

1 FABIO E. MARINO (STATE BAR NO. 183825)  
 fmarino@mwe.com  
 2 MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP  
 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 100  
 3 Menlo Park, California 94025  
 Telephone: 650-815-7400  
 4 Facsimile: 650-815-7401

5 DENISE M. MINGRONE (STATE BAR NO. 135224)  
 dmingrone@orrick.com  
 6 ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
 1000 Marsh Road  
 7 Menlo Park, California 94025  
 Telephone: 650-614-7400  
 8 Facsimile: 650-614-7401

9 Attorneys for Defendant  
 10 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN JOSE DIVISION  
 14

15 A10 NETWORKS, INC., a California  
 16 corporation,  
 17 Plaintiff,  
 18 v.  
 19 BROCADE COMMUNICATIONS  
 20 SYSTEMS, INC., a Delaware corporation,  
 21 Defendant.

Case No. 5:11-cv-05493-LHK


**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT  
 DISMISSING ACTION IN FAVOR OF  
 DEFENDANT AND AGAINST  
 PLAINTIFF**

Ctrm: 8, 4th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Lucy H. Koh

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

On May 29, 2012, the Court issued an order granting Defendant Brocade Communications Systems Inc.’s (“Brocade”) motion to dismiss on the grounds that Plaintiff A10 Networks, Inc. (“A10”) lacks standing to assert the claim alleged in A10’s First Amended Complaint and for A10’s failure to join necessary and indispensable parties. D.I. 081. The Court granted A10 twenty-one (21) days to file an amended complaint to add parties. The 21 days has elapsed and A10 has failed to file an amended complaint. As a result, the Court hereby dismisses this case and enters judgment in favor of Brocade and against A10 in the above-entitled action. Each party to bear its own fees and costs. The Clerk shall close the file.

Dated: June 27, 2012

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Lucy H. Koh  
United States District Judge