

1 RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865)
2 rcole@fenwick.com
3 MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950)
4 mmelcher@fenwick.com
5 FENWICK & WEST LLP
6 Silicon Valley Center
7 801 California Street
8 Mountain View, CA 94041
9 Telephone: 650.988.8500
10 Facsimile: 650.938.5200

** E-filed January 9, 2012 **

11 TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)
12 tnewby@fenwick.com
13 JENNIFER J. JOHNSON (CSB No. 252897)
14 jjjohnson@fenwick.com
15 555 California Street, 12th Floor
16 San Francisco, CA 94104
17 Telephone: 415.875.2300
18 Facsimile: 415.281.1350

19 Attorneys for Defendant
20 Carrier IQ, Inc.

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
22 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
23 SAN JOSE DIVISION

24 ISRAEL OLIVARES; CLARISSA PORTALES;
25 individuals, on behalf of themselves and others
26 similarly situated,

27 Plaintiffs,
28 v.

29 CARRIER IQ, a Delaware corporation, HTC
30 AMERICA, INC., a Washington corporation,

31 Defendants.

32 Case No.: CV-11-06151

33 **STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE
34 OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
35 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
36 [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
37 TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT
38 (MODIFIED BY THE COURT)**

39 WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs filed the above-captioned case;

40 WHEREAS the above-referenced plaintiffs allege violations of the Federal Wiretap Act
41 and other laws by the defendants in this case;

42 WHEREAS over 50 other complaints have been filed to-date in federal district courts

43 STIP RE CONTINUANCE OF TIME FOR
44 DEF. TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT

45 CV-11-06151

1 throughout the United States by plaintiffs purporting to bring class actions on behalf of cellular
2 telephone and other device users on whose devices software made by defendant Carrier IQ, Inc. is
3 or has been embedded (collectively, including the above-captioned matter, the “CIQ cases”);

4 WHEREAS, a motion is pending before the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation to
5 transfer the CIQ cases to this jurisdiction for coordinated and consolidated pretrial proceedings
6 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1407, and responses to the motion supporting coordination or
7 consolidation have been filed;

8 WHEREAS plaintiffs anticipate the possibility of one or more consolidated amended
9 complaints in the CIQ cases;

10 WHEREAS plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ have agreed that an orderly schedule for
11 any response to the pleadings in the CIQ cases would be more efficient for the parties and for the
12 Court;

13 WHEREAS plaintiffs agree that the deadline for defendant Carrier IQ to answer, move, or
14 otherwise respond to their complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: (1)
15 forty-five days after the filing of a consolidated amended complaint in the CIQ cases; or (2) forty-
16 five days after plaintiffs provide written notice to defendants that plaintiffs do not intend to file a
17 consolidated amended complaint; or (3) as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee
18 court; *provided*, however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date
19 in any of these cases, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned action on
20 that earlier date;

21 WHEREAS plaintiffs further agree that this extension is available, without further
22 stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs, to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs ~~in writing of~~ and the court
23 their intention to join this Stipulation;

24 WHEREAS this Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ of any defense,
25 including but not limited to the defenses of lack of personal jurisdiction, subject matter
26 jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process or service of process;

27 WHEREAS, with respect to any defendant joining the Stipulation, this Stipulation does
28 not constitute a waiver of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack of

1 personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or
2 service of process; and

3 WHEREAS, plaintiffs and defendant Carrier IQ, as well as any defendant joining this
4 Stipulation, agree that preservation of evidence in the CIQ cases is vital, that defendants have
5 received litigation hold letters, that they are complying with and will continue to comply with all
6 of their evidence preservation obligations under governing law, and that the delay brought
7 about by this Stipulation should not result in the loss of any evidence,

8 Now, therefore, pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-12, plaintiffs in the above-referenced case
9 and defendant Carrier IQ, by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as
10 follows:

11 1. The deadline for Carrier IQ to answer, move, or otherwise respond to plaintiffs'
12 complaint shall be extended until the earliest of the following dates: forty-five days after the filing
13 of a consolidated amended complaint in these cases; or forty-five days after plaintiffs provide
14 written notice to defendant Carrier IQ that plaintiffs do not intend to file a Consolidated Amended
15 Complaint; or as otherwise ordered by this Court or the MDL transferee court; *provided*,
16 however, that in the event that Carrier IQ should agree to an earlier response date in any of these
17 cases, except by court order, Carrier IQ will respond to the complaint in the above-captioned case
18 on that earlier date.

