zynga markets and sells its social network game services throughout the entire United

19 20

21

27

States, including throughout all of California.

- The true names and capacities of Defendants Does 1-10 are unknown to 5. 3 Plaintiff, and Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this complaint to allege such names and capacities as soon as they are ascertained.
- Whenever Plaintiff refers to any act, deed, or conduct of "Defendants," said 6. 6 references mean that Zynga and DOES 1-10 engaged in the acts, deeds or conduct by and through one or more of its officers, directors, agents, employees or representatives who 8 were actively engaged in the management, direction, control or transaction of Defendants Zynga and DOES 1-10's ordinary business affairs.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that at all times relevant 7. 11 hereto each of the Defendants, including without limitation the Doe Defendants, was the 12 agent, affiliate, officer, director, manager, principal, alter-ego and/or employee of the other 13 Defendants and was at all times acting within the scope of such agency, affiliation, alter-ego 14 relationship and/or employment and actively participated in, or subsequently ratified and 15 adopted, or both, each and all of the acts or conduct alleged herein, with full knowledge of 16 all the facts and circumstances, including, but not limited to, full knowledge of each and all 17 of the violations of Plaintiff's rights and the damages to Plaintiff proximately caused 18 thereby.

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- Prior to November 2008, Plaintiff invested substantial time, resources and 8. 22 funds to develop "myFarm," the first farming social network game that allowed players to 23 create their own virtual farms, raise virtual produce and animals and harvest their virtual 24 farm goods to trade with or sell to other players. Seeking to capitalize on the meteoric rise 25 of social network gaming platforms accessed through Facebook, Plaintiff created and first 26 publicly released myFarm on Facebook in or about November 2008.
- To enhance the myFarm playing experience, Plaintiff created a unique system 28 in which players have the option to use "myFarm Credits." Players acquire myFarm Credits

5/ 22

16

23

by either (1) purchasing them from Plaintiff's clearinghouse partner or (2) partaking in 2 listed surveys and offers by advertising partners. With the myFarm Credits, players buy virtual myFarm Cash to develop their farms, activate other game features and support the game. Plaintiff's myFarm Credits and myFarm Cash features are the means by which myFarm generates its revenues.

- In or about May 2009, Defendants approached Plaintiff in an attempt to acquire 10. the intellectual rights and source code for myFarm. On May 9, 2009, Defendants and Plaintiff entered into a Letter Agreement and Term Sheet providing key business terms and for confidentiality concerning both the transaction and any company information— 10 including proprietary source code—Plaintiff provided to Defendants in what Defendants called their "due diligence." Under both the express terms of the Letter Agreement and 12 | implied through Defendants and Plaintiff's words and conduct and industry norms, Plaintiff 13 and Defendants had a bilateral expectation that if Defendants used Plaintiff's myFarm concept and distinct features, Defendants would compensate and credit Plaintiff for such 15|| use.
- Using the ruse of "duc diligence," Defendants required Plaintiff to produce its 11. 17 confidential source code for myFarm, and Plaintiff provided Defendants with the source 18 code they requested under a reasonable belief that Defendants were in fact performing their 19 due diligence and that Defendants would abide by the confidentiality terms binding them. 20 By providing this confidential source code, Plaintiff revealed to Defendants numerous 21 aspects of myFarm's functionality, including its processes for using myFarm Credits, 22 myFarm's key feature for generating revenue.
- Shortly after Plaintiff provided Defendants with the confidential source code 24 | that Defendants requested, Defendants ceased communicating with Plaintiff. Although 25 Plaintiff was not aware of it at the time Zynga took Plaintiff's confidential source code, 26 Defendants used their ruse of due diligence to access Plaintiff's confidential source code. 27 Plaintiff is informed and believes that, shortly thereafter, Defendants intentionally and 28 deliberately used Plaintiff's confidential source code to clone myFarm's key features as part

UD-1/#11,13.42

10

17

21 22

24

25

23

26 27

28

1 of a campaign to wrongfully divert revenues from myFarm and generate additional revenues.

