1	STEVEN J. SKIKOS (SBN 148110) sskikos@skikoscrawford.com	RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865) rcole@fenwick.com			
2	MARK G. CRAWFOD (SBN 136501) mcrawford@skikoscrawford.com	MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950) mmelcher@fenwick.com			
3	SKIKOS, CRAWFORD, SKIKOS & JOSEPH LLP	FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center			
4	625 Market Street, 11 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105	801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041			
5	Telephone: (415) 546-7300 Facsimile: (415) 546-7301	Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)			
7		tnewby@fenwick.com JENNIFER J. JOHNSON (CSB No. 252897)			
8		jjjohnson@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP			
9		555 California Street, 12 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104			
10 11		Telephone: 415.875.2300 Facsimile: 415.281.1350			
12	Additional counsel listed on signature page	Attorneys for Defendant			
13	Additional counsel listed on signature page Carrier IQ, Inc. UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
	SAN JOSE DIVISION				
15	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION			
15 16	SAN JOSE I	DIVISION			
	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW	DIVISION CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD			
16		CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF			
16 17	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO			
16 17 18	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons,	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED]			
16 17 18 19 20	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE CARRIERS (1-10),	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE CARRIERS (1-10),	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE CARRIERS (1-10),	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually, and on Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons, Plaintiffs, v. CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC AMERICA INC., AT&T, INC. SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE CARRIERS (1-10),	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-05821-EJD STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT CARRIER IQ, INC. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO			

WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs filed the above-captioned case;

WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs allege violations of the Federal

Wiretap Act and other laws by the defendants in this case;

WHEREAS over 50 other complaints have been filed to-date in federal district courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of cellular telephone and other device users on whose devices software made by defendant Carrier IQ, Inc. is or has been embedded (collectively, including the above-captioned matter, the "CIQ cases");

WHEREAS, a motion is pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to transfer the CIQ cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1407, and responses to the motion supporting coordination or consolidation have been filed;

WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of one or more consolidated amended complaints in the CIQ cases;

WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ have agreed that an orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the CIQ cases would be more efficient for the parties and for the Court;

WHEREAS plaintiffs agree that the deadline for defendant Carrier IQ to answer, move, or otherwise respond to their complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: (1) forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in the CIQ cases; or (2) forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendants that plaintiffs do not intend to file a consolidated amended complaint; or (3) as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court; *provided*, however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date in any of these cases, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned action on that earlier date;

WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter

jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of process;

WHEREAS, this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by plaintiffs to move for a preliminary injunction, motion for expedited discovery or any other pre-answer motion against Carrier IQ or any other defendant, and Carrier IQ agrees that this Stipulation shall not be the basis for objection to said motions; and

WHEREAS, this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ of any defense or objection to any motion for a preliminary injunction, motion for expedited discovery or any other pre-answer motion filed by plaintiffs, including but not limited to moving to stay the action; and

WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ, agree that preservation of evidence in the CIQ cases is vital, that defendants have received litigation hold letters, that they are complying with and will continue to comply with all of their evidence preservation obligations under governing law, and that that the delay brought about by this Stipulation should not result in the loss of any evidence,

Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiffs in the abovereferenced case and defendant Carrier IQ, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. The deadline for Carrier IQ to answer, move, or otherwise respond to plaintiffs' complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in these cases; or forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendant Carrier IQ that plaintiffs do not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint; or as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court; *provided*, however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date in any of these cases, except by court order, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned case on that earlier date.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or service of process. As a condition of entry into this Stipulation, defendant Carrier IQ and the plaintiffs, agree that they are complying with and will continue to comply with all evidentiary preservation obligations under governing law. IT IS SO STIPULATED.
9	
10 11 12	DATED: December 28, 2011 SKIKOS, CRAWFORD, SKIKOS & JOSEPH LLP
13	By: /s/ Mark G. Crawford
14	Steven J. Skikos
	Mark G. Crawford
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16	DATED: December 28, 2011 FENWICK & WEST LLP
17	
18	By: /s/ Tyler G. Newby Tyler G. Newby
19	Attorneys for Defendant
20	Carrier IQ, Inc.
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	CTIDLIL ATION TO EVTEND TIME

1	Additional Counsel for Plaintiffs:
2	HERMAN GEREL LLP
3	Maury A. Herman mherman@hhkc.com 820 O'Keefe Avenue New Orleans, LA 70113
5	Telephone: (504) 581-4892 Facsimile: (501) 561-6024
7 8 9	Christopher V. Tisi cvtisi@aol.com 2000 L Street, NW Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 783-6400 Facsimile: (202) 416-6392
10 11	Andrea S. Hirsch ahirsch@hermangerel.com 230 Peachtree Street, Suite 2260 Atlanta, GA 30303
12	Telephone: (404) 880-9500 Facsimile: (404) 880-9605
13	1 4000 1000 7000
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21 22	
23	
23	
25	
26	
27	
28	

	1 2 3 4 5	STEVEN J. SKIKOS (SBN 148110) sskikos@skikoscrawford.com MARK G. CRAWFOD (SBN 136501) mcrawford@skikoscrawford.com SKIKOS, CRAWFORD, SKIKOS & JOSEPH LLP 625 Market Street, 11 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 546-7300 Facsimile: (415) 546-7301	RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865) rcole@fenwick.com MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950) mmelcher@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200	
	7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790) tnewby@fenwick.com JENNIFER J. JOHNSON (CSB No. 252897) jjjohnson@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP	
	9 10		555 California Street, 12 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.875.2300 Facsimile: 415.281.1350	
<u>ا</u>	11 12	Additional counsel listed on signature page	Attorneys for Defendant Carrier IQ, Inc.	
LAW LEW	13	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
IWICK & WEST Attorneys at Law Mountain View	14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
FENWICK & WEST LLP Attorneys at Law Mountain View	15	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
FE.	16			
	17 18	ROWENA SILVERA and ANDREW SANDERS, Individually and On Behalf of all Similarly Situated Persons,	Case No.: CV-11-05821-EJD [FROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE	
	19	Plaintiffs,	OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND	
	20	V.	[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
	21	CARRIER IQ, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., HTC		
	22	AMERICA INC, AT&T, INC., SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P., JOHN		
	23	DOE MANUFACTURERS (1-10), JOHN DOE CARRIERS (1-10),		
	24	Defendants.		
	25	Detellualits.		
	26	Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERE	ED.	
	27	Dated: December 29, 2011	EQU O Wash	
	28		Honorable Edward J. Davila United States District Judge	
		[PROPOSED] ORDER	CV-11-05821-EJD	

1	CERTIFICATION			
2	I, Tyler G. Newby, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being			
3	used to file this STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONTINUANCE OF			
4	TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT. In compliance with General			
5	Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Mark G. Crawford has concurred in this filing.			
6				
7	DATED: December 28, 2011 By <u>/s/ Tyler G. Newby</u> TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)			
8	FENWICK & WEST LLP 555 California Street, 12th Floor			
9	San Francisco, CA 94104			
10	Ph: (415) 875-2300 Fax: (415) 281-1350			
11	tnewby@fenwick.com			
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				

28