1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
12	APPLE, INC., a California corporation,	Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK	
13	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,	ORDER ON PRETRIAL FILINGS	
14	v		
15	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a		
16	Korean corporation; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New York		
17	corporation; and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC,		
18	a Delaware limited liability company,		
19	Defendants and Counterclaimants.		
20)	
21	The Court notes that the parties' submissio	ns following the March 5, 2014 pretrial	
22	conference have substantially narrowed the issues and claims for trial (<i>see</i> ECF Nos. 1414, 1418,		
23	1419). In particular, in the parties' stipulation regarding dismissal of claims and counterclaims		
24	(ECF No. 1419), Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung		
25	Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") agreed not to assert at trial claim 10		
26	of the '087 patent, claim 13 of the '596 patent, or claim 1 of the '239 patent, thereby withdrawing		

all previously asserted claims of the '087 and '596 patents. Apple, Inc. ("Apple") in turn agreed

1

28 not to assert at trial multiple defenses and claims, including:

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK ORDER ON PRETRIAL FILINGS

27

1	a.	Causes of action arising from its First Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-
2		Infringement of the '087 Patent
3	b.	Causes of action arising from its Second Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of
4		Invalidity of the '087 Patent
5	c.	Causes of action arising from its Third Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of Non-
6		Infringement of the '596 Patent
7	d.	Causes of action arising from its Fourth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of
8		Invalidity of the '596 Patent
9	e.	Causes of action arising from its Fifteenth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of
10		Non-Infringement as they relate to Claim 1 of the '239 Patent.
11	f.	Causes of action arising from its Sixteenth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment of
12		Invalidity as they relate to Claim 1 of the '239 Patent.
13	g.	Causes of action arising from its Seventeenth Counterclaim for Breach of Contract -
14		FRAND and Other Standard-Related Misconduct
15	h.	Causes of action arising from its Eighteenth Counterclaim for Declaratory Judgment
16		that Apple is Entitled to a License to Samsung's Declared-Essential Patents
17	i.	Causes of action arising from its Twenty First Counterclaim for Inequitable Conduct
18		Before the United States Patent and Trademark Office During Prosecution of the '596
19		Patent
20	j.	All affirmative claims for relief or defenses that Samsung's rights in the '087 and '596
21		patents are exhausted or unenforceable under the doctrines of waiver, equitable
22		estoppel, and/or unclean hands (Fourth Affirmative Defense)
23	k.	All affirmative claims for relief or defenses that Apple has an irrevocable right to be
24		licensed on FRAND terms under the '087 and '596 patents (Fifth Affirmative Defense)
25	1.	All affirmative claims for relief or defenses that Samsung is prevented from seeking
26		injunctive relief for infringement of the '087 and '596 patents (Sixth Affirmative
27		Defense)
28		
	Case No.: 12	2-CV-00630-LHK

Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK ORDER ON PRETRIAL FILINGS

1	m. All affirmative claims for relief or defenses that the jury's verdict in Case No. 11-184		
2	creates an issue preclusion regarding two elements of Apple's affirmative defense of		
3	patent exhaustion with respect to accused products containing Intel baseband chips		
4	(Seventh Affirmative Defense)		
5	n. All affirmative claims for relief or defenses that the '596 patent is invalid and		
6	unenforceable under the doctrine of inequitable conduct (Eighth Affirmative Defense)		
7	(ECF No. 1419 at 1-3.)		
8	Accordingly, in light of this narrowing of claims for trial, the Court hereby ORDERS that		
9	the parties will be allowed to present 25 hours of evidence per side at trial. The Court further		
10	ORDERS that the parties shall file by 6:00 p.m., Thursday, March 13, 2014, revised versions		
11	(clean and redlined) of their relevant pretrial filings indicating any issues, evidence, and witnesses		
12	that are no longer necessary for presentation at trial, including:		
13	• Joint pretrial statement;		
14	• Trial exhibit lists;		
15	• Witness lists;		
16	• Notices pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282		
17	The parties shall not add any new issues, exhibits, or witnesses to these documents.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 10, 2014		
19			
20	LUCY H. KOH United States District Judge		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	3 Case No.: 12-CV-00630-LHK ORDER ON PRETRIAL FILINGS		