- Defendants never compensated or credited Plaintiff for using myFarm's 13. confidential source code. Defendants also never obtained Plaintiff's permission to use the source code. Despite this, Plaintiff is informed and believes Defendants used Plaintiff's 6 source code when it first released "Farmville" on or about June 19, 2009. Until Defendants' 7 June 19, 2009 release of Farmville, Plaintiff neither knew nor had reason to know that 8 Defendants used its myFarm confidential source code without compensating Plaintiff or Plaintiff's permission.
- On or about June 13, 2011, Plaintiff registered myFarm with the United States 14. 11 Copyright Office. A true and correct copy of the Application, Service Request No. 1-621918421, is attached hereto as Exhibit 1 and is incorporated by reference.
  - The registered work includes the source code for myFarm. 15.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants used and continue to use 16. 15 Plaintiff's confidential source code to create, maintain, and generate substantial revenues 16 from Defendants' Farmville game.
- Plaintiff is also informed and believes that Defendants used and continues to 18 use Plaintiff's confidential source code to create, maintain, and generate substantial 19 revenues from Defendants' other popular virtual world games including but not limited to 20 Frontier Ville, City Ville, and Fish Ville. ("Other Virtual World Games").

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

# COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT (17 U.S.C. §§ 101, ET SEQ.)

### (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 18. contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth hercin.
  - Plaintiff owns the copyright to the myFarm source code. 19.
  - Defendants have deliberately and intentionally infringed on Plaintiff's 20.

1 copyright without authorization, in direct violation of the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 106 and 501. Such infringing conduct includes, but is not limited to, Defendants' copying of myFarm's source code in the creation and maintenance of Farmville and the Other Virtual World Games.

- Each infringement by Defendants constitutes a separate and distinct act of 21. infringement against myFarm.
- Defendants' acts of infringement are willful, in disregard of and with 22. 8 indifference to the rights of Plaintiff. At no time did Plaintiff authorize Defendants to reproduce, adapt, or distribute myFarm.
- Each player that is wrongfully diverted to Farmville and Zynga's Other Virtual 11 World Games constitutes an entire network of social connections and related revenues.
- As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's 13 || rights, Plaintiff has sustained, and will continue to sustain, substantial injury, loss, and 14 damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00 and as will be proven at trial.
- Plaintiff is entitled to a permanent injunction restraining Defendants, their 25. 16 officers, directors, agents, employees, representatives and all persons acting in concert with 17 them from engaging in further acts of copyright infringement.
- Plaintiff is further entitled to recover from Defendants the gains, profits and 19 advantages Defendants have obtained as a result of their acts of copyright infringement. 20 Plaintiff is at present unable to ascertain the full extent of the gains, profits and advantages 21 Defendants have obtained by reason of their acts of copyright infringement, but Plaintiff is 22 informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants obtained such gains, 23 profits and advantages in an amount exceeding \$500,000.00.

24 ///

26 ///

27 111

28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION-VIOLATIONS OF CALIFORNIA UNIFORM

# 4 5

# 8

# 14

## 20

28

TRADE SECRETS ACT (CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 3436, ET SEQ.) (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 27. contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Plaintiff is the sole owner and/or possessor of its proprietary source code for 28. 6 myFarm and its various features as a result of substantial time, resources and funds to develop myFarm.
- Plaintiff's source code had economic value in that it contained information not 29. generally known within the trade and was the culmination of many years of research and development. Plaintiff made reasonable efforts to ensure that its source code remained a secret by disclosing this information only to those who required this information to perform 12 their jobs and by requiring those who accessed this information to refrain from disclosing or 13 disseminating this information.
- Plaintiff's confidential source code was a trade secret that merits legal 15 protection from Defendants' misappropriation in that Defendants used the ruse of 16 conducting "due diligence" in negotiations for purchasing Plaintiff's technology and 17 Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendant used Plaintiff's source code to develop and 18 release Farmville and its Other Virtual Games, steering users away from Plaintiff's game 19 and generate its own revenues from Plaintiff's technology.
- Defendants misappropriated Plaintiff's confidential source code. Plaintiff is 21 informed and believes that Defendants used Plaintiff's confidential source code to develop 22 and release Farmville and its Other Virtual Games, steering users away from Plaintiff's game and generate its own revenues from Plaintiff's technology. Defendants did this for 24 their own benefit in violation of the confidentiality provisions of at least the Letter 25 Agreement. Defendants then developed and released products that directly competed and 26 continue to compete with Plaintiff's myFarm product, causing Plaintiff to lose valuable revenues and its existing and potential business, goodwill and reputation derived therefrom.
  - As a proximate result of Defendants' misconduct, Plaintiff's business, profits, **32**.

