1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 GLEN ALLEN RAMIREZ, No. C 12-0284 LHK (PR) 10 Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL 11 v. 12 UNKNOWN, 13 Defendant. 14 On January 18, 2012, Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a "notice to the court," which 15 commenced this action. The same day, the Clerk notified Plaintiff that he had not paid the filing 16 fee, nor had he filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis ("IFP"). The Clerk also notified 17 Plaintiff that he failed to submit a complaint. Along with the deficiency notices, Plaintiff was 18 provided with a new IFP application and instructions for completing it. Plaintiff was further 19 cautioned that his failure to either file a completed IFP application or pay the filing fee within 20 thirty days would result in the dismissal of this action. In addition, Plaintiff was advised that his 21 failure to file a complaint within thirty days would result in the dismissal of this action. To date, 22 Plaintiff has not paid his filing fee, filed a completed IFP application, or filed a complaint. 23 Accordingly, the instant action is DISMISSED without prejudice. The Clerk shall enter 24 judgment and close the file. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: __3/7/12 27 es District Judge 28

Ramirez v. Unknown

Doc. 4