Sanders v. Faceb		ook, Inc.	Doc. 1	
United States District Court For the Northern District of California				
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
	7		MOTERICE COLUMN	
	8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
	11	SIDEA SANDERS,	Case No.: 5:11-CV-06645 EJD	
	12	Plaintiff, )	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JOINDER	
	13	v. )	(Re: Docket No. 2)	
	14	FACEBOOK INC.,		
	15	Defendant)		
	16	Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion for Joinder pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(1). For		
	17	the reasons discussed below, the motion is DENIED.		
	18	I. BACKGROUND		
	19	On December 23, 2011, Plaintiff filed the Complaint in this action. Also on December 23,		
	20	2011, Plaintiff filed this Motion for Joinder.		
	21	On January 11, 2012, Judge Koh referred this case for the purpose of determining whether		
	22	it was related to the following cases: 11-CV-5699, 11-CV-5700, 11-CV-5701, 11-CV-5763, 11-		
	23	CV-5764, 11-CV-6367. On January 26, 2012, the court determined that these seven cases are		
	24	related.		
	25	II. LEGAL ST	TANDARDS	
	26	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(1), persons may join in one action as plaintiffs if: (a) they		
	27	assert any right to relief jointly, severally, or in the alternative with respect to or arising out of the		
	28			
Cas		Case No.: 5:11-CV-06645 EJD		
		ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JOINDER	Dockets.Justia.com	

same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences; and (b) any question of law or fact common to all plaintiffs will arise in the action.

## III. DISCUSSION

The court is unable to determine from Plaintiff's motion what joinder she seeks. Plaintiff's motion states that she "makes this request for joinder in this controversy, due to the efficiency it would provide to this Court and the related claim within the class action already in dispute against Facebook Inc." Docket No. 2. Plaintiff, however, has not identified any additional plaintiffs she seeks to join in this action. Thus, Plaintiff has not provided sufficient information to the court to demonstrate that the requirements of Rule 20(a)(1) are satisfied. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the motion for joinder is DENIED.

Dated: February 7, 2012

United States District Judge

Case No.: 5:11-CV-06645 EJD

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR JOINDER