26

27

goodwill and reputation have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

- Defendants conduct as described herein was despicable and was committed 33. 3 maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff and with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Defendants subjected 5|| Plaintiff to crucl and unjust hardship, and via intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or 6 concealment of material facts, Defendants intended to deprive Plaintiff of property or legal rights all to the detriment of Plaintiff and to the financial benefit of Defendants.
- Defendants' conduct is particularly reprehensible because Plaintiff is informed 34. and believes it was part of a repeated corporate practice and not an isolated occurrence. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have substantially 11 increased their profits as a result.

### THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION—BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 35. 16 contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- On or about May 9, 2009, Plaintiff and Defendants entered into a written 18 agreement with term sheet whereby Plaintiff conditioned an offer to convey concepts and/or game features for its myFarm game in exchange for Defendants' obligation to pay and 20 credit Plaintiff for the concepts if Defendants were to use those concepts and/or game 21 | features in social network games. Defendants also agreed not to disclose, divulge or exploit 22 those concepts and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization and/or payment and credit to Plaintiff for use of the concepts and/or game features in social network games.
  - Plaintiff performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of its written agreement with the Defendants.
- Defendants breached and continue to breach their written agreement with 38. 28 Plaintiff by developing, releasing, maintaining and/or offering for sale features on social

network games using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without compensating or crediting Plaintiff. Defendants also breached and continue to breach their written agreement with Plaintiff by using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization or permission.

39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' material breaches of the written agreement, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

# FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION—BREACH OF IMPLIED-IN-FACT CONTRACT (Against All Defendants)

- 40. Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Heginning in at least May 2009, Plaintiff and Defendants entered into an implied-in-fact contract, as shown by their course of conduct, whereby Plaintiff conditioned an offer to convey concepts and/or game features for its myFarm game in exchange for Defendants' obligation to pay and credit Plaintiff for the concepts and/or game features if Defendants were to use those concepts and/or game features in social network games.
- 18 42. By their course of conduct, Defendants voluntarily accepted Plaintiff's
  19 disclosures, knowing that using Plaintiff's concepts for and/or game features of myFarm in
  20 social network games carried with it an obligation to, at a minimum, compensate and credit
  21 Plaintiff for their use.
- 22 43. Plaintiff conveyed and Defendants accepted these concepts and/or game features pursuant to the standard custom and practice in the entertainment industry of providing creative concepts to Defendants in exchange for compensation and credit to Plaintiff if Defendant were to use those concepts and/or game features.
  - 44. Plaintiff performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of its agreement with the Defendants.

00-11-11,13.42

- Defendants' actions and conduct implied and led Plaintiff to reasonably believe 45. 2 that it would be fully compensated and credited for Plaintiff's concepts for and/or game features of myFarm in social network games should Defendants choose to develop, release, maintain and/or offer for sale such social network games.
  - Defendants breached and continue to breach their implied contract with Plaintiff by developing, releasing, maintaining and/or offering for sale features on social network games using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without compensating or crediting Plaintiff.
  - As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' material breaches of the 47. implied-in-fact contract, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

### FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION—BREACH OF CONFIDENCE (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 48. 16 contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Beginning in May 2009, Plaintiff and Defendants also entered into a 49. 18 confidential relationship, as shown by their course of conduct, whereby Plaintiff 19 conditioned an offer to convey concepts and/or game features for its myFarm game in 20 exchange for Defendants' obligation not to disclose, divulge or exploit those concepts 21 and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization and/or payment and credit to Plaintiff 22 for use of the concepts and/or game features in social network games.
- By their course of conduct, Defendants voluntarily accepted Plaintiff's 50. 24 disclosures, knowing that using Plaintiff's concepts for and/or game features of myFarm in social network games without Plaintiff's authorization carried with it an obligation to, at a 26 minimum, compensate and credit Plaintiff for their use.
- Plaintiff conveyed and Defendants accepted these concepts and/or game 51. 28 features pursuant to the standard custom and practice in the entertainment industry of

12

14

17 18

16

19 20

21 22

25

23

28

providing creative concepts to Defendants in exchange for maintaining their confidentiality, 2 | not disclosing, divulging or exploiting those concepts and/or game features without 3 Plaintiff's authorization and/or compensation and credit to Plaintiff if Defendant were to use those concepts and/or game features.

