1	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
2	HENRY WEISSMANN (SBN 132418) Henry.Weissmann@mto.com	STEVE W. BERMAN, pro hac vice steve@hbsslaw.com	
	355 South Grand Avenue,	ROBERT F. LOPEZ, pro hac vice	
3	Thirty-Fifth Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560	robl@hbsslaw.com THOMAS E. LOESER (SBN 202724)	
4	Telephone: (213) 683-9100	toml@hbsslaw.com	
_	Facsimile: (213) 687-3702	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300	
5	MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292	
6	ROSEMARIE T. RING (SBN 220769) Rose.Ring@mto.com	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594	
7	JONATHAN H. BLAVIN (SBN 230269)	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
8	Jonathan.Blavin@mto.com	SHANA E. SCARLETT (SBN 217895)	
0	Victoria L. Boesch (SBN 228561) Victoria.Boesch@mto.com	shanas@hbsslaw.com	
9	BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY (SBN 279140	0) 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202	
10	Bryan.Heckenlively@mto.com	Berkeley, CA 94710	
10	560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor	Telephone: (510) 725-3000 Facsimile: (510) 725-3001	
11	San Francisco, CA 94105-2907		
12	Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Patrick Kenny, Justin Sharp, Jeremy Feitelson, and Greg Feitelson	
13	Attorneys for Defendant HTC AMERICA,	and the Proposed Class	
	INC.		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN JO	DSE DIVISION	
18	PATRICK KENNY, an Arizona resident, JUSTIN SHARP, a California resident,	CASE NO. CV-11-05774 EJD	
19	JEREMY FEITELSON, an Iowa resident,	STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF	
20	and GREG FEITELSON, a Kentucky resident, on behalf of themselves and all	TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [FROPOSED]	
21	others similarly situated,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
22	Plaintiffs,		
23	v.		
	CARRIER IQ, INC., a Delaware		
24	corporation, HTC CORPORATION, a		
25	Taiwanese company; HTC AMERICA, INC., a Washington corporation; and SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD. a		
26	Korean company,		
27	Defendants.		
28			
	16019767.1	STIP RE EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT & PROPOSED ORDER CV-11-05774 EJD	

1	WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs filed the above-captioned case;
2	WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs allege violations of the Federal
3	Wiretap Act and other laws by the defendants in this case;
4	WHEREAS over 50 other complaints have been filed to-date in federal district
5	courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of
6	cellular telephone and other device users on whose devices software made by defendant Carrier
7	IQ, Inc. is or has been embedded (collectively, including the above-captioned matter, the "CIQ
8	cases");
9	WHEREAS, a motion is pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
10	Litigation to transfer the CIQ cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial
11	proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1407, responses to the motion supporting coordination or
12	consolidation have been filed, and plaintiffs and defendants anticipate that additional responses
13	will be filed;
14	WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of one or more consolidated
15	amended complaints in the CIQ cases;
16	WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendant HTC America, Inc. have agreed that an
17	orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the CIQ cases would be more efficient for
18	the parties and for the Court;
19	WHEREAS plaintiffs agree that the deadline for defendant HTC America, Inc. to
20	answer, move, or otherwise respond to their complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the
21	following dates: (1) forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in the
22	CIQ cases; or (2) forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendants that plaintiffs
23	do not intend to file a consolidated amended complaint; or (3) as otherwise ordered by this Court
24	or the MDL transferee court; provided, however, that in the event that HTC America, Inc. should
25	agree to an earlier response date in any of these cases, HTC America, Inc. will respond to the
26	complaint in the above-captioned action on that earlier date;
27	WHEREAS plaintiffs further agree that this extension is available, without further
28	stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs, to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD

1 their intention to join this Stipulation;

2	WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by HTC America, Inc. of		
3	any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject		
4	matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of process;		
5	WHEREAS, with respect to any defendant joining the Stipulation, this Stipulation		
6	does not constitute a waiver of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of		
7	personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or		
8	service of process; and		
9	WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant HTC America, Inc., as well as any defendant		
10	joining this Stipulation, agree that preservation of evidence in the CIQ cases is vital, that		
11	defendants have received litigation hold letters, that they are complying with and will continue to		
12	comply with all of their evidence preservation obligations under governing law, and that that the		
13	delay brought about by this Stipulation should not result in the loss of any evidence,		
14	Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiffs in the above-		
15	referenced case and defendant HTC America, Inc., by and through their respective counsel of		
16	record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
17	1. The deadline for HTC America, Inc. to answer, move, or otherwise respond to		
18	plaintiffs' complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates:		
19	forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in these cases;		
20	or forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendant HTC		
21	America, Inc. that plaintiffs do not intend to file a Consolidated Amended		
22	Complaint; or as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court;		
23	provided, however, that in the event that HTC America, Inc. should agree to an		
24	earlier response date in any of these cases, except by court order, HTC America,		
25	Inc. will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned case on that earlier date.		
26	2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs,		
27	to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of their intention to join		
28	this Stipulation;		
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO16019767.1- 2 -RESPOND TO COMPLAINT		

16019767.1

1	3. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by HTC America, Inc. or any other	
2	named defendant joining the Stipulation of any defense, including but not limited	
3	to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction,	
4	improper venue, sufficiency of process, or service of process.	
5	4. As a condition of entry into this Stipulation, defendant HTC America, Inc. and any	
6	other defendant(s) joining this Stipulation, and the plaintiffs, agree that they are	
7	complying with and will continue to comply with all evidentiary preservation	
8	obligations under governing law.	
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
10		
11	DATED: December 20, 2011 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP	
12		
13	By: /s/ Rosemarie T. Ring	
14	Rosemarie T. Ring Attorneys for Defendant	
15	HTC America, Inc.	
16		
17	DATED: December 20, 2011 HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP	
18		
19	By: /s/ Robert F. Lopez	
20	Robert F. Lopez Attorneys for Plaintiffs Patrick Kenny,	
21	Justin Sharp, Jeremy Feitelson, and Greg Feitelson and the Proposed Class	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	16019767.1- 3 -STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD	

1		[PROPOSED] ORDER
2	PURSUANT TO STIPULA	ATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
3		•
4	Dated: December 21, 2011	Earl Julah
5		Edward J. Davila United States District Judge
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO
	16019767.1	- 4 - RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD

1	CERTIFICATION		
2	I, Rosemarie T. Ring, am the ECF User whose identification and password are		
3	being used to file this STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO		
4	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO		
5	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B., I hereby attest that		
6	Robert F. Lopezp concurred in this filing.		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	16019767.1- 5 -STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD		