

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CURTIS LEE HENDERSON, SR.,)	No. C 11-4918 RMW (PR)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO
)	RE-ISSUE SUMMONS ON DR.
v.)	J.K. YU; DIRECTING
)	PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE
DR. J. ESPINOZA, et al.,)	COURT WITH MORE
)	INFORMATION FOR DR.. D.
Defendants.)	SHAMPAIN
)	

On October 15, 2012, plaintiff, a California state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed a civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Docket No. 1) The court ordered service of plaintiff’s complaint on the named defendants at California State Prison-San Quentin (“CSP-SQ”). (Docket No. 13.) Summons for defendant Dr. D. Shampain was returned unexecuted because Dr. D. Shampain “was a Contract employee and has not worked with CSP-San Quentin since on about October 2011.” (Docket No. 33.) Summons for defendant Dr. J.K. Yu was returned unexecuted because there was no doctor with that name assigned to CSP-SQ. However, the Litigation Coordinator at CSP-SQ noted that “there is a Doctor K. Yu assigned to CSP-Corcoran.” (Id.) Defendants Dr. D. Shampain and Dr. J.K. Yu have not been served.

Although a plaintiff who is incarcerated and proceeding in forma pauperis may rely on service by the Marshal, such plaintiff “may not remain silent and do nothing to effectuate such service”; rather, “[a]t a minimum, a plaintiff should request service upon the appropriate