1 2 3 4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 GITI KARIMPOUR, Case No. 11-CV-6356-LHK 9 [PROPOSED] ORDER Petitioner, 10 v. 11 MATTHEW CATE, Secretary, California 12 **Department of Corrections and** Rehabilitation, 13 Respondent. 14 15 16 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, it is hereby ordered that Respondent may have until 17 September 18, 2012, to file his answer the application for writ of habeas corpus. If Petitioner 18 wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the Court and serving it 19 on Respondent within thirty (30) days of his receipt of the answer. 20 Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set 21 forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If 22 Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an 23 opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within thirty (30) days of receipt of the 24 motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within fifteen 25 (15) days of receipt of any opposition. 26 Dated: August 13, 2012 27 United States District Judge 28 1 [Proposed] Order (11-CV-6356 LHK)