- Plaintiff performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part 52. to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of its agreement with the Defendants.
- Defendants' actions and conduct implied and led Plaintiff to reasonably believe 53. that Defendants would not disclose, divulge or exploit those concepts and/or game features 10|| without Plaintiff's authorization and/or compensation and credit to Plaintiff if Defendant 11 were to develop, release, maintain and/or offer for sale such social network games.
- Defendants breached and continue to breach their confidence with Plaintiff by 54. 13 developing, releasing, maintaining and/or offering for sale features on social network games using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization 15 and without compensating or crediting Plaintiff.
  - As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' material breaches of confidence, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION—BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

### (Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff repeats, alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations 56. 24 contained in this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- Every contract carries with it the implied covenant of good faith and fair *5*7. 26 dealing. Having relied on that covenant, Plaintiff entered into each of the written and implied agreements with Defendants.
  - Defendants had a duty to act fairly and in good faith with respect to meeting 58.

their responsibilities to Plaintiff under each of the agreements.

- 2 59. Plaintiff performed all conditions, covenants, and promises required on its part to be performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of its agreements with the Defendants.
  - 60. Defendants breached and continue to breach their agreements with Plaintiff by developing, releasing, maintaining and/or offering for sale features on social network games using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without compensating or crediting Plaintiff. Defendants also breached and continue to breach their agreements with Plaintiff by using Plaintiff's myFarm concepts and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization or permission.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes that from the outset of the negotiations with
  Plaintiff, Defendants never intended to meet their responsibilities to Plaintiff under each of
  the agreements, including, but not limited to compensating and/or crediting Plaintiff for its
  concepts and/or game features and refraining from disclosing, divulging or exploiting
  Plaintiff's concepts and/or game features without Plaintiff's authorization and without
  compensating or crediting Plaintiff. However, Defendants deceived Plaintiff into believing
  that they would abide by the terms of the agreement and, at a minimum, not use the ruse of
  conducting "due diligence" to access Plaintiff's source code in order to steal Plaintiff's
  concepts and/or game features.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer actual damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 23 63. Defendants conduct as described herein was despicable and was committed
  24 maliciously, fraudulently and oppressively with the wrongful intention of injuring Plaintiff
  25 and with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. Defendants subjected
  26 Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship, and via intentional misrepresentation, deceit, or
  27 concealment of material facts, Defendants intended to deprive Plaintiff of property or legal
  28 rights all to the detriment of Plaintiff and to the financial benefit of Defendants.

11 12

13 14

> 15 16

17 18

19

21||\\\

20 \\\

22 \\\ 23 \\\

24|| \\\

25||\\\ 26 \\\

27||\\\

28

Defendants' conduct is particularly reprehensible because Plaintiff is informed 2 and believes it was part of a repeated corporate practice and not an isolated occurrence. 3 Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have substantially 4 increased their profits as a result.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment and relief as follows:

- For Defendants' profits to be proven at trial; 1.
- For general damages to be proven at trial; 2.
- For special damages to be proven at trial; 3.
- For punitive damages to be proven at trial; 4.
- For injunctive relief; 5.
- For statutory damages; 6.
- For an accounting to be proven at trial; 7.
- For prejudgment interest; 8.
- For attorney's fees; 9.
- For the costs of this action; and 10.
- For any further legal and equitable relief the Court deems proper. 11.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

**EXHIBIT 1** 

### \*-APPLICATION-\*

Title · Title of Work: myFarm Code

Completion/Publication -

Year of Completion: 2008

Date of 1st Publication: November 1, 2008

Nation of 1st Publication: United States

Author

Author: SocialApps LLC

Author Created: text, computer program

Work made for hire: Yes

Citizen of: United States

Domiciled in: United States

Author: Michael Yager

Author Created: computer program

Work made for hire: Yes

Citizen of: United States

Domiciled in: United States

Copyright claimant -

Copyright Claimant: SocialApps LLC

2233 Honolulu Ave. #307, Montrose,, CA, 91020, United States

Transfer Statement: By written agreement

Certification

Name: Milord Keshishian

Date: June 13, 2011

Applicant's Tracking Number: GIR09-011

Registration #:

Service Request #: 1-621918421

Priority: Routine

Application Date: June 13, 2011 09:52:54 PM

Correspondent

Organization Name: Milord & Associates

Name: Milord Keshishian

Email: uspto@milordlaw.com

Address: 2049 Century Park East

Suite 3850

Los Angeles, CA 90067 United States

Telephone: 310-226-7878

Fax: 310-226-7879

**Mall Certificate** 

Milord & Associates Milord A. Keshishian 2049 Century Park East, Ste. 3850 Los Angeles, CA 90067

