

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

2011 NOV 14 A 11:41

ANA TAMAYO,

Plaintiff (s),

v.

CORDEVALLE GOLF CLUB, LLC., ET
AL.,

Defendant(s).

E-filing

ADR

No. C 11-05492 HRL

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

ORDER SETTING INITIAL CASE
MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
AND ADR DEADLINES

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is assigned to the Honorable Howard R. Lloyd. When serving the complaint or notice of removal, the plaintiff or removing defendant must serve on all other parties a copy of this order, the Notice of Assignment of Case to a United States Magistrate Judge for Trial, and all other documents specified in Civil Local Rule 4-2. Counsel must comply with the case schedule listed below unless the Court otherwise orders.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this action is assigned to the Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Multi-Option Program governed by ADR Local Rule 3. Counsel and clients shall familiarize themselves with that rule and with the material entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" on the Court ADR Internet site at www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov. A limited number of printed copies are available from the Clerk's Office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff or removing defendant serve upon all parties the brochure entitled "Consenting To A Magistrate Judge's Jurisdiction In The Northern District Of California," additional copies of which can be downloaded from the following Internet site: <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>.

CASE SCHEDULE -ADR MULTI-OPTION PROGRAM

Date	Event	Governing Rule
11/14/2011	Notice of removal filed	
12/20/2011	*Last day to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> meet and confer re: initial disclosures, early settlement, ADR process selection, and discovery plan file ADR Certification signed by Parties and Counsel (form available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov) file either Stipulation to ADR Process or Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference (form available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov) 	<p><u>FRCivP 26(f) & ADR L.R.3-5</u></p> <p><u>Civil L.R. 16-8 (b) & ADR L.R. 3-5(b)</u></p> <p><u>Civil L.R. 16-8 (c) & ADR L.R. 3-5(b) & (c)</u></p>

1/3/2012	Last day to file Rule 26(f) Report, complete initial disclosures or state objection in Rule 26(f) Report and file Case Management Statement per attached Standing Order re Contents of Joint Case Management Statement (also available at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov)	<u>FRCivP 26(a) (1)</u> <u>Civil L.R. 16-9</u>
1/10/2012	INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE (CMC) in Courtroom 2, 5th Floor SJ at 1:30 PM	<u>Civil L.R. 16-10</u>

*If the Initial Case Management Conference is continued, the other deadlines are continued accordingly.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD

STANDING ORDER RE: INITIAL CASE MANAGEMENT

1. In cases that are randomly assigned to Judge Lloyd for all purposes, the parties are requested to file their written consent to the assignment of a U.S. Magistrate Judge for all purposes, or their written declination of consent, as soon as possible.
2. The civil motion calendar is heard on Tuesdays at 10:00 a.m. The criminal motion calendar is heard on Thursdays at 9:30 a.m. Motions may be noticed for hearing pursuant to Civil L.R. 7. Counsel need not reserve a hearing date in advance for civil motions, although noticed dates may be reset as the Court's calendar requires. **HOWEVER**, do not notice a motion over a civil discovery dispute. Instead, follow this court's "Standing Order re: Civil Discovery Disputes."
3. Parties with questions regarding scheduling (excluding settlement conferences) should contact Judge Lloyd's Administrative Law Clerk at (408) 535-5411.
4. A Case Management Conference will be held on the date and time specified in the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference in Courtroom 2, United States Courthouse, 280 South First Street, San Jose, California. This conference may be continued only by Court Order pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-2(e). Parties may not stipulate to continue a Case Management Conference without Court approval.
5. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-9, no later than seven (7) days before the Case Management Conference, the parties shall file a Joint Case Management Statement. For the required format and contents of this filing, follow the "Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of California: Contents of Joint Case Management Statement." If preparation of a joint statement would cause undue hardship, the parties may serve and file separate statements, which shall include a description of the undue hardship.
6. Plaintiff or removing Defendant shall serve a copy of this Standing Order on all parties to this action and on all parties subsequently joined, in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P 4 and 5. Following service, Plaintiff shall file a certificate of service, in accordance with Civil L.R. 5-6.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 22, 2002
Amended February 26, 2007
Amended June 3, 2011

HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MAGISTRATE JUDGE HOWARD R. LLOYD

STANDING ORDER RE: CIVIL DISCOVERY DISPUTES

1. Effect of Delay on Discovery Disputes.

The parties and counsel are cautioned not to allow discovery disagreements to drag on unresolved until some important looming deadline forces them into action. Because of the press of its other business, the court may not be able to give the dispute its attention with the same celerity that some or all of the parties think is necessary.

2. Resolving Discovery Disputes

In order for this court to efficiently and flexibly respond to discovery disputes, and accounting both for (1) parties' and counsels' obligation to diligently strive to resolve such disputes without court involvement and (2) the limitations on available judicial resources: effective immediately this court adopts a new procedure for resolving discovery disputes.

A. Absent leave of court, formal noticed discovery motions may no longer be filed and, if filed contrary to this order, will not be heard.

B. Instead, parties (and non-parties involved in a discovery dispute) will first use the customary convenient means of communication - telephone, e-mail, correspondence, person to person talks between members of opposing litigation teams - to try to reach agreement.

C. If that fails to lead to complete agreement, then LEAD COUNSEL (and any unrepresented person), accompanied by anyone else whose presence is needed to fully explore resolution, shall meet IN PERSON for as long as and as often as is needed to reach full agreement.

i. Unjustified delay in arranging the meeting, especially where the dispute is time sensitive, or refusal to attend or to participate meaningfully will be grounds for sanctions and/or for entry of an order in favor of the other side. Except in extreme circumstances, excuses such as press of business, inconvenience, or cost will not suffice.

ii. Hopefully, the parties can agree on a site for the in-person meeting of lead counsel. The most sensible way would probably be for the party advancing the dispute to pick the place for the first meeting, the other party pick the site for the second, and alternating thereafter. If the parties cannot agree, then the court requires lead counsel meet at a location approximately half way between their offices.

D. If the meeting(s) between lead counsel do not resolve the dispute, then within 5 business days after conclusion of the session(s) (or, 5 days after reaching impasse as to a particular issue) the parties shall file on pleading paper a "Discovery Dispute Joint Report # ___" ("Joint Report"). In no event may a Joint Report be filed later than 7 days after the discovery cut-off date(s), as prescribed in Civil L.R. 37-3. As usual, a chambers copy should also be submitted.

i. The Joint Report's cover page will contain: the case caption; a one sentence identification of the issue it covers; the date, place, and length of time of the joint meeting; the close of discovery and any other date that is relevant; and the attestations of lead counsel that they complied with this Standing Order.

ii. To avoid needless complexity and unwieldiness, the Joint Report should deal with only one issue (or, at most, a few inextricably related issues).

iii. The Joint Report, including the cover page, shall not exceed 11 pages. It should describe the dispute and the facts essential to understanding it. Then, in a format that allows ready comparison, it should give each party's position (with brief citation to important authority), and - finally - each party's final and "most reasonable" proposal for how the court should decide.

iv. The only exhibit permitted to the Joint Report is an exact copy of the discovery request(s) in issue and the response(s) (if any) to it (i.e.: requests for documents, interrogatories, privilege log, non-party subpoena, etc.) If it consists of more than just a few pages, the exhibit shall be indexed.

v. A single lead-counsel-in-person session may produce more than one Joint Report, but the court would look with disfavor on any attempt to use multiple Joint Reports to skirt the page limitation.

vi. Unjustified delay or refusal to participate meaningfully in the preparation of the Joint Report is grounds for imposition of sanctions or entry of an order sought by the other side.

E. Upon receipt of the Joint Report, the court will decide what further proceedings, if any, are appropriate. If the issue is clearly presented and ripe for decision, it may simply issue a ruling. Alternatively, other options include: scheduling a telephone conference, calling for further briefing, or, rarely, holding a hearing.