19 2. This extension is available, without further stipulation with counsel for plaintiffs,
20 to all named defendants who notify plaintiffs in writing of their intention to join this Stipulation;

21 3. This Stipulation does not constitute a waiver by Carrier IQ or any other named
22 defendant joining the Stipulation of any defense, including but not limited to the defenses of lack
23 of personal jurisdiction, subject matter jurisdiction, improper venue, sufficiency of process, or
24 service of process.

25 4. As a condition of entry into this Stipulation, defendant Carrier IQ and any other
26 defendant(s) joining this Stipulation, and the plaintiffs, agree that they are complying with and
27 will continue to comply with all evidentiary preservation obligations under governing law.

1 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

2 DATED: December 29, 2011

STRANGE & CARPENTER

3 By /s/ Brian R. Strange

4 Brian R. Strange (103252)
5 lacounsel@earthlink.net
6 STRANGE & CARPENTER
7 12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1900
8 Los Angeles, CA 90025
9 Ph: (310) 207-5055
10 Fax: (310) 826-3210

11 Law Office of Joseph H. Malley
12 Joseph H. Malley (not admitted)
13 malleylaw@gmail.com
14 1045 North Zang Blvd.
15 Dallas, TX 75208
16 Ph: (214) 943-6100

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

18 FENWICK & WEST LLP

19 By /s/ Tyler G. Newby

20 Tyler G. Newby (CSB No. 205790)
21 tnewby@fenwick.com
22 Jennifer J. Johnson (CSB No. 252897)
23 jjjohnson@fenwick.com
24 555 California Street, 12th Floor
25 San Francisco, CA 94104
26 Ph: (415) 875-2300
27 Fax: (415) 281-1350

28 Rodger R. Cole (CSB NO. 178865)
29 rcole@fenwick.com
30 Molly R. Melcher (CSB NO. 272950)
31 mmelcher@fenwick.com
32 Silicon Valley Center
33 801 California Street
34 Mountain View, CA 94041
35 Ph: 650.988.8500
36 Fax: 650.938.5200

37 *Attorneys for Defendant Carrier IQ, Inc.*

CERTIFICATION

I, Tyler G. Newby, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: CONTINUANCE OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**. In compliance with General Order 45.X.B, I hereby attest that Brian R. Strange has concurred in this filing.

DATED: December 29, 2011

By /s/ Tyler G. Newby

TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)
FENWICK & WEST LLP
555 California Street, 12th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Ph: (415) 875-2300
Fax: (415) 281-1350
tnewby@fenwick.com

25143/00401/SF/5372115.1

FENWICK & WEST LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
MOUNTAIN VIEW

1 RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865)
rcole@fenwick.com
2 MOLLY R. MELCHER (CSB No. 272950)
mmelcher@fenwick.com
3 FENWICK & WEST LLP
Silicon Valley Center
4 801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
5 Telephone: 650.988.8500
Facsimile: 650.938.5200

6 TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790)
tnewby@fenwick.com
7 JENNIFER J. JOHNSON (CSB No. 252897)
jjjohnson@fenwick.com
8 555 California Street, 12th Floor
9 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: 415.875.2300
10 Facsimile: 415.281.1350

11 Attorneys for Defendant
Carrier IQ, Inc.

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 SAN JOSE DIVISION

16 ISRAEL OLIVARES; CLARISSA PORTALES;
17 individuals, on behalf of themselves and others
similarly situated,

18 Plaintiffs,

19 v.

20 CARRIER IQ, a Delaware corporation, HTC
21 AMERCIA, INC., a Washington corporation,

22 Defendants.

23 Case No.: CV-11-06151

24 **[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
STIPULATION RE CONTINUANCE
OF TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO
RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
[PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING
TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT**

25 Pursuant to stipulation, it is SO ORDERED.

26 Dated: January 9, 2012

27
28 Honorable Edward J. Davila
United States District Judge

Howard R. Lloyd
United States Magistrate Judge

CV-11-06151

[PROPOSED] ORDER