SILICON VALLEY

1	FABIO E. MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825) fmarino@mwe.com)			
2	MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100				
3	Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-815-7400				
4	Facsimile: 650-815-7401				
5	DENISE M. MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 13	25224)			
6	dmingrone@orrick.com	03224)			
7	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road				
8	Menlo Park, California 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400				
9	Facsimile: 650-614-7401				
10	Attorneys for Defendant BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS,	INC.			
11			NOV IDE		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
14	SAN JOSE	DIVISION			
15					
16	A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California corporation,	Case No.	5:11-cv-05493-LHK		
17	Plaintiff,		SED] JUDGMENT SING ACTION IN FAVOR OF		
18	v.		DANT AND AGAINST		
19	BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS	Ctrm:	8, 4th Floor		
20	SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,	Judge:	Hon. Lucy H. Koh		
	Defendant.				
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW			[PROPOSED] JUDGMI CASE NO. 5:11-cy-05493-I		

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT CASE NO. 5:11-cv-05493-LHK

2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	1	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	2	
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	3	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	4	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	5	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	6	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	7	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	8	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	9	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	10	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	11	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	12	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	13	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	14	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	15	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	16	
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	17	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	18	
212223242526	19	
2223242526	20	
23242526	21	
242526	22	
2526	23	
26	24	
	25	
27	26	ĺ
	27	

On May 29, 2012, the Court issued an order granting Defendant Brocade Communications Systems Inc.'s ("Brocade") motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff A10 Neworks, Inc. ("A10") lacks standing to assert the claim alleged in A10's First Amended Complaint and for A10's failure to join necessary and indispensable parties. D.I. 081. The Court granted A10 twenty-one (21) days to file an amended complaint to add parties. The 21 days has elapsed and A10 has failed to file an amended complaint. As a result, the Court hereby dismisses this case and enters judgment in favor of Brocade and against A10 in the above-entitled action. Each party to bear its own fees and costs. The Clerk shall close the file.

Dated: June _ 27 _, 2012

Hon. Lug H. Koh

United States District Judge