

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865)
rcole@fenwick.com
2 MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950)
mmelcher@fenwick.com
3 FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
4 801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
5 Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

6 TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)
tnewby@fenwick.com
7 555 California Street, 12th Floor
8 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415.875.2300
9 Facsimile: 415.281.1350

10 Attorneys for Defendant
Carrier IQ, Inc.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

15 PATRICK KENNY, an Arizona resident,
16 JUSTIN SHARP, a California resident, JEREMY
FEITELSON, an Iowa resident, and GREG
17 FEITELSON, a Kentucky resident, on behalf of
themselves and all others similarly situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 CARRIER IQ, a Delaware corporation; HTC
21 CORPORATION, a Taiwanese company; HTC
AMERICA, INC., a Washington corporation; and
22 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a
Korean company,

23 Defendants.
24

Case No.: 11-CV-05774-EJD

**STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE
OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

25
26 WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs filed the above-captioned case;
27 WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs allege violations of the Federal Wiretap Act
28 and other laws by the defendants in this case;

STIP RE CONTINUANCE OF TIME FOR
DEF. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

11-CV-05774-EJD

1 WHEREAS over 50 other complaints have been filed to-date in federal district courts
2 throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of cellular
3 telephone and other device users on whose devices software made by defendant Carrier IQ, Inc. is
4 or has been embedded (collectively, including the above-captioned matter, the “CIQ cases”);

5 WHEREAS, a motion is pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to
6 transfer the CIQ cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial proceedings
7 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1407, responses to the motion supporting coordination or
8 consolidation have been filed, and plaintiffs and defendants anticipate that additional responses
9 will be filed;

10 WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of one or more consolidated amended
11 complaints in the CIQ cases;

12 WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ have agreed that an orderly schedule for
13 any response to the pleadings in the CIQ cases would be more efficient for the parties and for the
14 Court;

15 WHEREAS plaintiffs agree that the deadline for defendant Carrier IQ to answer, move, or
16 otherwise respond to their complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: (1)
17 forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in the CIQ cases; or (2) forty-
18 five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendants that plaintiffs do not intend to file a
19 consolidated amended complaint; or (3) as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee
20 court; *provided*, however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date
21 in any of these cases, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned action on
22 that earlier date;

23 WHEREAS plaintiffs further agree that this extension is available, without further
24 stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs, to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of
25 their intention to join this Stipulation;

26 WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ of any defense,
27 including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter
28 jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of process;

1 WHEREAS, with respect to any defendant joining the Stipulation, this Stipulation does
2 not constitute a waiver of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of
3 personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or
4 service of process; and

5 WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ, as well as any defendant joining this
6 Stipulation, agree that preservation of evidence in the CIQ cases is vital, that defendants have
7 received litigation hold letters, that they are complying with and will continue to comply with all
8 of their evidence preservation obligations under governing law, and that that the delay brought
9 about by this Stipulation should not result in the loss of any evidence,

10 Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiffs in the above-referenced case
11 and defendant Carrier IQ, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as
12 follows:

13 1. The deadline for Carrier IQ to answer, move, or otherwise respond to plaintiffs'
14 complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: forty-five days after the filing
15 of a consolidated amended complaint in these cases; or forty-five days after plaintiffs provide
16 written notice to defendant Carrier IQ that plaintiffs do not intend to file a Consolidated Amended
17 Complaint; or as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court; *provided*,
18 however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date in any of these
19 cases, except by court order, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned case
20 on that earlier date.

21 2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs,
22 to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of their intention to join this Stipulation;

23 3. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ or any other named
24 defendant joining the Stipulation of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack
25 of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or
26 service of process.

1 4. As a condition of entry into this Stipulation, defendant Carrier IQ and any other
2 defendant(s) joining this Stipulation, and the plaintiffs, agree that they are complying with and
3 will continue to comply with all evidentiary preservation obligations under governing law.

4 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5 DATED: December 19, 2011

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

6 By /s/ Robert Lopez

7 Steve W. Berman, *pro hac vice*

8 Robert F. Lopez, *pro hac vice*

9 Thomas E. Loeser (202724)

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300

Seattle, WA 98101

(206) 623-7292

SHANA E. SCARLETT (217895)

715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202

Berkeley, CA 94710

Telephone: (510) 725-3000

Facsimile: (510) 725-3001

shanas@hbsslw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

FENWICK & WEST LLP

17 By /s/ Tyler G. Newby

18 TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)

555 California Street, 12th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

Ph: (415) 875-2300

Fax: (415) 281-1350

tnewby@fenwick.com

RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865)

MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950)

FENWICK & WEST LLP

801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Ph: (650) 988-8500

Fax: (650) 938-5200

rcole@fenwick.com

Attorneys for Defendant Carrier IQ, Inc.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

~~FROM~~
[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 21, 2011



Honorable Edward J. Davila
United States District Judge

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 **CERTIFICATION**

2 I, Tyler G. Newby, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being
3 used to file this **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONTINUANCE OF**
4 **TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT.** In compliance with General
5 Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Robert Lopez has concurred in this filing.

6
7 DATED: December 19, 2011

8 By /s/ Tyler G. Newby _____
9 TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)
10 FENWICK & WEST LLP
11 555 California Street, 12th Floor
12 San Francisco, CA 94104
13 Ph: (415) 875-2300
14 Fax: (415) 281-1350
15 tnewby@fenwick.com

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
25143/00401/SF/5370735.1

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW