KEITH R. VERGES (kverges@figdav.com) 1 PARKER D. YOUNG (parker.young@figdav.com) RAYMOND E. WALKER (ray.walker@figdav.com FIGARI & DAVENPORT, L.L.P. 3 901 Main Street, Suite 3400 Dallas, Texas 75202 4 Tel: (214) 939-2000 Fax: (214) 939-2090 5 (Pro Hac Vice Application Pendin) 6 SHAWN T. LEUTHOLD 7 (leuthold@aol.com) LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN T. LEUTHO 8 1671 The Alameda #303 9 San Jose, California 95126 Tel: (408) 924-0132 10 Fax:: (408) 924-0134 11 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF 12 CUSTOM LED LLC 13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN JOSE DIVISION C VAL 200350 16 CUSTOM LED, LLC, 17 Plaintiff, 18 PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL CLASS 19 **ACTION COMPLAINT** EBAY INC., EBAY EUROPE S.A.R.L., 20 and EBAY INTERNATIONAL AG 21 Jury Trial Demanded Defendants. 22 23 Plaintiff Custom LED, LLC ("Custom LED"), individually and on behalf of all those 24 similarly situated, brings this action for damages and states: 25 26 27 28 PAGE 1 PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

 Plaintiff brings this case individually and on behalf of all other persons who paid for so-called "Featured Plus!" listings on eBay Motors. As set forth more fully below, Featured Plus! listings were supposed to appear "at the top of the search results list page." This turns out to be incorrect because Featured Plus! listings do not receive any priority unless a search happens to be initiated from eBay Motors. Moreover, for certain types of searches, such as those based on price, date of listing, or geographic location, Featured Plus! listings receive no priority in search results. Finally, during part of the proposed class period, the Featured Plus! option was entirely non-functional, which resulted in Featured Plus! listings receiving no search result priority whatsoever, regardless of where the search was initiated. Accordingly, Plaintiff and the Class did not receive benefits promised by eBay in exchange for Featured Plus! listing fees.

II. PARTIES

- 1. <u>Custom LED LLC.</u> Custom LED, LLC is a limited liability company domiciled in New Jersey. Custom LED is typical of the Class insofar as it has paid Featured Plus! listing fees and did not receive the promised and agreed-upon search result priority associated therewith.
- 2. <u>eBay.</u> eBay Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal office at 2145 Hamilton Avenue, San Jose, California 95125. eBay Inc. may be served through its registered agent, National Registered Agents, Inc., 2875 Michelle Drive, Suite 100, Irvine, California 92606. eBay Europe is located at 22-24 Boulevard Royal, L-2449 Luxembourg. eBay International is a company incorporated under the laws of Switzerland with its principal office located at Helvetiastrasse 15/17, 3005, Bern, Switzerland. eBay International and Europe may be served pursuant to Convention on the Service Abroad of Judicial and Extrajudicial Documents in Civil or Commercial Matters (the "Hague Convention") or, if necessary, any other method

prescribed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(f). Plaintiff brings this action against all eBay entities because the User Agreement and eBay's integrated web pages discussed below draw no distinction between eBay Inc., eBay Europe or eBay International and on information and belief eBay Inc. owns and exerts complete dominion and control over eBay Europe and eBay International and/or all three engaged in concerted action.

III. JURIDSIDCTION AND VENUE

- 3. <u>Subject Matter Jurisdiction.</u> This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)(2) because this case is filed as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and the aggregate amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$5,000,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs, and a substantial number of members of the class of Plaintiffs are citizens of a state different from California.
- 4. <u>Venue.</u> Venue is proper in this district and division under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) because (1) eBay resides in this judicial district; (2) a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this judicial district; and (3) eBay is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California.
- 5. <u>Intra-District Assignment: San Jose.</u> Pursuant to local Rules 3-2(c) and 3-5(b), a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in Santa Clara County, where eBay is located, and this case should be assigned to the San Jose division pursuant to Local Rule 3-2(e).

IV. BACKGROUND FACTS

6. <u>eBay's Business.</u> According to eBay Inc.'s 2010 Form 10-K, eBay "connects millions of buyers and sellers globally on a daily basis through eBay, the world's largest online marketplace." eBay utilizes various entry points into its integrated online business, including www.ebay.com (also known as "Core eBay"), www.motors.ebay.com ("eBay Motors"), and

stores.ebay.com ("eBay Stores"). All of these web pages are interconnected and a search for an item listed on eBay Motors can be initiated from many of the eBay web pages, including Core eBay and eBay Stores. In many instances, because of the common web design, format, and interconnectedness of the different eBay web pages, users navigating within the eBay website cannot readily discern whether they are on a webpage that is technically on Core eBay, eBay Motors, or eBay Stores unless the user (1) pays specific attention to the URL of the particular webpage he is viewing and (2) has a full and complete understanding of the organizational structure of eBay's web pages. At the end of September, 2011, eBay had almost 99 million active users (i.e., persons who bid on, bought, listed or sold an item during the preceding 12-month period). On information and belief, all data for all users, including listings and fees charged, are contained in a single database system common for all eBay URLs and for all eBay entities, including without limitation eBay Inc, eBay International and eBay Europe.

7. <u>eBay Membership and User Agreement.</u> In order to list items for sale, eBay requires sellers on the web pages listed above to first become "members" of eBay by accepting a uniform User Agreement, a true and correct copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference. The User Agreement is a contract of adhesion and its terms are not negotiable by prospective eBay members. The User Agreement states:

By using eBay (including eBay.com and its related sites, services, and tools), you agree to the following terms with eBay Inc.

Thus, by virtue of this provision, the User Agreement governing all listings on eBay Motors (which is one of the related web pages where a direct hyperlink to the User Agreement appears) is between each eBay member and, at a minimum, eBay Inc., regardless of where a user resides or is located. The User Agreement further provides that eBay members residing in the United States contract with eBay Inc., while members residing elsewhere, in addition to contracting with

eBay Inc., also contract with either eBay Europe or eBay International. However, eBay Inc., eBay Europe and eBay International are all parties to the same User Agreement with Plaintiff. Thus, the User Agreement is the same for Plaintiff and all proposed Class members regardless of which eBay entities are involved. Among other things, the User Agreement contains a California choice of law provision and a forum selection clause designating courts located in Santa Clara, County, California as the exclusive forum for litigating disputes with eBay. Notably, there is no discernable difference among eBay, eBay Europe and eBay International. They each operate their businesses through eBay and its integrated web pages and, upon information and belief, share operations and/or personnel. They are effectively alter egos of one another and, in light of the Fees Schedules at issue here, Plaintiff alleges they acted jointly to misrepresent the nature of services provided by the Featured Plus! listing option to Plaintiff and the Class through uniform misrepresentations. Accordingly, references herein to "eBay" apply equally to all Defendants.

8. The eBay Fees Schedules. The User Agreement states that eBay will charge sellers based upon its "Fees schedule," which is a hyperlink that leads to other web pages that describe and quantify the applicable fees. The immediate link is to a page entitled "eBay.com Fees" (the "eBay.com Fees Schedule"). The eBay.com Fees Schedule (1) begins with further links for eBay Motors, eBay Stores, Classified Ads, Real Estate, and PayPal; and (2) goes on to describe the fees for listings on Core eBay. Thus, the eBay.com Fees Schedule, which is a hyperlinked web page from the User Agreement, contains the fees for listings initiated on Core eBay; links from the eBay.com Fees Schedule go to web pages with fees for other types of listings, such as items initially listed on eBay Motors (the "eBay Motors Fees Schedule") or through eBay Stores (the "eBay Stores Fees Schedule"). True and correct copies of the eBay.com Fees Schedule, the eBay Motors Fees Schedule, and the eBay Stores Fees Schedule (collectively referred to hereinafter as the "Fees Schedules") are attached as Exhibits B, C, and D, respectively, and incorporated herein by this reference.

9. Searching For Listings Throughout eBay. Listings placed on Core eBay, eBay Motors, and eBay Stores can be found by prospective buyers from virtually any location within the integrated eBay web pages. The web pages within Core eBay, eBay Motors and eBay Stores contain a search box that allows the user to type in key words and conduct a search. For example, a person searching for an automotive part can go to Core eBay, type in the part name, and search all eBay categories. The search result will include listings that were placed by sellers in eBay Motors, among other things. The prospective buyer need not even know about potential differences between Core eBay, eBay Motors and eBay Stores to search for items listed via all three pages. Thus, regardless of where a listing is placed, search results within Core eBay routinely display listings that were placed by sellers on eBay Motors and/or eBay Stores. Also, it is not unusual for certain searches to return so many results that it takes more than one web page to display the results; sometimes there can be literally hundreds of thousands of results for a given search term. eBay therefore offers sellers the ostensible option to pay extra to give their listings priority in search results, as described below.

10. The Featured Plus! Optional Fee. The eBay Motors Fees Schedule includes certain "Optional Feature Fees," specifically including the "Featured Plus!" option that is the subject of the claims asserted herein. On the eBay Motors Fees Schedule, Featured Plus! is one of the most expensive optional feature fees, costing as much as \$39.95 per listing. This fee is particularly expensive in comparison to the normal, required Listing Fees, which are often free and always less than \$1.00.\(^1\) Within the eBay Motors Fees Schedule, the term "Featured Plus!" is hyperlinked to another web page (the "Featured Plus! Description Page") describing what sellers are purchasing when they pay the Featured Plus! fee. A true and correct copy of the

¹ In the Vehicles category of eBay Motors, the current basic Insertion Fees are as low as \$0.00 for users who do not list more than six listings in a calendar year. For auction-style listings in the eBay Motors Parts & Accessories category, the first 50 listings per month incur no Insertion Fees and Fixed Price listings pay a \$0.50 insertion fee.

Featured Plus! Description Page is attached as Exhibit E and incorporated herein by this reference. As of at least June 2010, the Featured Plus! Description Page stated:

Featured Plus!: Your item appears in the Featured Items section at the top of the search results list page.

Collectively, the User Agreement, Fees Schedules, and above-referenced description of Featured Plus! comprise the operative contractual documents and provisions that describe the promised benefit of Featured Plus! listings on eBay Motors.

- 11. <u>eBay's Item Listing Volume.</u> eBay has made a concerted and deliberate effort to have literally millions of items continuously listed for sale. eBay's published metrics state that, for the quarter ending September 30, 2011, eBay had 98.7 million active users and 459.2 million payments made for a gross merchandise volume of \$14.666 billion. If the published take rate of 3.78% represents the number of listings that resulted in a transaction and payment, then these figures reflect over 12 billion listings during the quarter. For popular items, searches can result in tens or hundreds of thousands of matches.
- 12. <u>Search Results and Priority.</u> eBay charges a high price for Featured Plus! listings because it knows sellers value listing priority in search results. Given the large number of items listed on eBay at any given time, it is not unusual to have hundreds, thousands, and even hundreds of thousands of matches for a search. Priority in the search results therefore can dramatically improve the likelihood that a prospective buyer will see and ultimately purchase an item. Accordingly, throughout the Class Period, eBay promoted the expensive Featured Plus! option to sellers as a way to improve the priority of their listings in search results and give themselves an advantage against competing listings.
- 13. <u>How Searches Work.</u> A prospective buyer can search for an item on eBay and sort the search results in many different ways. For example, the buyer can simply go to the Core

eBay home page and type search terms into a box that appears at the top of the page. A person searching for high intensity discharge headlights can type the term "HID" into the box, press search, and there will be hundreds of thousands of search results (as of the date of filing this Complaint). No more than 200 individual results can be displayed at once and eBay will not give buyers the ability to see more than 10,000 total results in any given search. The search results page will allow the user to narrow the results down to specific categories through links shown on the left side of the screen. In addition, the user may sort the search results by the following priorities: (1) time: ending soonest; (2) time: newly listed; (3) price plus shipping: lowest first; (4) price plus shipping: highest first; (5) price: highest first; (6) distance: nearest first; and (7) Best Match.

Plus! Description Page, Featured Plus! does not always mean that "Your item appears in the Featured Items section at the top of the search results list page." For example, if a search for "HID" is simultaneously initiated from within both Core eBay and eBay Motors across all eBay categories, each search will return an identical number of listings. The vast majority of the search results are listings placed by sellers through eBay Motors and those eBay Motors search results are shown and accessible regardless of whether the search is initiated from the Core eBay homepage or from the eBay Motors page. But in neither search result will Featured Plus! listings appear at the top of the search results list page. Indeed, in many instances, eBay Motors listings by sellers who did not purchase the Featured Plus! listing option are displayed prior to other eBay Motors listings that did pay the expensive fee for Featured Plus! Instead, the only way to get a Featured Plus! listing to appear at the top of any search results page is to begin the search from a webpage with a URL that begins with "motors.ebay.com" and to also specifically limit

1

10

15

17

20

19

22

23

21

24

25 26

27

the search to eBay Motors listings. But even taking these two steps does not necessarily put Featured Plus! Listings at the top of the results.

If the user has not selected "Best Match" in the "sort by" box prior to initiating a new search, Featured Plus! items receive no priority whatsoever, even if the search is begun from a page that has an eBay Motors URL. Thus, in order for a person to receive any benefit from a Feature Plus! listing, a prospective buyer must do all of these three things: (1) initiate the search from a webpage within eBay Motors; (2) limit the search to listings within eBay Motors; and (3) sort the results by "Best Match." Otherwise, Featured Plus! listings do not appear at the top of the search results.

- Lack of Priority for Featured Plus! Listings. As a result of the foregoing, an 15. eBay seller who selected and paid for a Featured Plus! listing does not always receive the promised priority by having his item "appear in the Featured Items section at the top of a search results list page." For searches that are sorted by any of the six options other than Best Match, there is no Featured Item priority on any search. For items sorted by Best Match, the only possibility of an item appearing at the top of the search results page is if the prospective buyer first navigated to an eBay Motors web page and performed a search only within eBay Motors listings. Consequently, many listings placed for free get higher priority than listings for which sellers paid for the Feature Plus! option.
- Featured Plus! Was Non-Functional for Periods of Time. On information and 16. belief, during certain periods, the Featured Plus! option was completely non-functional such that no search result priority was obtained for Featured Plus! listings regardless of where or how the search was initiated or how the search results were sorted. Indeed, during Plaintiff's discussions with eBay's employees to complain about the non-functionality of Featured Plus! in the latter

half of 2011, eBay's representatives acknowledged that Featured Plus! was not operating correctly and that there was a "known bug" that eBay was attempting to fix. Notwithstanding these admissions that Featured Plus! was non-functional for a period of time (the "Known Bug Period"), eBay nevertheless continued to market and sell Featured Plus! to Plaintiff and the Class and to charge sellers the full published fee for that option.

- Members. All of the foregoing allegations are based entirely upon eBay's User Agreement and the above-described web pages. In addition, eBay's search procedures are identical for all persons who use any of the eBay web pages described above. The web pages should therefore be interpreted uniformly as to all Class Members and eBay's conduct should be judged uniformly as to its effect on all Class Members. Moreover, the eBay User Agreement has a California choice of law provision. California law may therefore be applied to the claims of all Class Members.
- Custom LED has been an eBay member since September 2006. Custom LED sells light emitting diode products and accessories that can be used for, among other things, automobiles and motorcycles. At least as early as April 2011,² Custom LED reviewed and relied on eBay's promise in the Featured Plus! Description Page that a Featured Plus! item "appears in the Featured Items section at the top of the search results list page." Custom LED would not have purchased the Featured Plus! option had it known that those listings would not have priority at the top of all eBay search results. Among other things, at the time Custom LED placed its listings, it was not aware that the only types of searches that would result in any priority for its

² Custom LED cannot recall with certainty exact dates of any prior listings using Featured Plus!, but does recall reviewing eBay web pages with representations that Featured Plus! Listings would have priority over listings that did not have that feature.

listings were searches initiated from web pages within www.motors.ebay.com and where the search results were sorted by "Best Match." Consequently, Custom LED did not receive the promised value of the Featured Plus! listings it placed.

V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 19. <u>Class Definition.</u> Plaintiff brings this class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 on behalf of the following class (the "Class"): all eBay members subject to the eBay User Agreement who, within the past four (4) years (the "Class Period"), paid for the Featured Plus! optional feature in any listings.³ Excluded from the Class are eBay and its management, officers, and directors, members of each of their immediate families, and any presiding Magistrate or District Judge and their staff.
 - 20. Class Certification. This action may be maintained as a class action because:
 - a. The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
 - b. There are questions of law or fact common to the Class;
 - c. The claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the Class; and
 - d. The representatives of the Class will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.

Bay has recently changed the Featured Plus! description to include the following italicized language: "Featured Plus!: Your item appears in the Featured Items section at the top of the search results page (for Motors site searches)." eBay made this revision only after Plaintiff complained about Featured Plus!, presumably in an attempt to alleviate part of the complaints and claims set forth herein. But the additional language in the Featured Plus! description does not address the fact that Featured Items receive no priority outside a "Best Match" search. In addition, the additional language does not explain that the search cannot include all eBay categories. Furthermore, the new parenthetical language still does not advise sellers that searches on Core eBay or from other non-eBay Motors web pages will not give search result priority to Featured Plus! listings. To the contrary, since searches on eBay.com across all eBay categories routinely return results from eBay Motors, Plaintiff alleges that such searches must, by definition, be searching the eBay Motors site and therefore constitute "Motors site searches." Even under eBay's new description, Featured Plus! listings should receive search result priority in any search that returns eBay Motors listings. Accordingly, Plaintiff alleges that the contractual breaches and other legal violations described in the following causes of action are on-going and that the Class Period remains open-ended.

Damages Class. The Class is properly certifiable pursuant to Rule 23(b)(3) as a damages class because the questions of law and fact common to the class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members, and a class action is superior to other available methods for fairly and efficiently adjudicating the controversy. Among other things, each Class member's interest in individually controlling the prosecution of the claims herein makes it virtually impossible to assert those claims outside the class action context. Moreover, there is no other litigation known by the undersigned counsel that asserts claims based on the facts in this controversy. Concentrating litigation in this forum makes sense because it is the location of eBay's principal office and principal place of business, the forum of eBay's choice under the User Agreement, and because eBay has selected California law under the User Agreement. Finally, there are no likely difficulties in managing this case as a class action and the Plaintiff's counsel is experienced in class actions.

- 23. <u>Common Questions.</u> The common questions of law and fact include at least the following:
 - a. The construction of the agreement between eBay and the Class members;
 - b. eBay's obligations in exchange for accepting the Featured Plus! fees;
 - c. The uniform algorithm and method used by eBay for prioritizing search results, including results listed with the Featured Plus! option;
 - d. Whether Featured Plus! listings appear with any priority in searches other

than "Best Match" searches;

- e. How the uniform and standardized "Best Match" search works insofar as the priority of search results is concerned;
- f. Whether eBay has breached its agreement and covenant of good faith and fair dealing insofar as its return of services in exchange for Featured Plus! fees is concerned;
- g. Whether the additional parenthetical disclosure regarding "for Motors site searches" is sufficient to allow eBay's failure to prioritize Featured Plus! listings for searches that are sorted other than by "Best Match" and for searches of Motors site listings from web pages that do not contain the motors.ebay.com URL;
- h. Whether and to what extent Featured Plus! was non-functional for some period of time;
- i. Whether eBay advertised and collected fees for Featured Plus! when it knew the service did not work as advertised; and
- j. The method and calculation of damages for members of the Class.

VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION - BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 25. Throughout the Class Period, eBay entered into identical contracts with Plaintiff and all Class Members setting forth the fees for and properties of Featured Plus! listings. Plaintiff and Class therefore entered into unambiguous and identical contracts with eBay to give Featured Plus! listings priority over listings without this option. Plaintiff and the Class expected, and were entitled to receive, search results giving priority of their Featured Plus! listings above other listings for which no Featured Plus! fees were paid, regardless of the eBay web page from

which the search was initiated or the manner in which the search results were sorted.

- 26. eBay breached its contracts with Plaintiff and the Class and its covenant of good faith and fair dealing, by failing to provide the promised priority for Featured Plus! listings as described above.
- 27. Plaintiff and the Class did not agree or consent to have their Featured Plus! listings placed lower in priority than listings without the Featured Plus! option and furthermore did not agree to alter, modify, or amend their contracts with eBay in a manner that would permit eBay to reduce the priority of Featured Plus! listings. Among other things, Plaintiff and the Class did not voluntarily agree to accept lesser services than promised by eBay by paying invoices prepared by eBay.
- 28. Alternatively and in addition, the User Agreement, Fees Schedules, and the Featured Plus! Description Page constitute a contract of adhesion which must be construed against the drafter, eBay, and in favor of Plaintiff and the Class.
- 29. Further, any and all provisions of any web page, invoice, or communication from eBay purporting to contradict or alter the terms set out in the applicable User Agreement, Fees Schedules, and the Featured Plus! Description Page must be disregarded, construed in favor of Plaintiff and Class Members and/or declared unconscionable and unenforceable.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of eBay's breach of contract and the attendant covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff and the Class have been damaged in the amount of Featured Plus! fees paid, insofar as eBay failed to provide the promised return consideration in terms of priority of the Featured Plus! listings. The damages are (i) readily calculable from eBay's data, (ii) common to and typical among all Class Members, and (iii) readily determinable from the computer data in the possession of eBay.

VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION - UNFAIR COMPETITION

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs, as if set forth fully herein.
- 32. The California Business & Professions Code § 17200 provides that "unfair competition shall mean and include any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice." Defendants' policy and practice regarding Featured Plus! constitutes a "business practice" and is unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent. eBay's representation and promise that listings with Featured Plus! would obtain search result priority "at the top of the search results list page" was untrue at the times eBay made this statement.⁴ Notwithstanding such knowledge, eBay nevertheless continued to market, sell, and collect fees for the Featured Plus! option without advising its customers of any limitation in the functioning of Featured Plus!.
- 33. eBay's business practices described above are unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent in that they violate at least the following laws:
 - a. Breach of contract and the duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- b. eBay's conduct constitutes unjust enrichment insofar as it knowingly charged fees for which sellers would not and did not obtain the promised and represented search result priority.
- c. eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 because its representations that Featured Plus! listings would appear "at the top of the search results list page" were untrue and misleading, and eBay knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that the Featured Plus! Description Page was untrue or misleading.

⁴ eBay changes its web pages from time to time; prior versions are not accessible to the public and discovery will be required to determine the dates and contents of the User Agreement, Fees Schedules and Featured Plus! Description Pages throughout the Class Period. Moreover, to the extent the Featured Plus! Priority was completely non-functional, any representations as to priority were untrue. Again, discovery will be required to determine the extent and duration of non-functionality of Featured Plus!.

- d. eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 and/or § 17507 because its representations regarding Featured Plus! were misleading and did not clearly and conspicuously state that Featured Plus! would not properly function.
- e. eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17507 because its representations regarding Featured Plus! on eBay.com were a "bait and switch" tactic and its advertising claims or representations did not clearly and conspicuously identify the limitations associated with the functionality of Featured Plus!.
- f. eBay violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1670.5 by, among other things, procuring contracts or contract provisions from Plaintiff and the Class that were unconscionable at the time they were made and further by promising and representing that the extremely expensive Featured Plus! listings would appear "at the top of the search results list page" despite eBay's substantially greater bargaining power and greater knowledge that Featured Plus! had limited or no functionality.
- g. Independently and in addition, eBay's conduct described above is unfair under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. It is fundamentally unfair, contrary to public policy, immoral, unethical, oppressive, unscrupulous, and injurious to Plaintiff and the Class for eBay to announce and promise that Featured Plus! listings would appear "at the top of the search results list page" despite eBay's substantially greater bargaining power and greater knowledge that Featured Plus! had limited or no functionality. There is no justification or excuse for eBay's practices and/or any such justification is outweighed by the consequences and harm to Plaintiff and Class members. In addition, to the extent that eBay attempts to avoid its published Fees Schedules and/or the Featured Plus! Description Page by reference to other web pages, extracontractual communications, or portions of web pages not part of the User Agreement, that

conduct is also unfair, unconscionable, and violates §§ 17200 et seq. In that regard, eBay's conduct constitutes an unfair business practice for the following additional reasons:

- (i) The consumer injury resulting from eBay's malfeasance is
- (ii) The consumer injury is not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or competition; and
- (iii) Consumers could not reasonably have avoided the injury caused by eBay's conduct.
- 34. Plaintiff and the Class are officers, persons, corporations or associations that have suffered an injury in fact and lost money or property as a result of eBay's violations of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.

VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - FALSE ADVERTISING LAW

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs, as if set forth fully herein.
- 36. During the period when eBay represented that listings with Featured Plus! would obtain search result priority "at the top of the search results list page," eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 because its representations regarding Featured Plus! were untrue and misleading, and eBay knew, or by the exercise of reasonable care should have known, that these statements were untrue or misleading.
- 37. During the period when eBay represented that listings with Featured Plus! would obtain search result priority "at the top of the search results list page," eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 and/or § 17507 because its representations regarding Featured Plus! were misleading and did not clearly and conspicuously state that Featured Plus! had limited or no functionality and benefits, and because eBay advertised and collected fees for the Featured! Plus

option when it knew no priority, service or benefit was being provided in exchange for payment of the fee.

- 38. During the period when eBay represented that listings with Featured Plus! would obtain search result priority "at the top of the search results list page," eBay violated Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17507 because its representations regarding Featured Plus! were a "bait and switch" tactic and its advertising claims or representations did not clearly and conspicuously identify the limitations associated with Featured Plus! listings.
- 39. Plaintiff and the Class are officers, persons, corporations or associations that suffered an injury in fact and lost money as a result of eBay's violation of Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq.
- 40. eBay's conduct described above violates Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 et seq. and entitles Plaintiff and the Class to restitution and injunctive relief as provided by Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17535.

IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - FRAUD AND DECEIT

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs, as if set forth fully herein.
- During the period when eBay represented that listings with Featured Plus! would obtain search result priority "at the top of the search results list page," eBay violated Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1572 (actual fraud), 1709 and 1710, because at the time eBay published its Fees Schedules and the Featured Plus! Description Page, and Plaintiff and the Class listed items for sale, eBay knowingly, willfully, and/or recklessly made material misrepresentations, or omitted material facts, regarding the functionality of Featured Plus! eBay engaged in this conduct in order to induce Plaintiff and Class members to list items for sale with the optional Featured Plus! fee, and Plaintiff and the Class reasonably relied on eBay's misrepresentations to their detriment.

Plaintiff and the Class therefore seek all damages proximately caused by eBay as a result of this wrongful conduct.

X. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION – UNJUST ENRICHMENT/COMMON LAW RESTITUTION

- 43. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs, as if set forth fully herein.
- 44. By promising Plaintiff and the Class that Featured Plus! listings would have priority over listings without this feature, but failing to provide search result priority over listings that did not include the Featured Plus! Option, the fee charged by eBay for Featured Plus! was not fully earned by eBay and, therefore, eBay collected fees in excess of those to which it was contractually or otherwise entitled. eBay has therefore unlawfully and unjustly enriched itself at the cost of Plaintiff and the Class. Plaintiff and members of the Class therefore seek an order of restitution and disgorgement of all or a portion of the fees eBay collected for the Featured Plus! listings.

XI. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each of the preceding paragraphs, as if set forth fully herein.
- 46. <u>Declaratory Relief.</u> Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201-2, Plaintiff and the Class state that an actual controversy with eBay exists and they seek a declaration regarding their rights and other legal relations as follows.
- 47. <u>Contractual Rights.</u> Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaration construing and enforcing the User Agreement, applicable Fees Schedules, the Featured Plus! Description Page, and eBay's obligations for Featured Plus! listings arising thereunder. Plaintiff and the Class seek a declaration that Featured Plus! listings must be placed at the top of the search results list page,

irrespective of where the search is initiated or how it is sorted and that Featured Plus! Listings are entitled to priority over listings without this feature. eBay's conduct is ongoing and Plaintiff therefore seeks prospective relief regarding the parties' rights and obligations under their User Agreement.

XII. MISCELLANEOUS

- 48. <u>Conditions Precedent.</u> Plaintiff and the Class hereby allege that they have fully complied with all contractual and other legal obligations and fully complied with all conditions precedent to bringing this action and/or all such obligations or conditions are excused.
 - 49. Jury Demand. Plaintiff and the Class demand a trial by jury.

XIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 50. Plaintiff, on behalf of itself and on behalf of the Class, requests the following relief:
- a. An order certifying the Class and appointing Plaintiff as representative of the Class and the undersigned counsel as Class Counsel;
- b. Declaratory judgment interpreting the applicable User Agreement, Fees Schedules, and the Featured Plus! Description Page to determine that eBay was obligated to place Featured Plus! listings at the top of the search results list page, irrespective of how that page is generated and/or sorted and that Featured Plus! Listings are entitled to priority over listings without this feature;
- c. Actual damages suffered by Plaintiff and the Class and/or full restitution of all funds acquired from eBay's unfair dealing;
- d. Punitive, exemplary and all other enhanced damages to the extent available under law;
 - e. Pre- and post-judgment interest; and

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

f. All other and further relief, general and special, legal and equitable, to which the Plaintiff and Class may be justly entitled.

XIV. CERTIFICATION OF INTERESTED ENTITIES OR PERSONS

51. Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-16, the undersigned certifies that, as of this date, other than the named parties, there is no such interest to report.

Dated: January 23, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,



Keith R. Verges
Parker D. Young
Raymond E. Walker
FIGARI & DAVENPORT, L.L.P.
3400 Bank of America Plaza
901 Main Street
Dallas, Texas 75202
Telephone (214) 939-2017
Facsimile (214) 939-2090
(Pro Hac Vice Application Pending)

Shawn T. Leuthold LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN T. LEUTHOLD 1671 The Alameda #303 San Jose, California 95126 Telephone: (408) 924-0132 Facsimile: (408) 924-0134 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF