1 2 3 4 **E-FILED on** <u>4/20/12</u> 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 12 TRACEY THOMPSON, No. 11-5254 RMW 13 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH 14 LEAVE TO AMEND AND DEFERRING v. DECISION ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO MANAGER OF LIVE 105.3 RADIO, 15 FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS 16 Defendant. [Re Docket Nos. 1, 2] 17 18 On October 28, 2011, plaintiff Tracey Thompson ("plaintiff"), proceeding pro se, filed a one-19 20 to "death threats" made by radio disc jockeys and the purported theft of the "advertising slogan 21 22 forma pauperis. See Dkt. No. 2. 23 An in forma pauperis complaint is subject to mandatory screening by the court. See Lopez v. 24

page, handwritten complaint against the "Manager of Live 105.3 FM Radio" alleging claims related called Surround Sound." Dkt. No. 1. Plaintiff simultaneously filed a motion for leave to proceed in

Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), such a complaint must be dismissed sua sponte if the court determines that it is "frivolous," or "fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted." See Lopez, 203 F.3d at 1127; see also Calhoun v. Stahl,

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND AND DEFERRING DECISION ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS No. 11-5254 RMW

EDM

25

26

27

28

For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

254 F.3d 845, 845 (9th Cir. 2001) (per curiam) ("[T]he provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B) are not limited to prisoners." (citation omitted)).

In this case, plaintiff's brief complaint fails to state any constitutional or statutory ground for relief, nor does it allege any basis for this court's jurisdiction. In addition, it fails to include sufficient factual matter to state a plausible claim. See Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 129 S. Ct. 1937, 1949 (2009); Barren v. Harrington, 152 F.3d 1193, 1195 (9th Cir. 1998) (affirming dismissal under Section 1915 because plaintiff's third amended complaint "offered no more than conclusory allegations that the defendants were involved in a conspiracy to deprive him of his constitutional rights").

Accordingly, the court dismisses plaintiff's complaint with leave to amend. If plaintiff wishes to file an amended complaint including additional facts explaining the nature of defendant's alleged misconduct and a statutory or constitutional basis for relief, she must do so within thirty days of the date of this order. The court defers a decision on plaintiff's motion for leave to file in forma pauperis until it determines whether she can state a plausible claim for relief.

It is so ordered.

DATED: 4/20/12

ald M. Whyte United States District Judge

ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND AND DEFERRING DECISION ON MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE IN FORMA PAUPERIS