Doc. 19

Dockets.Justia.com

Armstrong et al v. Chevy Chase Bank, FSB et al

1	STIPULATION		
2	This Stipulation is entered into by counsel for Plaintiffs D. STEWART ARMSTRONG and		
3	CINDY IKEOKA ("Plaintiffs") and counsel for Defendants CHEVY CHASE BANK, FSB;		
4	CAPITAL ONE, N.A. as successor by merger with CHEVY CHASE BANK, FSB; ("Defendants").		
5	WHEREAS, on January 9, 2012, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint		
6 7	in the above-court;		
8	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs were served with the Motion to Dismiss as a result of electronic		
9	notice-filing on January 9, 2012;		
10	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7-3 of the Northern District of California, Plaintiffs		
11	must respond to the Motion to Dismiss not more than 14 days of service, or no later than January 23,		
12	2012;		
13 14	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 6-1 of the Northern District of California, Defendants		
15	have granted Plaintiffs an initial extension until and including February 6, 2012, to answer or		
16	otherwise respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.		
17	NOW, THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants desire and hereby STIPULATE as		
18	follows:		
19	1. Plaintiffs shall have until, and including, February 6, 2012, to answer or otherwise respond		
20	to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss in this matter.		
21	2. Defendants shall have until, and including, February 13, 2012, to reply to any opposition,		
22 23	if filed.		
23			
25			
26			
27			

28

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: January 20, 2012	THE LAW OFFICES OF HOLLY S. BURGESS
4		
5		By /s/ Holly S. Burgess HOLLY S. BURGESS, Esq.
6		Attorney for Plaintiffs D. Stewart Armstrong and Cindy Ikeoka
7	Dated: January 20, 2012	SEVERSON & WERSON
8	·	
9		/s/ Michael C. Gross
10		By: Michael C. Gross, Esq. Attorney for Chevy Chase Bank,. FSB and Capital One,
11		N.A. as successor by merger with Chevy Chase Bank, FSB
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

PROPOSED ORDER IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS: 1. 1. Plaintiffs shall have until, and including, February 6, 2012, to answer or otherwise respond to Defendant's Motion to Dismiss in this matter. 2. Defendants shall have until, and including, February 13, 2012, to reply to any opposition, if filed. IT IS SO ORDERED. EQU O Wank Dated: __ 1/24/2012 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA