1	BRIAN R. STRANGE (SBN 103252)	HENRY WEISSMANN (SBN 132418)
2	LACounsel@earthlink.net STRANGE & CARPENTER	Henry.Weissmann@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP 355 South Grand Avenue
3	12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1900 Los Angeles, CA 90025	Thirty-Fifth Floor
4	Telephone: (310) 207-5055 Facsimile: (310) 826-3210	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560 Telephone: (213) 683-9100 Facsimile: (213) 687-3702
5	JOSEPH H. MALLEY (pro hac vice pending)	ROSEMARIE T. RING (SBN 220769)
6	malleylaw@gmail.com LAW OFFICE OF JOSEPH MALLEY	Rose.Ring@mto.com JONATHAN H. BLAVIN (SBN 230269)
7	1045 North Zang Boulevard Dallas, TX 75208	Jonathan.Blavin@mto.com VICTORIA L. BOESCH (SBN 228561)
8	Telephone: (214) 943-6100	Victoria.Boesch@mto.com BRYAN H. HECKENLIVELY (SBN 279140)
9		Bryan.Heckenlively@mto.com MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor
11	7 ttorneys for 1 families	San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000
12		Facsimile: (415) 512-4007
13		Attorneys for Defendant HTC America, Inc.
14		
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN J	OSE DIVISION
18		
19	ISRAEL OLIVARES; CLARISSA PORTALES; individuals, on behalf of	CASE NO. 5:11-CV-06151 HRL
20	themselves and others similarly situated,	STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND
21	Plaintiffs,	TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
22	V.	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT (MODIFIED BY THE COURT)
23	CARRIER IQ, INC., a Delaware Corporation; HTC AMERICA, INC., a	
24	Washington Corporation,	
25	Defendant.	
26		
27		
28		

CASE NO. 5:11-CV-06151 HRL

1	WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs filed the above-captioned case;
2	WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs allege violations of the Federal
3	Wiretap Act and other laws by the defendants in this case;
4	WHEREAS over 50 other complaints have been filed to-date in federal district
5	courts throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of
6	cellular telephone and other device users on whose devices software made by defendant Carrier
7	IQ, Inc. is or has been embedded (collectively, including the above-captioned matter, the "CIQ
8	cases");
9	WHEREAS, a motion is pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict
10	Litigation to transfer the CIQ cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial
11	proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1407, responses to the motion supporting coordination or
12	consolidation have been filed, and plaintiffs and defendants anticipate that additional responses
13	will be filed;
14	WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of one or more consolidated
15	amended complaints in the CIQ cases;
16	WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendant HTC America, Inc. have agreed that an
17	orderly schedule for any response to the pleadings in the CIQ cases would be more efficient for
18	the parties and for the Court;
19	WHEREAS plaintiffs agree that the deadline for defendant HTC America, Inc. to
20	answer, move, or otherwise respond to their complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the
21	following dates: (1) forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in the
22	CIQ cases; or (2) forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendants that plaintiffs
23	do not intend to file a consolidated amended complaint; or (3) as otherwise ordered by this Court
24	or the MDL transferee court; <i>provided</i> , however, that in the event that HTC America, Inc. should
25	agree to an earlier response date in any of these cases, HTC America, Inc. will respond to the
26	complaint in the above-captioned action on that earlier date;
27	WHEREAS plaintiffs further agree that this extension is available, without further and the court
28	stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs, to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of

their intention to join this Stipulation;

WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by HTC America, Inc. of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of process;

WHEREAS, with respect to any defendant joining the Stipulation, this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or service of process; and

WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant HTC America, Inc., as well as any defendant joining this Stipulation, agree that preservation of evidence in the CIQ cases is vital, that defendants have received litigation hold letters, that they are complying with and will continue to comply with all of their evidence preservation obligations under governing law, and that that the delay brought about by this Stipulation should not result in the loss of any evidence,

Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiffs in the abovereferenced case and defendant HTC America, Inc., by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. The deadline for HTC America, Inc. to answer, move, or otherwise respond to plaintiffs' complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in these cases; or forty-five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendant HTC America, Inc. that plaintiffs do not intend to file a Consolidated Amended Complaint; or as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court; provided, however, that in the event that HTC America, Inc. should agree to an earlier response date in any of these cases, except by court order, HTC America, Inc. will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned case on that earlier date.
- 2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs, to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of their intention to join this Stipulation;

1	3. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by HTC America, Inc. or any other
2	named defendant joining the Stipulation of any defense, including but not limited
3	to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction,
4	improper venue, sufficiency of process, or service of process.
5	4. As a condition of entry into this Stipulation, defendant HTC America, Inc. and any
6	other defendant(s) joining this Stipulation, and the plaintiffs, agree that they are
7	complying with and will continue to comply with all evidentiary preservation
8	obligations under governing law.
9	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
10	II IS SO STIFULATED.
11	DATED: December 21, 2011 STRANGE & CARPENTER
12	STRINGE & CARTENIER
13	
14	By: /s/ Brian R. Strange Brian R. Strange
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
16	DATED: December 21, 2011 MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP
17	
18	By: /s/ Rosemarie T. Ring Rosemarie T. Ring
19	Attorneys for Defendant HTC America, Inc.
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO

[PROPOSED] ORDER Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERED. Dated: January 9, 2012 United States Magistrate Judge

1	<u>CERTIFICATION</u>
2	I, Rosemarie T. Ring, am the ECF User whose identification and password are
3	being used to file this STIPULATION RE: EXTENSION OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
4	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME TO
5	RESPOND TO COMPLAINT. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B., I hereby attest that
6	Brian R. Strange concurred in this filing.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
/X	