Turner v. Cas	sh "
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NOT FOR CITATION  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  LAFONZO R. TURNER, ) No. C 12-0381 LHK (PR)
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Petitioner,  Petitioner,  ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE;  GRANTING MOTION FOR LEAVE  TO PROCEED IN FORMA  PAUPERIS; DENYING MOTION  FOR EQUITABLE TOLLING  WITHOUT PREJUDICE  Respondent.  (Docket Nos. 2, 3)  Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> , filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. The Court orders Respondent to show cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted.
21	not be granted.
	DISCUSSION
22	A. Standard of Review
23	
24	This Court may entertain a petition for writ of habeas corpus "in behalf of a person in
	custody pursuant to the judgment of a state court only on the ground that he is in custody in
25	violation of the Constitution or laws or treaties of the United States." 28 U.S.C. § 2254(a); Rose
26	v. Hodges, 423 U.S. 19, 21 (1975).
27	
28	A district court shall "award the writ or issue an order directing the respondent to show
	Order to Show Cause; Granting Motion for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis; Denying Motion for Equitable Tolling Without Prejudice G;\PRO-SE\SJ.LHK\HC.12\Turner381osc,wpd

Doc. 4

2

3 4

5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

cause why the writ should not be granted, unless it appears from the application that the applicant or person detained is not entitled thereto." 28 U.S.C. § 2243.

## В. **Petitioner's Claims**

Petitioner raises the following claims in his petition: (1) the prosecutor committed misconduct during her argument, and trial counsel was ineffective for failing to object to it; (2) the trial court erred in failing to give an instruction on manslaughter as a lesser-included offense; and (3) Petitioner was denied a right to a fair trial when the jury repeatedly saw him in handcuffs and shackles. Liberally construed, Petitioner's allegations are sufficient to warrant a response.

## C. **Motion for Equitable Tolling**

Petitioner requests that the Court find his petition timely based on extraordinary circumstances. Petitioner's motion is DENIED without prejudice. Respondent will be ordered to produce the relevant state records, and, may file a motion to dismiss based on timeliness. If Respondent does so, Petitioner may file an opposition in which he may certainly argue that he is entitled to equitable tolling. At this time, however, the timeliness of Petitioner's petition is not at issue.

## **CONCLUSION**

- 1. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED. Petitioner's motion for equitable tolling is DENIED.
- 2. The Clerk shall serve by mail a copy of this order and the petition (docket no. 1) and all attachments thereto upon the Respondent and the Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this order on Petitioner.
- 3. Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted. Respondent shall file with the answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of all portions of the underlying state criminal record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the

Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty days** of the date the answer is filed.

- 4. Respondent may file a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases within **ninety days** of the date this order is filed. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of nonopposition within **thirty days** of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent **shall** file with the court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen days** of the date any opposition is filed.
- 5. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court must be served on Respondent by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel. Petitioner must keep the court and all parties informed of any change of address by filing a separate paper captioned "Notice of Change of Address." He must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to prosecute pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

y H. Koh

LUCY H. A. H United States District Judge

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 4/9/12

28