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

1 (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself )			DEF	ENDANTS			
SOCIALAPPS, LLC, d/b/a take(5) social and				ZYNGA, INC., a California corporation; ZYNGA			
playSocial, a California Company				GAME NETWORK, INC., a California corporation;			
brakencial, a cattroints combana				and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive			
741 31 4 4	In a Talantana Mambay If to	mi em morecest	DU A00	meys (If Known	3		
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number, If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				mela in vina	"		
Graham B. LippSn	nith						
Girardi   Keese			1				
1126 Wilshire B	lvd.						
Los Angeles, CA 213-977-0211	90017						······································
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIC	ON (Place an X in one box only.)	111.	CITIZE:	NSHIP OF PR	INCIPAL	PARTIES - For Diversity and one for defendant.)	Cases Only
		)	(1 1866 br	PIF	DEF	und 0114 10, 44-1-1-1	PTF DEF
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	X 3 Federal Question (L	J.S. Citi	zen of This			incorporated or Principal F	Place X 4 X 4
_	Government Not a l	'апу)				of Business in this State	
2 U.S. Government Defenda	m Diversity (Indicate	Citizenship Citi	zen of Anot	her State 🔲 🗆	2 [ ] 2	Incorporated and Principal of Business in Another Sta	Place     5     5
2 O.S. Chivelianean Determine	of Parties in Item II	I)   .					
					3 ∟.13	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
			oreign Cou	nury			
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in or	ne box only.)			5 Transferred f		r district 6 Multi-	2 Appeal to District
	ved from 3 Remanded from	i Ii4 Reinst≱ t Reupei		(specify):	rom anous	District	Judge from
Proceeding State	Const. Appendix com-					Litigatio	m Magistrate Judge
TO PRODUCTED IN COMP	LAINT: JURY DEMAND:	X Yes	No (Cheel	k 'Yes' only if de	manded in	complaint.)	
<del>-</del>			and the		annean Thi A	COMBI AINT. E	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	P. 23: Yes X No					COMPLAINT: 5	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (C	lite the U.S. Civil Statute under w	hich you are fili	ng and write	a brief statemer	it of cause.	Do not cite jurisdictional s	statutes unless diversity.)
17 U.S.C. § 101 and 1	7 U.S.C. 9 501, Cupyi	idir umma	CHICH				
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (PIN	ce an X in one bux only.)						
OTHER STATUTES:		TOR	rs .	TOR		PRISONER	LABOR
400 State Reapportionment	110 Insurance	PERSONAL	INJURY	PROPE	7 7	PETITIONS	710 Fair Labor
410 Antitrust	120 Marine	310 Airpla	ne			510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt.
430 Bunks and Banking	130 Miller Act	315 Airplu Liabili		370 Other 371 Truth		Habous Corpus	Relations
450 Commerce/ICC	140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assaul		380 Other	Personal	530 General	730 Labor/Mgnu. Reporting &
Rates/etc.  460 Deportation	Overnayment &	Slando	r	Proper	ty Damage	535 Death Penalty	Disclosure Act
470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	i 330 Fcd. E Linhili		385 Proper		S40 Mandumus/	740 Rnilway Labor Act
and Corrupt	Judgment	340 Marine	• 1	*	t Liability	550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor
Organizations	151 Medicarc Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted	345 Marine	Product	BANKRI		555 Prison Condition	
480 Consumer Credit 490 Crible/Sat TV	Student Loan (Exc).	Liubili		422 Appea 158	1 28 USC	FORFEITURE/	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.
810 Selective Service	Veterans)	350 Motor 355 Motor		423 Withd	rawal 28	PENALTY	Security Act
850 Securities/Commodities/	153 Recovery of		d Liability	USC_I	57	G10 Agriculture	PROPERTY RIGHTS
Exchange	Overpayment of Voteran's Benefits	360 Other	l'ersonal	CIVILR		☐ 620 Other Foul & Drug	X 820 Copyrights 830 Patcnt
USC 3410	160 Stockholders' Suits	Injury 362 Person	al Injury-	441 Voting		625 Drug Related	840 Trademark
890 Other Statutory Actions	190 Other Contract		falpractice	443 Housi	ny/Acco-	Seizure of	SOCIAL SECURITY
R91 Agricultural Act	195 Contract Product	. J 365 Person		mmod		Property 21 USC 881	861 IIIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923)
892 Economic Stabilization	Liability 196 Franchise	Produc 368 Asbest	t Liability	444 Welfa		630 Liquor Laws	863 DIWC/DIWW
Act   Just Taxion and Mottons	REAL PROPERTY	Injury	Product	(desiC)	litics -	640 R.R. & Truck	(405(g))
1 893 Environmental Matters 1 894 Energy Allocation Act	210 Land Condemnation	Liabili	ty		yment	650 Airline Regs	864 SSID Title XVI
895 Freedom of Info. Act	220 Foreclosure	IMMIGRA		. 446 Ameri Disahi		660 Occupational	R65 R81 (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS
900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	230 Rent Lease & Ljechnent	462 Natura		Other	nucs -	Sefety/Health	870 Taxes (U.S.
nation Under Equal	240 Toris to Land	Applic 463 Habes		440 Other	Civil	are cales	Plaintiff or
Access to Justice  950 Constitutionality of	245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property		Detainee	Rights			Defendant)
State Statutes		465 Other	Inunigration				87) IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609
		Action	ış.				20 Q3C 7007
	<u> </u>			~~			
POR OFFICE USE ONLY:	use Number:		1 -	$\Pi\Pi\Omega$	4	<del></del> :	
	MPLETING THE PRON	OF ORM C	V-71, COM	PLETE THE	NFORMA	TION REQUESTED BE	
CV-71 (05/08)		CIVIL	COVER SI	łeet			Page 1 of 2 CCD-JS44

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

	S: Has this action be	en previously filed in this c	ourt and dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes	
If yes, list case number(s):  VIII(b). RELATED CASES:  If yes, list case number(s):	Have any cases been	n previously filed in this co	urt that are related to the present case?   X   No   Yes	
Civil cases are deemed related (Check all boxes that apply)	A. Arise from B. Call for C. Por other	om the same or closely rela determination of the same or reasons would entail sub-	ted transactions, happenings, or events; or or events; or or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or stantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or or copyright, <u>and</u> one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
	rict; California Count	ry outside of this District; S	heet if necessary.) tate if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resid ntiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).	es.
County in this District*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign	Country
Los Angeles			Cannot County Children of San Panilly, Built in Cannot Cannot Children of San Panilly	
			tate if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resemble.  If this box is checked, go to item (c).	ides.
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign San Francisco	Country
		ty outside of this District; S location of the truct of lar	late if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim armse.	
County in this District.	MINIT CHIEF TO THE		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign	Country
Orange				
* T.os Angeles, Orange, San Be Note: in land condemnation case	rnardino, Riverside es, use the location o	, Ventura, Santa Barbara f the tract of land involved	, or San Luis Ohispo Counties	
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORN	EY (OR PRO PER):		Smith, attorney for Plaintiff	
t as as marminal bu	Im. This form on	Civil Cover Sheet and the	information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of perence of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is initiating the civil ducket sheet. (For more detailed justructions, see separate instruction	MO! ITHER
Key to Statistical codes relating	to Social Security Ca	ises:		
Nature of Sult Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	f Cause of Action	
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicarc) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FP(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental accurity income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.		
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		
CV-71 (05/08)		CIV	L COVER SHEET	age 2 of 2

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

### NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Cormac J. Carney and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV11- 919 CJC (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Centra
District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery relate
motions.

1 Western Division	[X] Southern Division	[ ] Eastern Division
bsequent documents must be	filed at the following location:	
copy of this notice must be ser ed, a copy of this notice must b		t on all defendants (if a removal action is
	NOTICE TO COUNS	SEL
		=
All discovery related mo	tions should be noticed on the	calendar of the Magistrate Judge
motions.		
		signated to hear discovery related
i dibadii to conord	i Oluci 03-07 ol ilie Ollicu Si	ales District Court for the Central

Western Division 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8	 Southern Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053	
Los Angeles, CA 90012	Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516	

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

AO 440 (Rev. 12/09) Summons in a Civil Action

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Central

District of California

SOCIALAPPS, LLC, d/b/a take(5)social and playSocial, a

California Company

Plaintiff

٧.

ZYNGA, INC., a California corporation; ZYNGA GAME NETWORK, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive

Defendant

SACW 11-00919 CAC(HLGX)

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) ZYNGA, INC. CO REGINALD D DAVIS 444 DE HARO ST STE 132 SAN FRANCISCO CA 94107 Zyriga Game Network, Inc. 365 Vermont Street San Francisco, Ca 94107

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) --- or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) -- you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Graham B. LippSmlth

Girardi | Keese

1126 Wilshire Blvd.

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Tel: 213-977-0211

Fax: 213-481-1554

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

Date: June 17, 201

CLERK OF COURT