

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

|   |   |                                  |
|---|---|----------------------------------|
| DYNETIX DESIGN SOLUTIONS INC., A<br>California corporation, | ) | Case No.: 11-CV-05973 PSG        |
|   | ) | <b>ORDER RE 4/9/2013 HEARING</b> |
| Plaintiff,  | ) | <b>(Re: Docket No. 300)</b>      |
| v.  | ) |                                  |
|   | ) |                                  |
| SYNOPSIS INC., a Delaware corporation, and<br>DOES 1-50     | ) |                                  |
|   | ) |                                  |
| Defendants.   | ) |                                  |

Synopsys shall give notice of the 4/9/2013 hearing to third parties Intel and Nvidia without delay. Intel and Nvidia may appear at the hearing if they so wish. Nvidia further may file a response to the pending motion directed toward it no later than 4/5/2013 midnight. By that same date, Synopsys and Dynetix may file oppositions to Dynetix’s Fourth Motion to Compel and Synopsys’ Motion for Protective Order, respectively. No further briefs will be considered. All parties should be prepared to discuss all pending motions affecting them and noticed for hearing on 4/9/2013 so that the court may resolve each such motion from the bench.

All parties are directed to meet and confer again on each such motion before the 4/9/2013 hearing, bearing in mind that the court will not hesitate to award fees and costs in resolving the motions that remain.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Time has come to bring order to this unwieldy docket.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: April 4, 2013

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
PAUL S. GREWAL  
United States Magistrate Judge