F. Any party seeking an award of attorney fees or other expenses in connection with a discovery dispute shall file a noticed motion pursuant to the Northern District Local Rules. It would ordinarily be presumptuous to file such a motion before the court has ruled on the dispute.

G. When the parties have become, or expect to become, engaged in a succession of discovery disputes or otherwise require the ongoing assistance of a neutral decision maker, the court recommends they consider appointment of a Special Master.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 3, 2011

HOWARD R. LLOYD
United States Magistrate Judge

**STANDING ORDER FOR ALL JUDGES
OF THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CONTENTS OF JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

Commencing July 1, 2011, all judges of the Northern District of California will require the identical information in Joint Case Management Statements filed pursuant to Civil Local Rule 16-9. The parties must include the following information in their statement which, except in unusually complex cases, should not exceed ten pages:

1. Jurisdiction and Service: The basis for the court's subject matter jurisdiction over plaintiff's claims and defendant's counterclaims, whether any issues exist regarding personal jurisdiction or venue, whether any parties remain to be served, and, if any parties remain to be served, a proposed deadline for service.
2. Facts: A brief chronology of the facts and a statement of the principal factual issues in dispute.
3. Legal Issues: A brief statement, without extended legal argument, of the disputed points of law, including reference to specific statutes and decisions.
4. Motions: All prior and pending motions, their current status, and any anticipated motions.
5. Amendment of Pleadings: The extent to which parties, claims, or defenses are expected to be added or dismissed and a proposed deadline for amending the pleadings.
6. Evidence Preservation: Steps taken to preserve evidence relevant to the issue reasonably evident in this action, including interdiction of any document-destruction program and any ongoing erasures of e-mails, voice mails, and other electronically recorded material.
7. Disclosures: Whether there has been full and timely compliance with the initial disclosure requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 and a description of the disclosures made.
8. Discovery: Discovery taken to date, if any, the scope of anticipated discovery, any proposed limitations or modifications of the discovery rules, and a proposed discovery plan pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).
9. Class Actions: If a class action, a proposal for how and when the class will be certified.
10. Related Cases: Any related cases or proceedings pending before another judge of this court, or before another court or administrative body.

11. Relief: All relief sought through complaint or counterclaim, including the amount of any damages sought and a description of the bases on which damages are calculated. In addition, any party from whom damages are sought must describe the bases on which it contends damages should be calculated if liability is established.
12. Settlement and ADR: Prospects for settlement, ADR efforts to date, and a specific ADR plan for the case, including compliance with ADR L.R. 3-5 and a description of key discovery or motions necessary to position the parties to negotiate a resolution.
13. Consent to Magistrate Judge For All Purposes: Whether all parties will consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings including trial and entry of judgment.
14. Other References: Whether the case is suitable for reference to binding arbitration, a special master, or the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.
15. Narrowing of Issues: Issues that can be narrowed by agreement or by motion, suggestions to expedite the presentation of evidence at trial (e.g., through summaries or stipulated facts), and any request to bifurcate issues, claims, or defenses.
16. Expedited Trial Procedure: Whether this is the type of case that can be handled under the Expedited Trial Procedure of General Order No. 64 Attachment A. If all parties agree, they shall instead of this Statement, file an executed Agreement for Expedited Trial and a Joint Expedited Case Management Statement, in accordance with General Order No. 64 Attachments B and D.
17. Scheduling: Proposed dates for designation of experts, discovery cutoff, hearing of dispositive motions, pretrial conference and trial.
18. Trial: Whether the case will be tried to a jury or to the court and the expected length of the trial.
19. Disclosure of Non-party Interested Entities or Persons: Whether each party has filed the "Certification of Interested Entities or Persons" required by Civil Local Rule 3-16. In addition, each party must restate in the case management statement the contents of its certification by identifying any persons, firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent corporations) or other entities known by the party to have either: (i) a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding.
20. Such other matters as may facilitate the just, speedy and inexpensive disposition of this matter.